

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

PARKERVISION, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 6:21-cv-00520-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**DEFENDANT LG ELECTRONICS, INC.'S
OBJECTIONS TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE GILLILAND'S
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. OBJECTIONS..... 2

 A. Storage Terms (Term 1)..... 2

 B. Terms From The Prior Litigations (Terms 3-15, 18-30) 8

III. CONCLUSION 8

TABLE OF ABBREVIATIONS AND DOCKET CITATIONS

Docket No.	Abbreviation	Description
	LGE	LG Electronics Inc.
	ParkerVision	ParkerVision, Inc.
Dkt. 31	LGE's Opening Brief	LGE's Opening Claim Construction Brief
Dkt. 32	'706 patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,049,706 to Cook et al.
Dkt. 32-1	'518 patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,266,518 to Sorrells et al.
Dkt. 32-2	'902 patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,580,902 to Sorrells et al.
Dkt. 32-3	'444 patent	U.S. Patent No. 7,110,444 to Sorrells et al.
Dkt. 32-4	'835 patent	U.S. Patent No. 7,292,835 to Sorrells et al.
Dkt. 32-5	'725 patent	U.S. Patent No. 8,588,725 to Sorrells et al.
Dkt. 32-6	'513 patent	U.S. Patent No. 8,660,513 to Sorrells et al.
Dkt. 32-7	'528 patent	U.S. Patent No. 9,118,528 to Sorrells et al.
Dkt. 32-8	'736 patent	U.S. Patent No. 9,246,736 to Sorrells et al.
Dkt. 32-9	'673 patent	U.S. Patent No. 9,444,673 to Sorrells et al.
	Asserted Patents	The '706, '518, '902, '444, '835, '725, '513, '528, '736, '673 patents
Dkt. 32-11	Intel 108 CC Or.	Claim Construction Order in <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. Intel Corp.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-00108, Dkt. No. 75 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 26, 2021)
Dkt. 32-12	Intel 562 CC Or.	Amended Claim Construction Order, <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. Intel Corp.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-00562, Dkt. No. 66 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 22, 2021)
Dkt. 32-13	TCL/Hisense Special Master's Rec. CC	Special Master's Recommended Claim Constructions, <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. Hisense Co.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-00870, Dkt. No. 51 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 29, 2021); Special Master's Recommended Claim Constructions, <i>ParkerVision, Inc. v. TCL Indus. Holdings Co.</i> , No. 6:20-cv-00945, Dkt. No. 49 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 29, 2021)
Dkt. 32-14	'444 FWD	Final Written Decision, <i>Intel Corp. v. ParkerVision, Inc.</i> , IPR2020-01265, Pap. 44 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 21, 2022)
Dkt. 32-15	IPR2014-00948 POPR	Patent Owner Preliminary Response, <i>Farmwald v. ParkerVision, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00948, Pap. 7 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 24, 2014)
Dkt. 32-16	'551 patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,061,551 to Sorrells et al.
Dkt. 32-17	'371 patent	U.S. Patent No. 6,370,371 to Sorrells et al.
Dkt. 37	LGE's Reply Brief	LGE's Reply Claim Construction Brief
Dkt. 54	Markman Hearing	Markman Hearing Transcript of Proceedings held on May 10, 2022
Dkt. 55	Order	Magistrate Judge Gilliland's Claim Construction Order and Memorandum in Support Thereof
	POSITA	Person of ordinary skill in the art

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Advanced Electrolyte Techs. LLC v. Samsung SDI Co.</i> , No. A:17-CV-0030-LY, 2018 WL 2770648 (W.D. Tex. June 8, 2018).....	6
<i>Allergan, Inc. v. Barr Lab'ys, Inc.</i> , 501 F. App'x 965 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	4
<i>Cont'l Cirs. LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , 915 F. 3d 788 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	3
<i>Fairfield Indus., Inc. v. Wireless Seismic, Inc.</i> , No. 4:14-CV-2972, 2015 WL 1034275 (S.D. Tex. Mar. 10, 2015)	6, 7
<i>GREE, Inc. v. Supercell Oy</i> , No. 2:19-CV-00071-JRG-RSP, 2020 WL 2476497 (E.D. Tex. May 12, 2020).....	6
<i>In re ICON Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , 496 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	5
<i>In re Imes</i> , 778 F.3d 1250 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	3
<i>Linear Tech. Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 566 F.3d 1049 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	3
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n</i> , 731 F.3d 1354 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	3
<i>Multiform Dessicants, Inc. v. Medzam, Ltd.</i> , 133 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	4
<i>Multimedia Content Mgmt. LLC v. Dish Network Corp.</i> , No. 6:18-CV-00207-ADA (W.D. Tex. June 17, 2019)	6
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	4, 5, 8
<i>Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa' Per Azioni</i> , 158 F.3d 1243 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	4
<i>Seagen Inc. v. Daiichi Sankyo Co.</i> , No. 2:20-cv-00337-JRG, 2021 WL 4168660 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 14, 2021)	4, 5

Sinorgchem Co., Shandong v. Int'l Trade Comm'n,
511 F.3d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....4

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)1
Fed. R. Civ. P. 72.....1

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.