

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

AIRE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 6:21-cv-955-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

AIRE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-1101-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

AIRE TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-1104-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF AIRE TECHNOLOGY LTD.'S RESPONSIVE
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. DISPUTED TERMS..... 1

 A. U.S. Patent No. 8,174,360 (“the ’360 Patent”) 1

 i. “a measuring device...”, Claim 1 1

 1. The claim does not use “means for” and is presumed not to invoke 112(6) . 1

 2. The claims, specification, and prosecution history confirm the term “measuring device” has a structural meaning..... 2

 3. The claim itself connotes sufficiently definite structure by reciting the objectives and operations of the “measuring device” 6

 4. The term “measuring device” has a structural meaning in the relevant arts.. 7

 5. The claim is not indefinite 8

 ii. “a control signal”, Claim 1, 11, and 15 9

 iii. “bandwidth”, Claim 2 11

 B. U.S. Patent No. 8,205,249 (“the ’249 Patent”) 13

 i. “an inherently relatively lower quality and an inherently relatively higher quality from a security perspective”, Claims 1 and 10..... 13

 1. The ’249 Patent informs the scope of the invention with reasonable certainty 14

 2. The prosecution history further informs the scope of the invention with reasonable certainty 17

 3. Defendants’ other invalidity arguments are unpersuasive 19

 ii. “a security-establishing operation ... comprising the steps of”, Claim 1 22

 iii. “the portable data carrier is arranged to perform a user authentication . . . to confirm the authentication to a terminal, and . . . to create quality information about said user authentication method used and to attach such quality information to the result of the security establishing operation”, Claim 10..... 22

 1. No construction is necessary..... 22

2.	Claim 1 confirms that Claim 10 does not contain a limiting order of steps	25
3.	The prosecution history supports the plain and ordinary meaning of Claim 10.....	26
C.	U.S. Patent No. 8,581,706 (“the ’706 Patent”)	28
i.	“security module”, Claim 18	28
III.	CONCLUSION.....	30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases**

<i>Apple Inc. v. Motorola, Inc.</i> , 757 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Atser Rsch. Techs., Inc. v. Raba-Kistner Consultants Inc.</i> , No. SA-07-CA-93-H, 2009 WL 10701109 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 27, 2009).....	29
<i>Avid Tech., Inc. v. Harmonic, Inc.</i> , 812 F.3d 1040 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	27
<i>CA, Inc. v. Netflix, Inc.</i> , No. 2:21-cv-00080-JRG-RSP, 2021 WL 5323413 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 16, 2021).....	6
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n</i> , 873 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	26
<i>CyWee Grp., Ltd. v. Huawei Device Co.</i> , No. 2:17-CV-00495-WCB-RSP, 2018 WL 6419484 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 6, 2018).....	14
<i>Dyfan, LLC v. Target Corp.</i> , 28 F.4th 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2022)	2, 5, 8
<i>Evolusion Concepts, Inc. v. HOC Events, Inc.</i> , 22 F.4th 1361 (Fed. Cir. Jan. 14, 2022)	24
<i>GE Lighting Sols., LLC v. Lights of Am., Inc.</i> , 663 F. App’x 938 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	21
<i>Hill-Rom Servs., Inc. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	9
<i>Hologic, Inc. v. SenoRx, Inc.</i> , 639 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	21
<i>Intelligent Water Sols., LLC v. Kohler Co.</i> , No. 16-cv-689, 2017 WL 2444723 (E.D. Tex. June 5, 2017)	6
<i>Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.</i> , 766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	21
<i>Inventio AG v. ThyssenKrupp Elevator Ams. Corp.</i> , 649 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	2, 6
<i>Jack Guttman, Inc. v. Kopykake Enterprises, Inc.</i> , 302 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	29

<i>Kara Tech. Inc. v. Stamps.com Inc.</i> , 582 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	25
<i>Linear Tech. Corp. v. Impala Linear Corp.</i> , 379 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	6, 7
<i>Mformation Techs., Inc. v. Rsch. in Motion Ltd.</i> , 764 F.3d 1392 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	22
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instrus., Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 898 (2014).....	13
<i>Niazi Licensing Corp. v. St. Jude Med. S.C., Inc.</i> , 30 F.4th 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2022)	13, 22
<i>Omega Eng'g, Inc. v. Raytek Corp.</i> , 334 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	27
<i>One-E-Way, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 859 F.3d 1059 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	13
<i>Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Caraco Pharm. Labs., Ltd.</i> , 476 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	19
<i>Prima Tek II, L.L.C. v. Polypap, S.A.R.L.</i> , 318 F.3d 1143 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	23
<i>Rambus Inc. v. Infineon Techs.</i> , Ag, 318 F.3d 1081 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	28
<i>Rodime PLC v. Seagate Tech., Inc.</i> , 174 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	26
<i>Ruckus Wireless, Inc. v. Innovative Wireless Sols., LLC</i> , 824 F.3d 999 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	22
<i>Skky, Inc. v. MindGeek, s.a.r.l.</i> , 859 F.3d 1014 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	8
<i>Sonix Tech. Co. v. Pubs. Int'l, Ltd.</i> , 844 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	13, 21
<i>Sonrai Memory Ltd. v. Oracle Corp.</i> , No. 1:22-CV-94-LY, 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 46372 (W.D. Tex. Mar. 16, 2022)	6
<i>Summit 6, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , 802 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	23
<i>Thorner v. Sony Comput. Entm't Am. LLC</i> ,	

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.