

Exhibit 4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

JAWBONE INNOVATIONS, LLC,	§	
	§	Case No. 6:21-cv-00985-ADA
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	<u>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</u>
v.	§	
	§	
GOOGLE LLC,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

**PLAINTIFF JAWBONE INNOVATIONS, LLC'S
MOTION TO RECONSIDER CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER (DKT. 88)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND	2
III. LEGAL STANDARDS	3
IV. ARGUMENT	4
A. The Disputed Terms Are Not Indefinite Because They Use Terms of Approximation	4
B. The Specification of the Asserted Patents Supports the Claim Terms are Definite	5
1. “Approximately/Substantially Similar” Responses to Noise.....	6
2. “Approximately/Substantially Dissimilar” Responses to Speech.....	7
C. Appropriate Constructions of the Disputed Terms	8
V. CONCLUSION.....	8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>AudioEye, Inc. v. accessiBe Ltd.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-997-ADA, 2022 WL 827805 (W.D. Tex. Mar. 9, 2022).....	3
<i>Austin v. Kroger Texas, L.P.</i> , 864 F.3d 326 (5th Cir. 2017)	3
<i>Cohesive Techs., Inc. v. Waters Corp.</i> , 543 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	6
<i>Dallas Cnty., Tex. v. MERSCORP, Inc.</i> , 2 F. Supp. 3d 938 (N.D. Tex. 2014)	3
<i>Deere & Co. v. Bush Hog, LLC</i> , 703 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	4
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Applera Corp.</i> , 599 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	5
<i>Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.</i> , 766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	4
<i>Lavespere v. Niagara Mach. & Tool Works, Inc.</i> , 910 F.2d 167 (5th Cir. 1990)	3
<i>Liberty Ammunition, Inc. v. United States</i> , 835 F.3d 1388 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	2
<i>Nature Simulation Sys. Inc. v. Autodesk, Inc.</i> , 50 F.4th 1358 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 17, 2022).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Personalized Media Commc 'ns, LLC v. Int'l Trade Comm 'n</i> , 161 F.3d 696 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	4
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Teva Pharms., USA, Inc.</i> , 429 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	4
<i>Pressure Prods. Med. Supplies, Inc. v. Greatbatch Ltd.</i> , 599 F.3d 1308 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	4
<i>Sonix Tech. Co. v. Publ'ns Int'l, Ltd.</i> , 844 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	4

Other Authorities

Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b)1, 3

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.