EXHIBIT J

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

AIRE TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, Patent Owner.

IPR2022-01136 U.S. Patent No. 8,174,360

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PETI	TION	ER'S E	EXHIBIT LIST	6					
I.	INTRODUCTION								
II.	GRO	GROUNDS FOR STANDING							
III.	NOTE								
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE '360 PATENT								
V.	PROSECUTION HISTORY								
VI.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART12								
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION								
VIII.	RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED RELIEF								
IX.	IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE								
	A.	Chall	enged Claims	16					
	B.	Statu	tory Grounds for Challenges	16					
	C.	Ground 1: Claims 1 and 15 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Tobergte in view of Cole							
		1.	Summary of Tobergte	17					
		2.	Summary of Cole	22					
		3.	Reasons to Combine Tobergte and Cole	25					
		4.	Claim 1	31					
		5.	Claim 15	47					



D.	Ground 2: Claims 2 and 3 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Tobergte in view of Cole and further in view of Schuermann 5				
	1.	Summary of Schuermann	. 50		
	2.	Reasons to Combine Tobergte and Cole with Schuermann	. 52		
	3.	Claim 2	. 56		
	4.	Claim 3	. 58		
Е.	Ground 3: Claims 8-9 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Tobergte in view of Cole and in further view of O'Toole				
	1.	Summary of O'Toole	. 59		
	2.	Reasons to Combine Tobergte and Cole with O'Toole	. 60		
	3.	Claim 8	. 63		
	4.	Claim 9	. 66		
F.		und 4: Claims 10 and 11 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 Tobergte, Cole, O'Toole, and Plonsky	. 68		
	1.	Summary of Plonsky	. 68		
	2.	Reasons to Combine Plonsky with Tobergte, Cole, and O'Toole	. 68		
	3.	Claim 10	. 70		
	4.	Claim 11	. 72		
DISC	CRET]	IONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE	73		
A.	Discretionary denial under the <i>Fintiv</i> factors is not appropriate 73				
	1.	No evidence regarding a stay	. 74		



X.

IPR2022-01136 Petition *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. 8,174,360

		2.	Parallel proceeding trial date	74		
		3.	Investment in the parallel proceeding	75		
		4.	Overlapping issues with the parallel proceeding	76		
		5.	Petitioner is a defendant	76		
		6.	Other circumstances	76		
	B.	The I	Fintiv Framework Should Be Overturned	77		
	C.	Disci	Discretionary denial under <i>General Plastic</i> is not appropriate			
		1.	Apple is a different, unrelated petitioner.	78		
		2.	Factor 2 is of little probative value.	79		
		3.	No previous patent owner's preliminary response.	80		
		4.	Fourth and fifth factors are inapplicable.	80		
		5.	The finite resources of the Board and the requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11)	80		
	D.	Discretionary denial under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) is not appropriate 8		81		
		1.	Becton, Dickinson Factor (c)	82		
		2.	Becton, Dickinson Factors (e) and (f).	83		
		3.	Conclusion	84		
XI.	CON	CLUS	ION	85		
XII.	MANDATORY NOTICES					
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest				
	R	Related Matters 80				



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

