

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

PARKERVISION, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

NO. 6:22-cv-01162-ADA

DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR
FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page(s)</u>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENTS.....	2
III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND	3
1. ParkerVision Filed This Case as a Backdoor Attempt to Obtain Discovery	3
2. ParkerVision’s Infringement Allegations Are Based on the Chips of the Wrong Defendant and Unsupported Allegations of Testing	4
3. Realtek Attempted to Resolve this Dispute without Motion Practice.....	7
IV. LEGAL PRINCIPLES	7
1. Pleading Standards.....	7
2. Direct Infringement	8
3. Indirect Infringement.....	8
V. ARGUMENT	9
1. ParkerVision Fails to Plead Any Factual Content to Support a Cause of Action	9
2. ParkerVision Has No Basis for Direct Infringement Because Realtek Is Not Present in the United States	10
3. ParkerVision Has No Basis for Indirect Infringement Because Realtek Had No Knowledge of the Asserted Patents	12
4. ParkerVision Cannot Recover Damages for the Expired Patents Because Realtek Had No Actual or Constructive Notice	13
5. ParkerVision Cannot Recover Damages for the Licensed Hisense Products	13
VI. STAY PENDING APPEAL	14
VII. CONCLUSION	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

<i>Ainsworth v. Rod’s Prod. Servs., LLC</i> , No. A-15-CA-605-SS, 2015 WL 13567093 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 28, 2015).....	1
<i>American Med. Sys., Inc. v. Med. Eng’g Corp.</i> , 6 F.3d 1523 (Fed. Cir. 1993).....	2, 3
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. Bombardier Recreational Prods. Inc.</i> , 876 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	13
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 661 (2009).....	7,8,10
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	7,10
<i>In re Billing of Lading Transmission & Processing Sys. Pat. Litg.</i> , 681 F.3d 1323, 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	9
<i>Bot M8 LLC v. Sony Corp. of Am.</i> , 4 F.4th 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	2,7,9
<i>Carlton v. Freer Inv. Grp., Ltd.</i> , No. 5:15-cv-00946-DAE, 2017 WL 11046201 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 8, 2017).....	7,
<i>Castlemorton Wireless, LLC v. Bose Corp.</i> , No. 6:20-CV-00029-ADA, 2020 WL 6578418 (W.D. Tex. July 22, 2020).....	12
<i>Commil USA, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 575 U.S. 632 (2015).....	2, 12
<i>Crossroads Sys., Inc. v. Dot Hill Sys. Corp.</i> , 2015 WL 3773014 (W.D. Tex. June 16, 2015)	14
<i>De La Vega v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. W-19-CV-00612-ADA, 2020 WL 3528411 (W.D. Tex. Feb. 7, 2020).....	10
<i>Edwin Vega v. Maxim Integrated Prods.</i> , No. 5:15-CV-1138-DAE, slip op. (W.D. Tex. June 14, 2016)	12
<i>Hourexchange, LLC v. Student Loan Benefits, Inc.</i> , No. 1:22-CV-00356-RP, 2023 WL 139150 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 9, 2023).....	9
<i>Impression Prods., Inc. v. Lexmark Int’l, Inc.</i> , 137 S. Ct. 1523 (2017).....	13

...

<i>Innomemory, LLC v. Cullen/Frost Bankers, Inc.</i> , No. 6:22-CV-00672-ADA, slip op. (W.D. Tex. Sept. 30, 2022).....	2
<i>Kirsch Rsch. & Dev., LLC v. Tarco Specialty Prods., Inc.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-318-ADA, 2021 WL 4555804 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 4, 2021).....	14
<i>Lifetime Indus., Inc. v. Trim-Lok, Inc.</i> , 869 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	8, 9
<i>Lubby Holdings LLC v. Chung</i> , 11 F.4th 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	13
<i>MEMC Elec. Materials, Inc. c. Mitsubishi Materials Silicon Corp.</i> , 420 F.3d 1369, 1378 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	9
<i>Motion Picture Patents Co. v. Universal Film Mfg. Co.</i> , 243 U.S. 502 (1917).....	3
<i>NFC Tech. LLC v. HTC Am., Inc.</i> , No. 2:13-cv-1058, 2015 WL 1069111 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 11, 2015).....	14
<i>NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, Ltd.</i> , 418 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (abrogated on other grounds).....	2, 8
<i>Ortiz & Assocs. Consulting, LLC v. Ricoh USA, Inc.</i> , No. 6:21-CV-01178-ADA, 2023 WL 2904583 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 11, 2023)	8
<i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. Hisense Co.</i> , No. 6:20-CV-00870-ADA (filed on September 24, 2020)	3, 4
<i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , No. 6:21-CV-00520 (filed on May 22, 2021).....	3, 4
<i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. TCL Indus. Holdings Co.</i> , No. 6:20-CV-00945 (filed on October 12, 2020)	3, 4
<i>Pellegrini v. Analog Devices, Inc.</i> , 375 F.3d 1113 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	8
<i>Rotec Indus., Inc. v. Mitsubishi Corp.</i> , 215 F.3d 1246 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	8
<i>Sightline Payments, LLC v. Everi Holdings Inc.</i> , No. 6:21-CV-01015-ADA, 2022 WL 2078215 (W.D. Tex. Jun. 1, 2022).....	11
<i>Sonrai Memory Ltd. v. Western Digit. Techs., Inc.</i> , No. 6:21-cv-01168-ADA, 2022 WL 3108818 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 4, 2022)	15
<i>Vervain, LLC v. Micron Tech., Inc.</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00487-ADA, 2022 WL 23469 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 3, 2022).....	9, 10

VirtualAgility Inc. v. Salesforce.com, Inc.,
759 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2014)..... 15

Xylon Licensing LLC v. Lone Star Nat'l Bancshares-Texas, Inc.,
No. 6:21-CV-00302-ADA, 2022 WL 2078030 (W.D. Tex. June 8, 2022) 15

Yip v. Hugs to Go LLC,
377 F. App'x 973 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 11

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 271(a) 8

35 U.S.C. § 287(a) 13

Patent Act Section 271(b) 8

Other Authorities

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) 2, 7, 8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.