

EXHIBIT 6

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NINTENDO CO., LTD., and
NINTENDO OF AMERICA INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

PTAB Case No. IPR2021-01338

Patent No. 6,411,941 B1

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,411,941**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. MANDATORY NOTICES	3
A. Real party-in-interest.....	3
B. Related matters	3
C. Counsel and Service Information.....	5
III. REQUIREMENTS FOR <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	6
A. Ground for Standing.....	6
B. Overview of Challenge and Relief Requested	6
1. Identification of Prior Art	6
2. Grounds for Challenge.....	7
3. Statutory Grounds of Challenge and Legal Principles	7
C. The Board Should Exercise Its Discretion to Institute Review	8
IV. DESCRIPTION OF TECHNOLOGY	11
A. Overview of the Technology.....	11
B. Overview of the '941 Patent.....	13
1. The Specification and Alleged Invention	13
2. Prosecution History and Later Proceedings.....	18
3. The Challenged Claims.....	22
V. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	22
VI. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	24
VII. SPECIFIC GROUNDS FOR PETITION.....	24
A. Ground I: Claims 1–2, 11, and 13 were obvious over the combined teachings of Hellman and Chou.	24
1. Overview of Hellman.....	24
2. Overview of Chou.....	29
3. Motivations to Combine Hellman and Chou	30

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

		Page
4.	Claim 1 preamble: “A method of restricting software operation within a license for use with a computer including an erasable, non-volatile memory area of a BIOS of the computer, and a volatile memory area; the method comprising the steps of:”	35
5.	Claim 1.a: “selecting a program residing in the volatile memory,”	38
6.	Claim 1.b: “using an agent to set up a verification structure in the erasable, non-volatile memory of the BIOS, the verification structure accommodating data that includes at least one license record,”	40
7.	Claim 1.c: “verifying the program using at least the verification structure from the erasable non-volatile memory of the BIOS, and”	41
8.	Claim 1.d: “acting on the program according to the verification.”	42
9.	Claim 2: “A method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of: establishing a license authentication bureau.”	43
10.	Claim 11: “A method according to claim 1 wherein the volatile memory is a RAM.”	43
11.	Claim 13: “The method of claim 1, wherein a unique key is stored in a first non-volatile memory area of the computer.”	43
B.	Ground II: Claims 1–3, 6–14, and 16 were obvious over the combined teachings of Hellman, Chou, and Schneck.....	44
1.	Overview of Schneck	44
2.	Motivations to Combine Hellman, Chou, and Schneck	45

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

		Page
3.	Claim 1. preamble: “A method of restricting software operation within a license for use with a computer including an erasable, non-volatile memory area of a BIOS of the computer, and a volatile memory area; the method comprising the steps of:”	49
4.	Claim 1.a: “selecting a program residing in the volatile memory,”	49
5.	Claim 1.b: “using an agent to set up a verification structure in the erasable, non-volatile memory of the BIOS, the verification structure accommodating data that includes at least one license record,”	50
6.	Claim 1.c: “verifying the program using at least the verification structure from the erasable non-volatile memory of the BIOS, and”	52
7.	Claim 1.d: “acting on the program according to the verification.”	53
8.	Claim 2: “A method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of: establishing a license authentication bureau.”	53
9.	Claim 3 preamble: “A method according to claim 2, wherein setting up a verification structure further comprising the steps of:”	53
10.	Claim 3.a: “establishing, between the computer and the bureau, a two-way data-communications linkage;”	54
11.	Claim 3.b: “transferring, from the computer to the bureau, a request-for-license including an identification of the computer and the license-record’s contents from the selected program;”	55
12.	Claim 3.c: “forming an encrypted license-record at the bureau by encrypting parts of the request-for-license using part of the identification as an encryption key;”	58
13.	Claim 3.d: “transferring, from the bureau to the computer, the encrypted license-record; and”	58

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.