### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

NINTENDO CO., LTD., and RETRO STUDIOS, INC.

Defendants.

**CIVIL NO. 6:21-CV-738-ADA** 

RETRO STUDIOS, INC.'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF NON-INFRINGEMENT



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. | INTF           | INTRODUCTION   |   |  |
|----|----------------|--|---|--|
| 2. | RELEVANT FACTS |  |   |  |
|    | 2.1            | The Complaint  | 1 |  |
|    | 2.2            | Retro Studios, NCL, and NOA  | 2 |  |
|    | 2.3            | The '941 Patent  | 2 |  |
|    | 2.4            | The Infringement Allegations   | 3 |  |
| 3. | LEG.           | AL STANDARD  | 5 |  |
| 4. |                | RETRO DOES NOT PERFORM THE ACTIONS THAT ANCORA ALLEGES CONSTITUTE INFRINGEMENT.  |   |  |
|    | 4.1            | Retro is not responsible for the server software and game console system software accused of practicing the asserted claims.         | 6 |  |
|    | 4.2            | Ancora's reliance on an unrelated third-party complaint does not implicate any activities by Retro.                                  | 7 |  |
|    | 4.3            | Ancora's reliance on <i>SiRF</i> is misplaced, because Retro does not cause any of the steps of the asserted claims to be performed. | 8 |  |
|    | 4.4            | Ancora's reliance on <i>Akamai</i> is misplaced, because Retro does not direct or control performance of the asserted claims.        | 9 |  |
| 4. | CONCLUSION     |  | 9 |  |



## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### **CASES**

| Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc., 797 F.3d 1020 (Fed. Cir. 2015) | 5, 9    |
|---|---------|
| Ancora Techs., Inc. v. HTC Am., Inc.,<br>908 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2018)         | 3       |
| Celotex Corp. v. Catrett,<br>477 U.S. 317 (1986)                                | 5       |
| SiRF Tech., Inc. v. ITC,<br>601 F.3d 1319 (Fed. Cir. 2010)                      | 5, 8, 9 |
| OTHER AUTHORITIES   |         |
| Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a)   | 5       |



### 1. Introduction

Retro does not belong in this patent infringement case. The Complaint alleges infringement based on the use of over-the-air updates to Nintendo game consoles, and then verification of the updates on the Nintendo game consoles. Because Retro is a game development studio and has no involvement in either of these activities, there can be no dispute of material fact that Retro does not infringe the asserted patent.

Retro previously moved to dismiss the Complaint because Ancora failed to plead any activities performed by Retro, as opposed to Retro's parent, Nintendo Co., Ltd. ("NCL"). *See* Dkt. 17 ("Motion to Dismiss"). Ancora's recently-served preliminary infringement contentions ("PICs") now confirm that all of the allegedly infringing activities are activities performed by NCL or non-party Nintendo of America Inc. ("NOA"), and not Retro.

Ancora makes only two, faulty attempts to implicate Retro. First, Ancora relies on a statement in an unrelated complaint by NOA that does not mention Retro at all, but says that game software and system software (e.g., the operating system) use similar security measures. But as explained by the sworn declarations attached hereto, NCL—not Retro—is responsible for the security measures for both game software and system software. Second, Ancora presents unsupported allegations that Retro controls the over-the-air update and verification processes. But far from controlling those processes, Retro has essentially no involvement in either.

Retro is not responsible for and does not perform the functionality accused of infringement, so judgment of non-infringement is appropriate as to Retro.

### 2. Relevant Facts

### 2.1 The Complaint

The Complaint names NCL and Retro as defendants. Ancora accuses NCL and Retro of directly infringing U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 ("the '941 patent"). Compl. (Dkt. 1), ¶ 34. The '941



patent expired over three years ago. *See* '941 Patent (Dkt. 17-2) (priority claim to 1998). Ancora does not allege that Retro indirectly infringes the '941 patent, presumably because Ancora gave no pre-expiration notice of the patent.

### 2.2 Retro Studios, NCL, and NOA

Retro is a game development studio that develops games for Nintendo game consoles. Little Decl., ¶ 4; Compl. ¶ 4. Retro is one among hundreds of game developers that develop games for Nintendo game consoles, and it has developed six game titles. Dkt. 17-3 (www.retrostudios.com/games/). Retro submits the games it develops to NOA and NCL for approval. Little Decl., ¶¶ 5–6. After submitting a game to NOA and NCL, Retro has no involvement in the sales or distribution of that game to end users or to Nintendo game consoles. *Id.* Retro does not develop software for any Nintendo over-the-air update server, or any game console system software (i.e., the operating system and boot-related software). Little Decl., ¶¶ 10–12.

NCL and NOA are responsible for the distribution, sales, and delivery of the games that Retro develops, as well as for the Nintendo game consoles themselves. NCL is responsible for designing and coordinating manufacture of Nintendo game consoles. Tokunaga Decl., ¶¶ 5–9; Wada Decl., ¶¶ 5–9. NOA is responsible for sales and distribution of the Nintendo game consoles in the United States. Kiel Decl., ¶ 5. When Retro delivers game software to NCL and NOA for approval, it is NCL and NOA that approve, package, and deliver that game software to game consoles in any over-the-air updates. Little Decl., ¶¶ 5–6; Tokunaga Decl., ¶¶ 10–14; Wada Decl., ¶¶ 10–13. The software on the game consoles that performs the alleged "verification" and installation of the game update is developed by NCL. Tokunaga Decl., ¶¶ 7–11, 15–17; Wada Decl., ¶¶ 7–11, 14–16.

### **2.3** The '941 Patent

The '941 patent describes a method of using license information to verify that software is



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

