

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

ADVANCED SILICON
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 6:22-CV-0466-ADA-DTG

NXP SEMICONDUCTORS N.V.,
NXP B.V., and
NXP USA, INC.,

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Defendants.

**DEFENDANT NXP USA, INC.'S
OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW	1
A.	'945 Patent	1
B.	'435 Patent	3
III.	PRIOR PROCEEDINGS	3
IV.	ARGUMENT	4
A.	Term 1: “A method for reducing power consumption for a video decoder comprising”	4
B.	Term 2: “graphics pipeline”	6
C.	Term 3: “graphics pipelines operative to process data in a dedicated tile”	7
D.	Term 4: “a memory controller . . . operative to transfer pixel data between each of a first pipeline and a second pipeline [the two graphics pipelines] and a memory shared among the at least two graphics pipelines”	10
E.	Term 5: “NxM number of pixels”	13
	1. Applicant Denied “N×M number of pixels” Encompassed an N×N Array.....	13
	2. Applicants’ Arguments in the Prosecution History Prevent Claim 21 From Encompassing a Square Array of Pixels	14
V.	CONCLUSION	16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Am. Piledriving Equip. v. Geoquip, Inc.</i> , 637 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	15
<i>Anglefix, LLC v. Wright Med. Tech., Inc.</i> , No. 2:13-cv-2407, 2015 WL 9581865 (W.D. Tenn. Dec. 30, 2015).....	9
<i>Aylus Networks, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 856 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	9
<i>Catalina Mktg. Int'l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	4, 5
<i>CommScope Techs. LLC v. Dali Wireless Inc.</i> , 10 F.4th 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	12
<i>Computing or Graphics Systems, Components Thereof, and Vehicles Containing Same</i> , Inv. No. 337-TA-984 (ITC May 20, 2016).....	3, 15
<i>Corel Software, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. 2:15-CV-528, 2016 WL 4444747 (D. Utah Aug. 23, 2016).....	9
<i>Corning Glass Works v. Sumitomo Electric U.S.A., Inc.</i> , 868 F.2d 1251 (Fed. Cir. 1989).....	5
<i>Datamize, LLC v. Plumtree Software, Inc.</i> , 417 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	11
<i>Digital Retail Apps, Inc. v. H-E-B, LP</i> , No. 6-19-cv-167-ADA, 2020 WL 376664 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 23, 2020).....	5
<i>Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC v. Sprint Nextel Corp.</i> , No. C-13-4513, 2014 WL 4802426 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 26, 2014)	9
<i>Halliburton Energy Servs., Inc. v. M-I LLC</i> , 514 F.3d 1244 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	11
<i>Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc. v. Avia Grp. Int'l, Inc.</i> , 222 F.3d 951 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	15
<i>Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 182 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	5
<i>Princeton Digital Image Corp. v. Konami Digital Entm't Inc.</i> , No. 12-1461, 2017 WL 6375173 (D. Del. Dec. 13, 2017).....	9

<i>Seachange Int'l, Inc. v. C-COR, Inc.,</i> 413 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	14
<i>Signal IP, Inc. v. Fiat U.S.A., Inc.,</i> No. 14-cv-13864, 2016 WL 5027595 (E.D. Mich. Sept. 20, 2016)	9
<i>Springs Window Fashions LP v. Novo Indus., L.P.,</i> 323 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	14, 15
<i>Texas Instruments Inc. v. Advanced Silicon Techs. LLC,</i> IPR2016-01108 (PTAB May 27, 2016).....	3
<i>Unified Patents Inc. v. Advanced Silicon Techs. LLC,</i> IPR2016-01060 (PTAB May 19, 2016).....	3, 4, 8, 12
<i>Volkswagen Grp. of Am., Inc. v. Advanced Silicon Techs. LLC,</i> IPR2016-00894 (PTAB Apr. 15, 2016).....	3, 4

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
1	Patent Owner's Preliminary Response Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a), <i>Unified Patents Inc. v. Advanced Silicon Techs. LLC</i> , No. IPR2016-01060, Paper 8 (PTAB Aug. 23, 2016) (NXP-AST00156732-85)
2	Patent Owner's Preliminary Response Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.107(a), <i>Volkswagen Grp. Of Am., Inc. v. Advanced Silicon Techs. LLC</i> , No. IPR2016-00894, Paper 9 (PTAB July 18, 2016) (NXP-AST00156668-731)
3	IBM Dictionary of Computing (10th 1994) (NXP-AST00156786-89)
4	The Computer Desktop Encyclopedia (2d 1999) (NXP-AST00156790-92)
5	Excerpt of Prosecution History for the '945 Patent, Applicants' Response (Mar. 14, 2005) (AST0000877-89)
6	Excerpt of Prosecution History for the '945 Patent, Office Action (Feb. 9, 2007) (AST0000751-67)
7	Excerpt of Prosecution History for the '945 Patent, Applicants' Response (June 7, 2007) (AST0000729-44)
8	Excerpt of Prosecution History for the '945 Patent, Office Action (Aug. 28, 2007) (AST0000700-12)

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.