

## EXHIBIT 6

PUBLIC VERSION

**UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C.**

**Before The Honorable Thomas B. Pender  
Administrative Law Judge**

In the Matter of

CERTAIN COMPUTING OR GRAPHICS  
SYSTEMS, COMPONENTS THEREOF,  
AND VEHICLES CONTAINING SAME

Investigation No. 337-TA-984

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE INVESTIGATION AS TO THE HONDA  
RESPONDENTS BASED ON CERTAIN AGREEMENTS, INCLUDING AMONG THE  
PARTIES, AND EXPEDITED REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF PROCEDURAL STAY**

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1337(c) and Commission Rule 210.21(b), Complainant Advanced Silicon Technologies LLC (“Advanced Silicon”) and Respondents Honda Motor Co., Ltd., Honda North America, Inc., American Honda Motor Co., Inc., Honda Engineering North America, Inc., Honda of America Mfg., Inc., Honda Manufacturing of Alabama, LLC, Honda Manufacturing of Indiana, LLC, and Honda R&D Americas, Inc. (collectively the “Honda Respondents”) (Advanced Silicon and Honda collectively, the “Parties”), hereby submit this Joint Motion to Terminate Investigation 337-TA-984 as to the Honda Respondents, in view of certain agreements resolving the disputes between the Parties. These agreements include: (1) a Patent License and License Option Agreement between Advanced Silicon and Third Party [REDACTED] (the “Third Party PLA”) including a sublicense from Third Party [REDACTED] to Honda and a sublicense from Third Party [REDACTED] to Renesas (Exhibit H to the Third Party PLA), (2) a Release Agreement between Advanced Silicon and Honda (the “Release”) and (3) an Escrow Agreement among Advanced Silicon, Renesas, [REDACTED] and Citibank

Investigation No. 337-TA-984

**JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE INVESTIGATION AS TO  
THE HONDA RESPONDENTS BASED ON CERTAIN AGREEMENTS, INCLUDING AMONG THE PARTIES,  
AND EXPEDITED REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF PROCEDURAL STAY**

## PUBLIC VERSION

N.A. (the “Escrow Agreement”) (together the “Agreements”). The Parties also respectfully request that the stay of the procedural schedule currently in effect be extended until this Motion is decided.

A true and correct copy of the Release, redacted to protect the disclosure of confidential business information, is attached as Public Exhibit A, and a confidential unredacted version of the Release is attached as Confidential Exhibit A-1.

A true and correct copy of the Third Party PLA, redacted to protect the disclosure of confidential business information, is attached as Public Exhibit B, and a highly confidential unredacted version of the Third Party PLA is attached as Highly Confidential Exhibit B-1. Contemporaneously with a similar motion to terminate filed earlier this week with respect to the Fujitsu Ten Respondents, Advanced Silicon also filed a motion pursuant to Commission Rule 210.21(a)(1), requesting that the ALJ limit service of the highly confidential unredacted Third Party PLA to the Parties and Staff. *See* Motion Dkt. 984-070. For the same reasons set forth in that Motion to Limit Service, Advanced Silicon respectfully requests here that the ALJ limit service of the same agreement in the same way.

A true and correct copy of the Escrow Agreement, redacted to protect the disclosure of confidential business information, is attached as Public Exhibit C, and a confidential minimally redacted version of the Escrow Agreement is attached as Confidential Exhibit C-1.<sup>1</sup>

The Release has been executed by Advanced Silicon and the Honda Respondents, and is fully effective as between them. The Agreements contain Confidential Business Information within the meaning of 19 C.F.R. § 201.6(a), including, but not limited to, sensitive license terms,

---

<sup>1</sup> Advanced Silicon has not received – and indeed, has no right to receive – a fully redacted version of the Escrow Agreement. *See* Ex. C-1 at Section 4.11. Moreover, the Escrow Agreement has terminated and is no longer in effect. *See id.* at Section 1-7.

## PUBLIC VERSION

the disclosure of which could impair parties' ability to enter into agreements. The Agreements collectively completely resolve the dispute between Advanced Silicon and the Honda Respondents in this Investigation.

