

# Exhibit 16

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

AIRE TECHNOLOGY LIMITED,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2022-01136  
U.S. Patent No. 8,174,360

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 312 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.104**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PETITIONER’S EXHIBIT LIST .....6

I. INTRODUCTION .....7

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING.....8

III. NOTE.....8

IV. SUMMARY OF THE ’360 PATENT .....8

V. PROSECUTION HISTORY .....12

VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....12

VII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....13

VIII. RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASONS FOR THE  
REQUESTED RELIEF .....15

IX. IDENTIFICATION OF HOW THE CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE....16

    A. Challenged Claims ..... 16

    B. Statutory Grounds for Challenges ..... 16

    C. Ground 1: Claims 1 and 15 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103  
    over Tobergte in view of Cole..... 17

        1. Summary of Tobergte ..... 17

        2. Summary of Cole ..... 22

        3. Reasons to Combine Tobergte and Cole..... 25

        4. Claim 1 ..... 31

        5. Claim 15 ..... 47

D.	Ground 2: Claims 2 and 3 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Tobergte in view of Cole and further in view of Schuermann. ....	50
1.	Summary of Schuermann.....	50
2.	Reasons to Combine Tobergte and Cole with Schuermann.....	52
3.	Claim 2 .....	56
4.	Claim 3 .....	58
E.	Ground 3: Claims 8-9 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Tobergte in view of Cole and in further view of O’Toole.....	59
1.	Summary of O’Toole .....	59
2.	Reasons to Combine Tobergte and Cole with O’Toole.....	60
3.	Claim 8.....	63
4.	Claim 9.....	66
F.	Ground 4: Claims 10 and 11 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Tobergte, Cole, O’Toole, and Plonsky .....	68
1.	Summary of Plonsky .....	68
2.	Reasons to Combine Plonsky with Tobergte, Cole, and O’Toole .....	68
3.	Claim 10.....	70
4.	Claim 11 .....	72
X.	DISCRETIONARY DENIAL WOULD BE INAPPROPRIATE.....	73
A.	Discretionary denial under the <i>Fintiv</i> factors is not appropriate.....	73
1.	No evidence regarding a stay .....	74

IPR2022-01136 Petition  
*Inter Partes* Review of U.S. 8,174,360

---

2.	Parallel proceeding trial date .....	74
3.	Investment in the parallel proceeding.....	75
4.	Overlapping issues with the parallel proceeding .....	76
5.	Petitioner is a defendant.....	76
6.	Other circumstances.....	76
B.	The <i>Fintiv</i> Framework Should Be Overturned.....	77
C.	Discretionary denial under <i>General Plastic</i> is not appropriate.....	77
1.	Apple is a different, unrelated petitioner. ....	78
2.	Factor 2 is of little probative value. ....	79
3.	No previous patent owner’s preliminary response. ....	80
4.	Fourth and fifth factors are inapplicable.....	80
5.	The finite resources of the Board and the requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11).....	80
D.	Discretionary denial under 35 U.S.C. § 325(d) is not appropriate ....	81
1.	<i>Becton, Dickinson</i> Factor (c).....	82
2.	<i>Becton, Dickinson</i> Factors (e) and (f). ....	83
3.	Conclusion .....	84
XI.	CONCLUSION.....	85
XII.	MANDATORY NOTICES .....	86
A.	Real Party-in-Interest .....	86
B.	Related Matters.....	86

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.