# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

| ANCORA | <b>TECHNOL</b> | OGIES | INC  |
|--------|----------------|-------|------|
|        | LUCITIOL       | OILD  | 1110 |

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-735-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

vs.

GOOGLE LLC,

Defendant.

**DEFENDANT GOOGLE LLC'S OPPOSED MOTION TO TRANSFER** 



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|            |          |   |  | Page |
|------------|----------|---|--|------|
| I.         | INT      | RODUC                                       | CTION  | 1    |
| II.        | STA      | TEME  | NT OF FACTS  | 1    |
|            | A.       |   | Vast Majority of Google's Witnesses and Documents Are in the CA  | 1    |
|            | B.       | Anco  | ora Has No Ties to the WDTX  | 3    |
|            | C.       |   | Known Third Party Witnesses Are Located in the WDTX, But nerous Third Party Witnesses Are in California and the NDCA                 | 3    |
|            | D.       | Litig                                       | ation History  | 5    |
| III.       | LEG      | AL ST                                       | ANDARD   | 5    |
| IV.        | ARGUMENT |   |  | 6    |
|            | A.       | This  | Case Could Have Been Filed in the NDCA   | 6    |
|            | B.       | The Private Interest Factors Favor Transfer |  | 7    |
|            |          | 1.  | Cost of Attendance for Willing Witnesses Favors Transfer   | 7    |
|            |          | 2.  | The Relative Ease of Access to Sources of Proof Favors Transfer  | 10   |
|            |          | 3.  | The Availability of Compulsory Process to Secure the Attendance of Witnesses Favors Transfer   | 11   |
|            |          | 4.  | The Practical Problems That Make Trial of a Case Easy, Expeditious, and Inexpensive Weigh in Favor of Transfer                       | 11   |
|            | C.       | The   | Public Interest Factors Also Favor Transfer  | 12   |
|            |          | 1.  | Administrative Difficulties Flowing from Court Congestion Favor Transfer   | 12   |
|            |          | 2.  | Local Interest Favors Transfer   | 12   |
|            |          | 3.  | The Courts' Familiarity with the Law and the Avoidance of Conflicts Concerning the Application of Foreign Law Factors Favor Transfer | 12   |
| <b>1</b> 7 | CON      |   |  | 13   |



### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

| Page(s)  |
|--|
| Cases  |
| In re Acer Am. Corp.,<br>626 F.3d 1252 (Fed. Cir. 2010)  |
| Adaptix, Inc. v. HTC Corp.,<br>937 F. Supp. 2d 867 (E.D. Tex. 2013)11  |
| Ancora Technologies, Inc. v. Apple Inc.,<br>No. 10-10045-AG, 2011 WL 13157060 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2011)        |
| Ancora Techs., Inc. v. Apple, Inc.,<br>No. 2:10-cv-10045-AG-MLGx, Dkt. 55 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 21, 2011)3, 4        |
| Correct Transmission LLC v. ADTRAN, Inc.,<br>No. 6:20-CV-00669-ADA, 2021 WL 1967985 (W.D. Tex. May 17, 2021)11 |
| Cub Club Inv., LLC v. Apple, Inc.,<br>No. 6:20-cv-00856-ADA, Dkt. 28 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 7, 2021)                 |
| DataQuill, Ltd. v. Apple Inc.,<br>No. A-13-CA-706-SS, 2014 WL 2722201 (W.D. Tex. June 13, 2014)7               |
| <i>In re Genentech, Inc.</i> , 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009)  |
| <i>In re Google LLC</i> , No. 2021-170, 2021 WL 4427899 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 27, 2021) passim                      |
| In re Google LLC,<br>No. 2021-178, 2021 WL 5292267 (Fed. Cir. Nov. 15, 2021)                                   |
| <i>In re HP, Inc.</i> , No. 2018-149, 2018 WL 4692486 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 25, 2018)                               |
| <i>In re Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , 14 F.4th 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2021)   |
| <i>In re Nintendo Co.</i> , 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009)6  |
| Parus Holdings Inc. v. LG Elecs. Inc.,<br>No. 6:19-cv-00432-ADA, 2020 WL 4905809 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 20, 2020)10   |
| In re Volkswagen AG,<br>371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004)9  |



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

(continued)

|   | Page(s) |
|---|---------|
| <i>In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.,</i> 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008)                                  | nassim  |
| Wet Sounds, Inc. v. Audio Formz, LLC, No. A-17-CV-141-LY, 2017 WL 4547916 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 11, 2017) | •       |
| Statutes  | , 10    |
| 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b)   | 6       |
| 28 U.S.C. 8 1404(a)   | 1 5 8   |



### I. INTRODUCTION

Ancora Technologies Inc. filed this case in the Western District of Texas even though there are no relevant witnesses or evidence here. In contrast, a substantial amount of evidence and witnesses is located in the Northern District of California where Google LLC has been headquartered since its founding in 1998. In addition, Ancora concedes that many of its witnesses and much of its evidence are located in California. Thus, considering the convenience of the witnesses leads to only one conclusion: NDCA is significantly more convenient than this district. Nothing favors keeping this case here. Therefore, transfer of this case to the NDCA pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) is appropriate.

### II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

### A. The Vast Majority of Google's Witnesses and Documents Are in the NDCA

Google's Mountain View headquarters, which includes offices in neighboring Sunnyvale, (collectively referred to as "Mountain View") is the strategic center of Google's business. Declaration of Google Senior Legal Project Manager ("Project Manager Decl.") ¶ 2. As of June 2021, the Mountain View headquarters employed approximately 39,887 employees, which is approximately 45.3% of Google's U.S. employees. *Id.* As of June 2021, Google also had approximately 10,932 other employees in offices in San Francisco, California and other smaller offices also within the NDCA. *Id.* As of June 2021, approximately 57.7% of Google's 88,023 total U.S. employees, including engineers, product managers, marketers, executives, and staff were employed out of Google's offices located in the NDCA. *Id.* Although Google has an office in Austin, Texas, it houses only a very small fraction of Google's U.S. employees (2%). *Id.* ¶ 3. And neither Google nor Ancora have identified any employees in Texas who are knowledgeable about the accused functionalities.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

