



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

		<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	BACKGROUND .....	1
	A. Procedural Background.....	1
	B. Plaintiff Jawbone Innovations, LLC .....	2
	C. Defendant Apple Inc. Has Significant Connections to this District .....	3
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS .....	4
IV.	ARGUMENT .....	5
	D. The Private Interest Factors Do Not Favor Transfer .....	5
	1. The Relative Ease of Access to Sources of Proof Weighs Against Transfer .....	5
	2. The Cost of Attendance for Willing Witnesses Weighs Against Transfer .....	8
	3. The Availability of Compulsory Process to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses Weighs Against Transfer.....	11
	4. Judicial Economy Favors the WDTX.....	13
	E. The Public Interest Factors Do Not Favor Transfer.....	13
	1. The Local Interests Weigh Against Transfer .....	14
	2. Administrative Difficulties Flowing from Court Congestion Weigh Against Transfer .....	14
	F. The Totality of the Circumstances Fails to Show NDCA is Clearly More Convenient .....	15
V.	CONCLUSION.....	15

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Core Wireless Licensing, S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 2013 WL 682849 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 22, 2013) .....	4
<i>Defense Distrib. v. Bruck</i> , 30 F.4th 414 (5th Cir. 2022) .....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Hammers v. Mayea-Chang</i> , 2019 WL 6728446 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 11, 2019).....	7
<i>Hammond Dev. Int'l v. Google LLC</i> , 2020 WL 3452987 (W.D. Tex. June 24, 2020) .....	13
<i>Japan Display Inc. v. Tianma Microelectronics Co.</i> , 2021 WL 3772425 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 25, 2021) .....	5
<i>Jawbone Innovations, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Servs., Inc.</i> , No. 2:21-cv-00435-JRG, Dkt. 1 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 29, 2021) .....	1
<i>Jawbone Innovations, LLC v. Google LLC</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00985-ADA, Dkt. 1 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 23, 2021) .....	1, 3
<i>Jawbone Innovations, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , No. 2:21-cv-00186-JRG, Dkt. 1 (E.D. Tex. May 27, 2021) .....	1
<i>Longhorn HD LLC v. Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 4243382 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 16, 2021) .....	2, 15
<i>Monterey Research, LLC v. Broadcom Corp.</i> , 2022 WL 526242 (W.D. Tex. Feb. 21, 2022).....	15
<i>In re NetScout Sys., Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 4771756 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 13, 2021).....	13
<i>In re Nintendo Co.</i> , 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	5
<i>Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP v. Apple Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 6344470 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 27, 2019) .....	11
<i>Scramoge Tech. Ltd. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:21-cv-00579-ADA, Dkt. 82 (W.D. Tex. May 25, 2022) .....	10

<i>In re Volkswagen AG</i> , 371 F.3d 201 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	5
<i>In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008) .....	4
<i>In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 1349 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	13
<b>Statutes</b>	
28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) .....	4
<b>Other Authorities</b>	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(1)(B) .....	12

## I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Jawbone Innovations, LLC (“Jawbone” or “Plaintiff”) is a Texas company with offices in Waco and Marshall. Jawbone receives audio products at those offices and distributes them to customers in the United States. Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple” or “Defendant”) maintains a substantial presence in this District, including maintaining a 133-acre campus and over 6,200 employees; Apple’s investments and activities in this District are “expected to make Apple the largest private employer in Austin.” Indeed, Austin employs Apple’s second largest population of employees, with large numbers of employees working in engineering, R&D, operations, finance, sales, and customer support. Jawbone’s witnesses, including the principal inventor on all of the Asserted Patents, are located closer to this District and would find it more convenient to testify in this Court, and several of the third-party witnesses identified by Apple have submitted that they are willing to travel to this District for trial or are outside the Northern District of California.

Accordingly, Apple has failed to meet its burden to demonstrate that transferring this action to the Northern District of California (“NDCA”) is “clearly more convenient” for all parties and witnesses, and its Motion should be denied.

## II. BACKGROUND

### A. Procedural Background

Jawbone filed its Complaint in this action on September 23, 2021. *See* Dkt. 1. Jawbone also filed three other cases in this District and in the Eastern District of Texas involving the Patents-in-Suit. *See Jawbone Innovations, LLC v. Samsung Elecs. Co.*, No. 2:21-cv-00186-JRG, Dkt. 1 (E.D. Tex. May 27, 2021) (“*Samsung Case*”); *Jawbone Innovations, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc. and Amazon.com Servs., Inc.*, No. 2:21-cv-00435-JRG, Dkt. 1 (E.D. Tex. Nov. 29, 2021) (“*Amazon Case*”); and *Jawbone Innovations, LLC v. Google LLC*, No. 6:21-cv-00985-ADA, Dkt. 1 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 23, 2021) (“*Google Case*”). Jawbone filed an Amended Complaint on December 23,

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.