EXHIBIT I

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner,

v.

AIRE TECHNOLOGY LIMITED, Patent Owner.

IPR2022-01135 U.S. Patent No. 8,205,249

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PEII	HONI	ER'S I	EXHIBIT LIST	3					
I.	INTRODUCTION								
II.	GRO	GROUNDS FOR STANDING							
III.	NOT	NOTE							
IV.	SUM	UMMARY OF THE '249 PATENT8							
V.	PROS	OSECUTION HISTORY11							
VI.	LEVI	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART13							
VII.	CLA	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION1							
VIII.		LIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE QUESTED RELIEF16							
IX.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE								
	A. Discretionary denial under the <i>Fintiv</i> factors is not appropriate								
		1.	No evidence regarding a stay	16					
		2.	Parallel proceeding trial date	17					
		3.	Investment in the parallel proceeding	17					
		4.	Overlapping issues with the parallel proceeding	18					
		5.	Petitioner is a defendant	19					
		6.	Other circumstances	19					
	B. The <i>Fintiv</i> Framework Should Be Overturned								
	C.	Discretionary denial under <i>General Plastic</i> is not appropriate 20							



		1.	Whether the same petitioner previously filed a petition directed to the same claims of the same patent	. 20
		2.	Whether at the time of filing of the first petition the petitioner knew of the prior art asserted in the second petition or should have known of it.	. 22
		3.	Whether at the time of filing of the second petition the petitioner already received the patent owner's preliminary response to the first petition or received the Board's decision on whether to institute review in the first petition.	. 23
		4.	Fourth and fifth factors are inapplicable	. 23
		5.	The finite resources of the Board and the requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11)	. 23
	D.	Discr	etionary denial under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) is not appropriate	. 23
X.	IDEN	ITIFIC	CATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE	24
	A.	Chall	enged Claims	. 24
	B.	Statu	tory Grounds for Challenges	. 24
	C.		nd 1: Claims 1-12 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over er and Cheng	. 25
		1.	Summary of Burger	. 25
		2.	Summary of Cheng	. 30
		3.	Reasons to Combine Burger and Cheng	. 32
		4.	Claim 1	. 38
		5.	Claim 2	. 55
		6.	Claim 3	. 56



Case 6:21-cv-01101-ADA Document 73-11 Filed 01/19/23 Page 5 of 72

IPR2022-01135 Petition Inter Partes Review of U.S. 8,205,249

		7.	Claim 4	57
		8.	Claim 5	57
		9.	Claim 6	58
		10.	Claim 7	60
		11.	Claim 8	61
		12.	Claim 9	63
		13.	Claim 10	65
		14.	Claim 11	66
		15.	Claim 12	67
XI.	CON	CLUS	ION	.67
XII.	MAN	ANDATORY NOTICES68		
	A.	Real Party-in-Interest		
	B.	Relat	ed Matters	68
	C.	Lead	and Back-up Counsel and Service Information	68
CER	ΓIFIC	ATE O	F WORD COUNT	.70
CER	ΓIFICA	ATE O	F SERVICE	.71



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

