

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

PARKERVISION, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:20-cv-00108

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF PARKERVISION'S
OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

Table of Contents

I. Introduction..... 1

II. Technology background..... 1

A. Wired communications. 1

B. Wireless Communications. 1

C. Frequency..... 2

D. Up-conversion..... 3

E. Down-conversion..... 3

III. The patents-in-suit. 4

A. Energy transfer (*energy* sampling)..... 5

B. Sample and hold (*voltage* sampling)..... 9

IV. Disputed terms for construction..... 12

A. Energy “storage” module/element/device terms..... 12

B. “modulated carrier signal” (’528 patent, claims 1, 5, 14)..... 17

C. “switch” (’528 patent, claims 1, 5, 17; ’444 patent, claim 3; ’474 patent; claim 1; ’513 patent, claim 19; ’518 patent, claim 50; ’736 patent, claims 1, 11; ’673 patent, claims 1, 13); “switching device” (’725 patent, claim 1; ’528 patent, claim 8); “switching module” (’902 patent, claim 1) 19

D. “sampling aperture” (’528 patent, claim 1)..... 21

E. “a down-converted signal being generated from said sampled energy” (’902 patent, claim 1)..... 23

F. “the [] switch is coupled to the [] storage element at a [] node and coupled to a [] reference potential” (’474 patent, claim 1)..... 26

G. “under-samples” (’444 patent, claim 2; ’474 patent, claim 6)..... 27

H. Preamble terms..... 28

I. Frequency down-conversion terms..... 29

1. ’444 patent..... 29

2. '474 patent.....	30
3. '673 patent.....	30
J. “universal frequency down-converter” ('518 patent, claim 50)	31
K. “energy transfer module” ('902 patent, claim 1)	32
L. “aliasing module” ('725 patent, claim 1).....	33
M. “a capacitor that reduces a DC offset voltage in said first down-converted signal and said second down-converted signal” ('444 patent, claim 4).....	34
N. “DC offset voltage” ('444 patent, claim 4).....	37
O. Terms alleged to be indefinite.....	38

Table of Authorities

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Andrea Elecs. Corp.</i> , 949 F.3d 697 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	13
<i>Baran v. Med. Device Techs., Inc.</i> , 616 F.3d 1309 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	13
<i>Hill-Rom Serv. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	30
<i>Toro Co. v. White Consol. Indus., Inc.</i> , 266 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	26

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.