

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

AIRE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-01101-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF AIRE TECHNOLOGY LTD.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO
AMEND PRELIMINARY INFRINGEMENT CONTENTIONS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	ARGUMENT.....	1
	A. Aire diligently sought amendment	1
	B. Adding claim 13 of the '249 Patent is Important	3
	C. There is no prejudice to Apple and any prejudice may be cured	4
III.	CONCLUSION	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Commonwealth Sci. & Indus. Rsch. Organisation v. Mediatek Inc.,
No. 6:12-CV-578, 2014 WL 12616679 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 4, 2014).....3

Levine v. Samsung Telecomms. Am., LLC,
No. 2:09-CV-372, 2012 WL 13009216 (E.D. Tex. July 25, 2012).....2

Nomadix, Inc. v. Guest-Tek Interactive Ent. Ltd.,
No. 2:19-CV-04980-ABF-FMX, 2020 WL 1039003 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 3, 2020).....4

Orion IP, LLC v. Home Depot USA, Inc.,
No. 2:05-CV-306, 2005 WL 8161153 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 7, 2005).....4

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 2712

Other Authorities

Charles Alan Wright, Arthur R. Miller & Edward H. Cooper,
Federal Practice and Procedure § 4409 (3d ed. 2017).....4

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Aire Technology Limited’s (“Aire”) Motion to Amend its Preliminary Infringement Contentions is supported by good cause and should be granted. Apple’s opposition (Dkt. No. 66 (“Opp.”)) does not undercut the fact that all four factors for evaluating good cause weigh in Aire’s favor. Apple complains that Aire should have done *less diligence* and asserted claim 13 of the ’249 Patent merely based on a February 2022 press release announcing Apple’s “plans to introduce” the accused Tap to Pay feature “[l]ater this year.” Dkt. No. 66-2 at 1-2. But a sparse press release noting a plan to introduce a feature at some unspecified time does not establish that Apple made, used, offered to sell, or sold the infringing feature. Rather, Aire diligently tracked Apple’s roll-out of its Tap to Pay feature in Summer 2022, and promptly provided a claim chart to Apple once Aire confirmed that the feature was being used in the United States and solidified its belief of infringement through observing its use in the marketplace.

Apple’s other arguments are unpersuasive. Adding claim 13 to this case is important to avoid a wasteful second litigation—which would not be precluded by the doctrine of claim splitting because Aire’s claim arose after the pleadings closed in this action. Apple is also not prejudiced by the addition of claim 13 given the revised Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 61), which provides sufficient time for any additional discovery and to address any new claim construction issues. Indeed, Aire has already agreed that Apple may (1) have additional time to file its final invalidity contentions and (2) brief additional claim construction terms. Thus, to the extent there is any prejudice, the time left in the Scheduling Order is more than sufficient to cure that prejudice.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Aire diligently sought amendment

Apple argues that because it announced in February 2022 its intention to release the accused Tap to Pay feature sometime later that year, that Aire should have asserted claim 13 of the

'249 Patent in February 2022. Opp. at 4. Not so. In Apple's February 8, 2022 Press Release, it merely "*announced plans to introduce Tap to Pay on iPhone.*"¹ Dkt. No. 66-2 at 1-2 (noting "*Later this year, US merchants will be able to accept Apple Pay*" and "[o]nce Tap to Pay on iPhone becomes available...."). In other words, Apple simply noted that it had "plans" to release its Tap to Pay feature in February 2022—it did not make the feature available at that time. Additionally, the February 2022 Press Release explains that the feature would first be available in a limited capacity through a single payment platform in the "spring" of 2022 and that "[a]dditional payment platforms and apps will follow *later this year.*" *Id.* at 2. As such, Aire had no other facts in February 2022 to conclude that the Tap to Pay feature was made, used, offered for sale, or actually sold to consumers that could serve as the basis for a claim of patent infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271. And, it is for this very reason that Aire had to confirm the "real-world" use of the feature to add claim 13 of the '249 Patent to its preliminary infringement contentions.

Further, Apple complains that "Aire's amendment does not rely on any purported investigation of 'real-world use'" and cites "[s]imilar disclosures [that] appear in Apple's February 8, 2022 press release...." Opp. at 5. But without any real-world investigation of the use of the Tap to Pay feature, there was no way for Aire to know that it could rely on certain representations and depictions in Apple's documents to ensure Apple was on notice of its infringement theory.²

Apple also maintains that Aire's diligence arguments should somehow be disregarded because Aire's counsel did not divulge the specific "details of its [] 'real world' investigation."

¹ All emphasis added unless stated otherwise.

² This case is not like *Levine* because, in that case, the "Plaintiff delayed six months or more after the *release* of the phones at issue before moving for leave to amend." *Levine v. Samsung Telecomms. Am., LLC*, No. 2:09-CV-372, 2012 WL 13009216, at *3 (E.D. Tex. July 25, 2012). Here, Aire sought to amend contemporaneously with the *release* of Apple's Tap to Pay feature.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.