

EXHIBIT 6

From: [Stephanie Mandir](#)
To: [Nguyen, Lisa](#); [Jason Charkow](#); [AO Realtek PV](#); [MSiegmund@CJSJLAW.com](#); [Wang, Grace:LT \(NY\)](#)
Cc: [Ron Daignault](#); [Chandran Iyer](#); [Scott Samay](#); [Cathy Pampinella](#); [Zak Ellis](#)
Subject: RE: ParkerVision v. Realtek (6:22-cv-01162-ADA) - Amended Scheduling Order
Date: Tuesday, February 27, 2024 11:29:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Caution: non-A&O email

Lisa,

Thank you for your response. However, we believe it is in the parties' best interest to expediate our actions.

Since December, we've requested modifying the scheduling order so that the parties could resolve the outstanding schematic issues without involving the court. We also extended the courtesy of allowing extra time for your response through the Lunar New Year, yet we still have not heard back.

In light of these circumstances, we plan on filing a motion with the court today without awaiting the interim deadline. As such, we kindly request that you promptly inform us if you intend to oppose the motion. We appreciate your cooperation.

Best,
Stephanie

From: Lisa.Nguyen@AllenOvery.com <Lisa.Nguyen@AllenOvery.com>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 11:25 PM
To: Jason Charkow <jcharkow@daignaultiyer.com>; Stephanie Mandir <smandir@daignaultiyer.com>; AO_Realtek_PV@AllenOvery.com; MSiegmund@CJSJLAW.com; Grace.Wang@allenovery.com
Cc: Ron Daignault <rdaignault@daignaultiyer.com>; Chandran Iyer <cbiyer@daignaultiyer.com>; Scott Samay <ssamay@daignaultiyer.com>; Cathy Pampinella <cpampinella@daignaultiyer.com>; Zak Ellis <zellis@daignaultiyer.com>
Subject: RE: ParkerVision v. Realtek (6:22-cv-01162-ADA) - Amended Scheduling Order

Jason, our client is still considering the extension but will agree to an interim extension for the final contentions until this Friday. We will provide our position on the longer extension by Friday.

From: Jason Charkow <jcharkow@daignaultiyer.com>
Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 11:58 AM
To: Stephanie Mandir <smandir@daignaultiyer.com>; AO_Realtek_PV <AO_Realtek_PV@AllenOvery.com>; Nguyen, Lisa <Lisa.Nguyen@AllenOvery.com>; MSiegmund@CJSJLAW.com; Wang, Grace:LT (NY) <Grace.Wang@allenovery.com>
Cc: Ron Daignault <rdaignault@daignaultiyer.com>; Chandran Iyer <cbiyer@daignaultiyer.com>;

Scott Samay <ssamay@daignaultiyer.com>; Cathy Pampinella <cpampinella@daignaultiyer.com>;
Zak Ellis <zellis@daignaultiyer.com>

Subject: Re: ParkerVision v. Realtek (6:22-cv-01162-ADA) - Amended Scheduling Order

Caution: non-A&O email

Counsel

If we cannot come to an understanding by today or early tomorrow AM, we will file a motion tomorrow.

Such a motion seems unnecessary given that the parties have been trying to work through the schematic issue (including giving Realtek time through the Lunar New Year to respond to our request to be able to access Cadence remotely - which directly affect our preparing charts) and that we proposed modifying the schedule back in Dec (to which Realtek did not respond).

If Realtek will not agree to modify the date, please let us know if you oppose a motion to modify the date.



