

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE, LLC,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-00735-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**CASE READINESS STATUS REPORT**

Plaintiff Ancora Technologies, Inc. (“Ancora”) and Defendant Google, LLC (“Google”), hereby provide the following status report in advance of the initial Case Management Conference (CMC).

**FILING AND EXTENSIONS**

Ancora’s Complaint asserting infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,411,941 (the ’941 Patent) was filed on July 16, 2021. This Court granted Google’s unopposed motion for a 45-day extension to respond to Ancora’s complaint from August 9, 2021 to September 23, 2021.

**RESPONSE TO THE COMPLAINT**

On September 23, 2021, Google responded to Ancora’s Complaint by filing an Answer. Dkt. 18. Google did not assert any counterclaims against Ancora.

**PENDING MOTIONS**

There are no pending motions.

**RELATED CASES IN THIS JUDICIAL DISTRICT**

Ancora has filed six cases in this Judicial District involving the ’941 Patent as set forth in

the table below. The first two cases listed are cases that were filed against Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., et. al (“Samsung”) and LG Electronics, Inc., et al. (“LGE”). Although both cases have been terminated, the Court issued a claim construction order and supplemental claim construction order construing 16 terms of the ’941 Patent. *See Ancora v. LG Elecs. Inc., et al*, Dkts. 69 & 93, No. 1:20-CV-0034 (W.D. Tex. 2020). The parties in these two cases reached settlements prior to trials scheduled in April and June of 2021, respectively.

The remaining four cases against Google, Roku, Nintendo, and Vizio are “CRSR Related Cases” pursuant to the Court’s June 16, 2021, Amended Standing Order Regarding Notice of Readiness for Patent Cases, as all four cases were “filed within thirty (30) days” of each other and “share at least one common asserted patent” (the ’941 Patent).

Parties	Case No.	Date Filed	Status
<i>Ancora v. LG Elecs. Inc. et al.</i>	6:21-CV-00561-ADA, 1:20-CV-00034-ADA	June 21, 2019	Terminated
<i>Ancora v. Samsung Elecs. Co. Ltd. et al.</i>	1:20-CV-00034-ADA	June 21, 2019	Terminated
<i>Ancora v. Google, Inc.</i>	6:21-CV-00735-ADA	July 16, 2021	Pending
<i>Ancora v. Roku, Inc.</i>	6:21-CV-00737-ADA	July 16, 2021	Pending
<i>Ancora v. Nintendo Co. Ltd., et al.</i>	6:21-CV-00738-ADA	July 16, 2021	Pending
<i>Ancora v. Vizio, Inc.</i>	6:21-CV-00739-ADA	July 16, 2021	Pending

### **IPR, CBM, AND OTHER PGR FILINGS**

Nintendo Co. Ltd. and Nintendo of America, Inc., filed a petition for *inter partes* review (IPR) of the ’941 Patent that has been accorded a filing date of August 10, 2021. An institution decision is expected on February 18, 2022. If institution is granted, a final decision is expected on February 18, 2023.

Roku and Vizio filed a petition for IPR based on the same grounds as those presented in the Nintendo IPR that has been accorded a filing date of August 24, 2021. An institution decision is expected on March 17, 2022. If institution is granted, a final decision is expected on March 17,

2023.

### **NUMBER OF ASSERTED PATENTS AND CLAIMS**

Ancora is asserting eight claims of the '941 Patent.

### **APPOINTMENT OF TECHNICAL ADVISER**

Google requests a technical adviser to be appointed to the case because a technical adviser is likely to assist the Court in resolving complex, technical claim construction and noninfringement issues specific to the dozens of accused products in the Complaint.