Collectively, the Agreements together with their exhibits reflect the entire and only agreements between the Parties, and any agreements relating to the resolution of the dispute between the Parties, regarding the subject matter of this Investigation. The Parties represent that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between the Parties concerning the subject matter of this Investigation.

The Parties agree that termination of this Investigation on the basis of the Agreements as to only the Honda Respondents does not constitute a determination as to the violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, including as to the merits of the claims or defenses raised during this Investigation. *See* 19 C.F.R. § 210.21(b)(2).

In view of the Agreements, there no longer exists a basis upon which to continue this Investigation as to the Honda Respondents. Further, termination of this Investigation as to the Honda Respondents at this stage of the proceedings poses no threat to the public interest. Indeed, it is in the interest of the public and administrative economy to grant this motion. Commission policy and the public interest generally favor agreements, which preserve resources for both the Commission and the private parties, and motions to terminate based on agreements are routinely granted. *See, e.g., Certain Communications or Computing Devices and Components Thereof*, Inv. No. 337-TA-925, Order No. 37 (June 5, 2015) (terminating one respondent based on agreement); *Certain Consumer Electronics with Display and Processing Capabilities*, Inv. No. 337-TA-884, Order No. 15 (Aug. 1, 2014) (terminating investigation based on agreements among complainant, certain respondents, and a third party); *Certain*

Investigation No. 337-TA-984

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE INVESTIGATION AS TO  
THE HONDA RESPONDENTS BASED ON CERTAIN AGREEMENTS, INCLUDING AMONG THE PARTIES,  
AND EXPEDITED REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF PROCEDURAL STAY

## PUBLIC VERSION

*Consumer Electronics and Display Devices and Products Containing Same*, Inv. No. 337-TA-836, Order No. 30 at 3 (January 28, 2013) (unreviewed) (terminating investigation based on agreements among complainant, remaining respondents, and a third party); *Certain Portable Communication Devices*, Inv. No. 337-TA-827, Order No. 15 at 2 (May 31, 2012) (unreviewed) (same) (citation omitted).<sup>2</sup>

Moreover, termination of this Investigation as to the Honda Respondents pursuant to the Agreements will not have any adverse impact on the public health and welfare and/or competitive conditions in the United States. Accordingly, the Administrative Law Judge is authorized to issue an initial determination terminating this Investigation pursuant to 19 C.F.R. § 210.21(b).

Counsel for the Parties have consulted with the other Respondents and with Commission Investigative Staff Attorney regarding this motion. The other Respondents do not oppose the motion. Commission Investigative Attorney will provide the position of the Office of Unfair Import Investigations after reviewing these papers in more detail.

For the foregoing reasons, the Parties respectfully request that the Administrative Law Judge grant this motion and issue an initial determination terminating this Investigation with respect to the Honda Respondents only based on the accompanying Agreements.

---

<sup>2</sup> See also *Certain Equipment for Telecommunications or Data Communications Networks, Including Routers, Switches, and Hubs, and Components Thereof*, Inv. No. 337-TA-574, Order No. 27 at 4 (May 24, 2007) (“termination of litigation under these circumstances is generally in the public interest”); *Certain Semiconductor Chips and Products Containing Same*, Inv. No. 337-TA-753, Order No. 62 at 2-3 (February 22, 2012) (granting joint motion to partially terminate investigation on grounds that termination of the investigation based on the agreement would have no adverse impact on the public interest); *Certain Video Analytics Software, Systems, Components Thereof, and Product Containing Same*, Inv. No. 337-TA-795, Order No. 19 at 1-2 (February 23, 2012) (granting joint motion to terminate, and noting that “[t]he Commission has stated that ‘in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, termination of the investigation will be readily granted to a complainant during the prehearing stage of an investigation.’”) (citations omitted).

Investigation No. 337-TA-984

JOINT MOTION TO TERMINATE INVESTIGATION AS TO  
THE HONDA RESPONDENTS BASED ON CERTAIN AGREEMENTS, INCLUDING AMONG THE PARTIES,  
AND EXPEDITED REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF PROCEDURAL STAY

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.