Jason S. Charkow

Partner

Daignault Iyer LLP

914.843.8138

jcharkow@daignaultiyer.com

daignaultiyer.com

From: Stephanie Mandir <smandir@daignaultiyer.com>

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2024 9:34 AM

To: AO_Realtek_PV@AllenOvery.com <AO_Realtek_PV@AllenOvery.com>;

Lisa.Nguyen@AllenOvery.com <Lisa.Nguyen@AllenOvery.com>; MSiegmund@CJSJLAW.com

<MSiegmund@CJSJLAW.com>; Grace.Wang@allenovery.com <Grace.Wang@allenovery.com>

Cc: Jason Charkow <jcharkow@daignaultiyer.com>; Ron Daignault <rdaignault@daignaultiyer.com>; Chandran Iyer <cbiyer@daignaultiyer.com>; Scott Samay <ssamay@daignaultiyer.com>; Cathy Pampinella <cpampinella@daignaultiyer.com>; Zak Ellis <zellis@daignaultiyer.com>
Subject: RE: ParkerVision v. Realtek (6:22-cv-01162-ADA) - Amended Scheduling Order

Counsel,

In our email below, we proposed pushing the date of final infringement/invalidity contentions from tomorrow, February 27, 2024 to April 23, 2024. We have not heard back from you regarding this deadline. Given our ongoing discussions regarding the production of schematics, as well as the minimal discovery to date, can we agree to push this date and file an amended scheduling order? We also think we need to rework the schedule a bit in general.

Please let us know if your team has availability today for a call to discuss.

Thanks,
Stephanie



Stephanie R. Mandir
Associate

Daignault Iyer LLP
202.270.5666
smandir@daignaultiyer.com
daignaultiyer.com

From: Zak Ellis <zellis@daignaultiyer.com>
Sent: Friday, December 22, 2023 1:40 PM
To: AO_Realtek_PV@AllenOvery.com; Lisa.Nguyen@AllenOvery.com; MSiegmund@CJSJLAW.com; Grace.Wang@allenovery.com
Cc: Jason Charkow <jcharkow@daignaultiyer.com>; Ron Daignault <rdaignault@daignaultiyer.com>; Chandran Iyer <cbiyer@daignaultiyer.com>; Scott Samay <ssamay@daignaultiyer.com>; Cathy Pampinella <cpampinella@daignaultiyer.com>; Stephanie Mandir <smandir@daignaultiyer.com>
Subject: ParkerVision v. Realtek (6:22-cv-01162-ADA) - Amended Scheduling Order

Counsel,

Due to the current scheduling order conflicting with deadlines in *ParkerVision, Inc. v. MediaTek, Inc.*, 6:22-cv-01163-ADA, ParkerVision proposes amending the scheduling order to the attached format. Please let us know whether Realtek opposes a motion for entry of the amended scheduling order.

Thanks

Zak

This transmission, and any attached files, may contain information from the law firm of Daignault Iyer LLP which is confidential and/or legally privileged. Such information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to whom this transmission is addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this transmitted information is strictly prohibited, that copies of this transmission and any attached files should be deleted from your disk directories immediately, and that any printed copies of this transmission or attached files should be returned to this firm. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us by telephone or e-mail immediately, and we will arrange for the return to Daignault Iyer LLP of any printed copies.

This email is confidential and may also be privileged. If you are not the intended recipient please delete it and notify us immediately by telephoning or e-mailing the sender. You should not copy it or use it for any purpose nor disclose its contents to any other person.

Allen & Overy LLP
550 High Street
Palo Alto
CA 94301
Tel: +1 650 388 1650
Fax: +1 650 388 1699
<http://www.allenoverly.com>

Allen & Overy LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC306763 and SRA number 401323. It is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority of England and Wales. Allen & Overy LLP is a multi-jurisdictional legal practice with lawyers admitted to practice in a variety of jurisdictions. The term partner is used to refer to a member of Allen & Overy LLP or an employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualifications. A list of the members of Allen & Overy LLP and of the non-members who are designated as partners is open to inspection at its registered office, One Bishops Square London E1 6AD and at the above address.

For further information about how Allen & Overy LLP is regulated, please see our website at www.allenoverly.com/en-gb/global/legal-notices

Our privacy policy is available at <https://www.allenoverly.com/en-gb/global/legal-notices/privacy-policy>