

# Exhibit 1

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent of: Finkenzeller  
U.S. Patent No.: 8,174,360 Attorney Docket No.: 39843-0130IP1  
Issue Date: May 8, 2012  
Appl. Serial No.: 10/565,732  
PCT Filing Date: July 29, 2004  
Title: COMMUNICATION APPARATUS FOR SETTING UP A DATA  
CONNECTION BETWEEN INTELLIGENT DEVICES

**Mail Stop Patent Board**

Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT  
NO. 8,174,360 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|             |   |           |
|-------------|---|-----------|
| <b>I.</b>   | <b>REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR .....</b>   | <b>1</b>  |
|             | <b>A. Grounds for Standing.....</b>   | <b>1</b>  |
|             | <b>B. Challenge and Relief Requested.....</b>                                 | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>II.</b>  | <b>SUMMARY OF THE '360 PATENT.....</b>  | <b>2</b>  |
|             | <b>A. Brief Description.....</b>  | <b>2</b>  |
|             | <b>B. Prosecution History.....</b>  | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>III.</b> | <b>LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL.....</b>   | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>IV.</b>  | <b>CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....</b>   | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>V.</b>   | <b>DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS.....</b>                                   | <b>6</b>  |
|             | <b>A. GROUND 1: Naruse in view of Nishikawa renders obvious claim 1 .....</b> | <b>6</b>  |
|             | 1. Overview of Naruse.....  | 6         |
|             | 2. Overview of Nishikawa.....   | 9         |
|             | 3. The Predictable Naruse-Nishikawa Combination.....                          | 9         |
|             | 4. Application of the Naruse-Nishikawa combination to Claim 1 ....            | 12        |
|             | <b>B. GROUND 2: Naruse in view of Nishikawa and Cole renders obvious</b>      |           |
|             | <b>claims 2-7 and 17.....</b>   | <b>18</b> |
|             | 1. Overview of Cole .....   | 18        |
|             | 2. The Predictable Naruse-Nishikawa-Cole Combination.....                     | 23        |
|             | 3. Application of the Naruse-Nishikawa-Cole combination to Claims             |           |
|             | 2-7 and 16-17.....  | 29        |
|             | <b>C. GROUND 3: Naruse in view of Nishikawa and Rodenbeck renders</b>         |           |
|             | <b>obvious claims 8-9 .....</b>   | <b>42</b> |
|             | 1. Overview of Rodenbeck.....   | 42        |
|             | 2. The Predictable Naruse-Nishikawa-Rodenbeck Combination ....                | 47        |
|             | 3. Application of the Naruse-Nishikawa-Rodenbeck combination to               |           |
|             | claims 8-9 .....  | 49        |
|             | <b>D. GROUND 4: Naruse in view of Nishikawa, Rodenbeck, and Plonsky</b>       |           |
|             | <b>renders obvious claims 10-12 .....</b>                                     | <b>53</b> |
|             | 1. Overview of Plonsky .....  | 53        |
|             | 2. The Predictable Naruse-Nishikawa-Rodenbeck-Plonsky                         |           |
|             | Combination .....   | 57        |
|             | 3. Application of the Naruse-Nishikawa-Rodenbeck-Plonsky                      |           |
|             | combination to claims 10-12 .....   | 60        |

Attorney Docket No. 39843-0130IP1  
IPR of U.S. Patent No. 8,174,360

|              |   |    |
|--------------|---|----|
| <b>E.</b>    | GROUND 5: Naruse in view of Nishikawa and Clark renders obvious claims 13-14..... | 65 |
| 1.           | Overview of Clark.....  | 65 |
| 2.           | The Predictable Naruse-Nishikawa-Clark Combination.....                           | 68 |
| 3.           | Application of the Naruse-Nishikawa-Clark combination to claims 13-14.....        | 71 |
| <b>F.</b>    | GROUND 6: Naruse anticipates claim 15.....  | 74 |
| <b>G.</b>    | GROUND 7: Naruse in view of Cole renders obvious claim 16 .....                   | 75 |
| <b>VI.</b>   | DISCRETIONARY DENIAL IS NEITHER APPROPRIATE NOR EQUITABLE.....                    | 76 |
| <b>VII.</b>  | CONCLUSION.....   | 80 |
| <b>VIII.</b> | FEES .....  | 80 |
| <b>IX.</b>   | MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1).....                                | 80 |
| <b>A.</b>    | Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....                          | 80 |
| <b>B.</b>    | Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....                                 | 80 |
| <b>C.</b>    | Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....                        | 80 |
| <b>D.</b>    | Service Information .....   | 81 |

**EXHIBITS**

- EX1001 U.S. Patent No. 8,174,360 to Finkenzeller (“the ’360 patent”)
- EX1002 Prosecution History of the ’360 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
- EX1003 Declaration of Emmanouil M. Tentzeris, Ph.D.
- EX1004 Certified English Translation of JP Patent Pub. No. 2001/243431 to Naruse et al. (“Naruse”)
- EX1005 U.S. Patent No. 6,144,299 to Cole (“Cole”)
- EX1006 Corrected FIG. 3 from Cole
- EX1007 U.S. Patent No. 6,535,136 to Rodenbeck et al. (“Rodenbeck”)
- EX1008 Certified English Translation of JP Patent Pub. No. 2001/283162 to Nishikawa et. al. (“Nishikawa”)
- EX1009 U.S. Patent No. 5,049,857 to Plonsky (“Plonsky”)
- EX1010 EP Patent Pub. No. 0 052 933 B1 to Clark (“Clark”)
- EX1011 JP Patent Pub. No. 2001/243431 to Naruse et al. (“Naruse”)
- EX1012 JP Patent Pub. No. 2001/283162 to Nishikawa et. al. (“Nishikawa”)
- EX1013 Klaus Finkenzeller, RFID Handbook (Rachel Waddington, trans., John Wiley & Son, Ltd. 1st ed. 1999)
- EX1014 ISO/IEC 14443-3 Identification Cards - Contactless Integrated Circuit(s) Cards – Proximity Cards – Part 3: Initialization and Anticollision (Feb. 1, 2001)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.