

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

GENTEX CORPORATION and INDIGO  
TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

THALES VISIONIX, INC.,

Involuntary Plaintiff,

v.

FACEBOOK, INC. and FACEBOOK  
TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 6:21-cv-00755-ADA

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**DEFENDANTS' OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>I.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>OVERVIEW OF THE PATENTS .....</b>	<b>2</b>
A.	Family One Patents .....	2
B.	Family Two Patents .....	2
C.	Family Three Patent.....	3
<b>III.</b>	<b>RELEVANT LEGAL STANDARDS.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>IV.</b>	<b>CONSTRUCTION OF THE DISPUTED TERMS .....</b>	<b>4</b>
A.	Family One Terms .....	4
1.	“sourceless”/“sourceless orientation tracker” .....	4
2.	“track a position of a first localized feature” .....	7
3.	“redisplaying the first object at a second position on the display device determined based on the change in the position of the first localized feature” .....	9
4.	“system” .....	10
5.	“a body stabilized information cockpit” .....	10
B.	Family Two Terms.....	12
1.	“expected” / “highest expected” terms .....	12
2.	“characterizes” / “characterizing” terms .....	14
3.	“generating a sequence of candidates of pairs of sensing elements selected from the set of sensing elements, the sequence based on an expected utility of a measurement associated with said elements to the estimation subsystem”.....	16
4.	Means-Plus-Function Terms.....	17
a.	“estimation module” .....	17
i.	“estimation module” is subject to § 112 ¶ 6 .....	17
ii.	“estimation module” is indefinite .....	18

b.	“estimation subsystem” .....	19
i.	“estimation subsystem” is subject to 112 (6).....	19
ii.	“estimation subsystem” is indefinite.....	21
c.	“sensor module” .....	22
i.	“sensor module” is subject to § 112 ¶ 6.....	22
ii.	“sensor module” is indefinite.....	23
d.	“sensor subsystem” .....	24
i.	“sensor subsystem” is subject to § 112 ¶ 6 .....	24
ii.	“sensor subsystem” is indefinite .....	25
e.	“data processing module” .....	25
i.	“data processing module” is subject to § 112 ¶ 6 .....	26
ii.	“data processing module” is indefinite .....	26
C.	Family Three Terms.....	27
1.	Claim 1 Preamble.....	27
2.	“obtain candidate values for the azimuth of the object” .....	29
D.	CONCLUSION.....	30

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Advanced Ground Info. Sys., Inc. v. Life360, Inc.</i> , 830 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	4, 24
<i>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</i> , 881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	16
<i>Bio-Rad Lab'ys, Inc. v. 10X Genomics Inc.</i> , 967 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	29
<i>Boss Control, Inc. v. Bombardier Inc.</i> , 410 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	5
<i>Diebold Nixdorf, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 899 F.3d 1291 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	17, 21, 24, 27
<i>Dyfan, LLC v. Target Corp.</i> , No. W-19-CV-00179, 2020 WL 8617821 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 24, 2020).....	20, 21
<i>Eaton Corp. v. Rockwell Int'l Corp.</i> , 323 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	29
<i>Edwards Lifesciences LLC v. Cook Inc.</i> , 582 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	3
<i>Ergo Licensing, LLC v. CareFusion 303, Inc.</i> , 673 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	21
<i>Fin Control Sys. Pty., Ltd. v. OAM, Inc.</i> , 265 F.3d 1311 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	16
<i>Geneva Pharms., Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC</i> , 349 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	14
<i>Halliburton Energy Servs., Inc. v. M-I LLC</i> , 514 F.3d 1244 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	13
<i>IPXL Holdings, L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 430 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	10
<i>Martek Biosciences Corp. v. Nutrinova, Inc.</i> , 579 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	5

<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 898 (2014).....	4, 7, 16
<i>Novo Indus., L.P. v. Micro Molds Corp.</i> , 350 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	10
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	3
<i>Proveris Sci. Corp. v. Innovasystems, Inc.</i> , 739 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	27, 28
<i>Rain Computing, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics America, Inc.</i> , 989 F.3d 1002 (Fed. Cir. 2021).....	18
<i>Robert Bosch LLC v. Snap-On Inc.</i> , 769 F.3d 1094 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	4, 19, 25
<i>Saffran v. Johnson &amp; Johnson</i> , 712 F.3d 549 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	30
<i>ScriptPro LLC v. Innovation Assocs., Inc.</i> , 833 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	7
<i>Sinorgchem Co., Shandong v. Int'l Trade Com'n</i> , 511 F.3d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	5
<i>Skyhook Wireless, Inc. v. Google, Inc.</i> , No. 10-11571-RWZ, 2014 WL 898595 (D. Mass. Mar. 6, 2014) .....	8
<i>TVnGO Ltd. (BVI) v. LG Elecs. Inc.</i> , 861 F. App'x 453 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	9
<i>Unwired Planet, LLC v. Apple Inc.</i> , 829 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	6, 8
<i>Velocity Pat. LLC v. FCA US LLC</i> , No. 13-C-8419, 2018 WL 4214161 (N.D. Ill. Sep. 4, 2018) .....	20
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC</i> , 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	<i>passim</i>
<b>Statutes</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 112.....	<i>passim</i>

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.