

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS..... i

TABLE OF EXHIBITS iii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iv

I. U.S. Patent No. 8,174,360 (the '360 Patent)..... 1

 A. “a measuring device for monitoring a property of the transmission oscillator which outputs a control signal when ascertaining a change of the monitored property, the monitored property of the transmission oscillator includes the frequency or impedance of the transmission oscillator in resonance” (claim 1) 1

 1. A “measuring device” is not a name for structure 1

 2. The specification fails to disclose adequate corresponding structure for performing the claimed function 4

 B. “control signal” (claim 1, 11, and 15)..... 5

 C. “bandwidth” (claim 2)..... 6

II. U.S. Patent No. 8,205,249 (“the '249 Patent”) 7

 A. “an inherently relatively lower quality and an inherently relatively higher quality from a security perspective” (claims 1 and 10) 7

 1. The specification’s single example does not provide an exclusive definition for this claim term 8

 2. The claims are broader than the specification’s single example..... 9

 3. The specification’s single example provides no objective criteria for determining the relative quality of other authentication methods..... 10

 4. Aire’s backup argument that the Court should expressly construe the claims as limited by the specification’s example lacks merit 13

 B. “the portable data carrier is arranged to perform a user authentication...to confirm the authentication to a terminal, and...to create quality information about said user authentication method used and to attach such quality information to the result of the security establishing operation” (claim 10) 14

III. U.S. Patent No. 8,581,706 (“the '706 Patent”) 15

A. “security module” (claim 18)..... 15

IV. CONCLUSION..... 15

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Ex. No.	Description	Shorthand
1	U.S. Patent No. 8,174,360	'360 patent
2	U.S. Patent No. 8,205,249	'249 patent
3	U.S. Patent No. 8,581,706	'706 patent
4	Declaration of Dr. Michael Caloyannides	Caloyannides Decl.
5	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,174,360 (Excerpted)	'360 Pros. Hist.
6	Finkenzeller, RFID Handbook: Radio-Frequency Identification Fundamentals and Applications (1999)	Finkenzeller
7	Declaration of Dr. John Black	Black Decl.
8	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,249 (Excerpted)	'249 Pros. Hist.
9	U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 20030101348 to Russo et al.	Russo
10	Ross Anderson, Security Engineering (1st ed. 2001)	Anderson
11	Lawrence O'Gorman, Securing Business's Front Door – Password, Token, and Biometric Authentication (2002)	O'Gorman
12	Ruud M. Bolle et al., Biometric perils and patches, 35 Pattern Recognition 2727 (Oct. 2001)	Bolle
13	Hurford, Grammar: A Student's Guide (1994)	Hurford
14	Vitto, Grammar by Diagram (2003)	Vitto
15	U.S. Patent No. 8,695,883	'883 patent
16	U.S. Patent No. 7,161,738	'738 patent
17	American Heritage Dict. of the Eng. Lang. (3d ed. 1992)	Amer. Heritage
18	Oxford English Dictionary (1961)	Oxford
19	Webster's Third New Int'l Dictionary (2002)	Webster's
20	Andrew Hutchinson & Marc Welz, Incremental Security in Open, Untrusted Networks, Future Trends in Distributed Computer Systems 151–154 (Nov. 1999)	Huchinson & Welz
21	Gregory R. Ganger, Authentication Confidences (Apr. 28, 2001)	Ganger
22	Jalal Al-Muhtadi et al., A Flexible, Privacy-Preserving Authentication Framework for Ubiquitous Computing Environments, Proceedings 22nd International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems Workshops (July 2002)	Al-Muhtadi
23	Plaintiff's Preliminary Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions to Defendant Apple Inc., Exhibit B (U.S. Patent No. 8,205,249)	'249 Infringement Contentions
24	Douglas A. Downing, Ph.D. et al., Dictionary of Computer and Internet Terms, 262 (Barron's Educational Series, Inc. 8 th ed. 2003)	Downing
25	Transcript of Deposition of Dr. Michael C. Brogioli	Brogioli Tr.
26	Applicant Remarks and Amendments, dated November 26, 2008, filed in the prosecution of U.S. Patent No. 8,205,249 (U.S. Patent App. No. 10-531,259)	'249 Pros. Hist.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>AstraZeneca AB v. Mylan Pharms. Inc.</i> , 19 F.4th 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	6
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. ITC</i> , 873 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	15
<i>Dyfan, LLC v. Target Corp.</i> , 28 F. 4th 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2022)	2
<i>Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.</i> , 766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	7, 8, 10, 13
<i>Inventio AG v Thyssenkrupp Elevator Americas Corp.</i> , 649 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	3
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	4, 10, 13
<i>Rodime PLC v. Seagate Tech., Inc.</i> , 174 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	10
<i>Ruckus Wireless, Inc. v. Innovative Wireless Sols., LLC</i> , 824 F.3d 999 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	13
<i>In re Walter</i> , 698 F. App'x 1022 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	11
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC</i> , 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	3, 4
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶ 6.....	1, 2, 3, 4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.