Ancora does not believe a technical adviser is necessary. Ancora notes that the Court previously construed approximately 16 terms of the '941 Patent in its Final Claim Construction Order in *Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs. Inc. et al.*, ECF No. 69, No. 1-20-CV-00034-ADA, 2020 WL 4825716 (W.D. Tex. June 2, 2020), and issued a written Supplemental Claim Construction Order in *Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs. Inc.*, No. 1-20-CV-00034-ADA, 2020 WL 4825716 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 19, 2020). The Court has also ruled, in the same case, on Ancora's and LGE's summary judgment and *Daubert* motions, in which defendants sought construction of additional terms. *See Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs. Inc.*, ECF No. 270 (W.D. Tex. June 1, 2021) ("ORDER DENYING 199 LGE's Motion for Summary Judgment; ORDER GRANTING 196 Ancora's Motion to Exclude Testimony of Alan Ratliff; ORDER DENYING 244 LGE's Motion to Strike the Supplemental Report of Robert Mills; ORDER DENYING 198 LGE's Motion to Exclude the Testimony of Robert Mills; ORDER DENYING 194 Ancora's Motion to Exclude Testimony of Dr. Suzanne Barber; ORDER DENYING 195 Ancora's Motion to Exclude Testimony of Mr. Regis Bates; ORDER DENYING 197 LGE's Motion to Exclude Testimony of Dr. David Martin. Signed by Judge Alan D Albright.").<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The Court later indicated it had GRANTED Ancora's Motion to Exclude Testimony of Mr. Regis Bates. *See Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs. Inc.*, ECF No. 274 (W.D. Tex. June 4, 2021).

### **MEET AND CONFER STATUS**

Ancora and Google met and conferred telephonically on September 23, 2021. The parties identified the following pre-Markman issues to raise at the CMC:

Ancora requested that Google stipulate to the same requirements Ordered by this Court in its Scheduling Order in *Ancora Techs., Inc. v. LG Elecs. Inc. et al.*, ECF No. 32 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 3, 2020), in which the Court Ordered:

- That the defendant produce quarterly sales and unit count information for the accused products for the full infringement period two weeks before the parties exchange claim terms for construction; and
- That the defendant “identify any third party that it reasonably believes possesses relevant information ... regarding the over-the-air updates as identified in Plaintiff’s infringement contentions.”

Ancora has informed Google that it is willing to produce any and all license agreements on the same date that Google produces its unit count information. Similarly Ancora will identify any relevant third parties on the same date as Google.

Google indicated it would consider Ancora’s requests and respond in due course before the deadline for submitting an agreed Scheduling Order.

Google intends to move to transfer this case to the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404.

Dated: September 30, 2021

By: /s/ Andres Healy  
Andres Healy (WA 45578)  
Steven M. Seigel (WA 53960)  
SUSMAN GODFREY LLP  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800  
Seattle, Washington 98101  
Tel: (206) 516-3880  
Fax: 206-516-3883  
ahealy@susmangodfrey.com  
sseigel@susmangodfrey.com

Lexie G. White (TX 24048876)  
SUSMAN GODFREY LLP  
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Tel: (713) 651-9366  
Fax: (713) 654-6666  
lwhite@susmangodfrey.com

Charles Ainsworth  
State Bar No. 00783521  
Robert Christopher Bunt  
State Bar No. 00787165  
PARKER, BUNT & AINSWORTH, P.C.  
100 E. Ferguson, Suite 418  
Tyler, TX 75702  
903/531-3535  
charley@pbatyler.com  
rcbunt@pbatyler.com

***COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF ANCORA  
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.***

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Robert W. Unikel  
Robert W. Unikel (admitted pro hac vice)  
robertunikel@paulhastings.com  
PAUL HASTINGS LLP  
71 South Wacker Drive, 45th Floor  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Telephone: (312) 499-6000  
Facsimile: (312) 499-6100

Robert R. Laurenzi (admitted pro hac vice)  
robertlaurenzi@paulhastings.com  
PAUL HASTINGS LLP  
200 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10166  
Telephone: (212) 318-6000  
Facsimile: (212) 319-4090

Elizabeth Brann (admitted pro hac vice)  
elizabethbrann@paulhastings.com  
Ariell N. Bratton (admitted pro hac vice)  
ariellbratton@paulhastings.com  
Sachin Bhatmuley (admitted pro hac vice)  
sachinbhatmuley@paulhastings.com  
PAUL HASTINGS LLP  
4747 Executive Drive, 12th Floor  
San Diego, CA 92121  
Telephone: (858) 458-3000  
Facsimile: (858) 458-3005

Joshua Yin (admitted pro hac vice)  
joshuayin@paulhastings.com  
PAUL HASTINGS LLP  
1117 S. California Avenue  
Palo Alto, CA 94304  
Telephone: (650) 320-1800  
Facsimile: (650) 320-1900

Brian C. Banner (TX Bar No. 24059416)  
bbanner@sgbfirm.com  
Truman H. Fenton (TX 24059742)  
tfenton@sgbfirm.com  
Darryl J. Adams (TX 00796101)  
dadams@sgbfirm.com  
SLAYDEN GRUBERT BEARD PLLC

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.