# EXHIBIT 7



### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

PARKERVISION, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

TCL INDUSTRIES HOLDINGS CO., LTD., TCL ELECTRONICS HOLDINGS LTD., SHENZHEN TCL NEW TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD., TCL KING ELECTRICAL APPLIANCES (HUIZHOU) CO., LTD., TCL MOKA INT'L LTD., and TCL MOKA

MANUFACTURING S.A. DE C.V.,

HISENSE CO., LTD. and HISENSE VISUAL TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. (F/K/A QINGDAO HISENSE ELECTRONICS CO.), LTD. and HISENSE ELECTRIC CO., LTD.

Defendants.

Case No. 6:20-cv-00945-ADA

Case No. 6:20-cv-00870-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

## PARKERVISION, INC.'S SUR-REPLY CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
I.	"Low impedance load" is <i>not</i> indefinite and should be given its plain and ordinary meaning ('736 patent, claims 26, 27; '673 patent, claim 5)
II.	"Said energy discharged from said capacitor provides sufficient power to drive the low impedance load" is <i>not</i> indefinite and should be given its plain and ordinary meaning ('673 patent, claim 5)
III.	The Court has twice considered the construction of the "storage" terms and it should continue to adopt its construction here.
IV.	"Voltage of the input modulated carrier signal is not reproduced or approximated at the capacitor during the apertures or outside of the apertures" is <i>not</i> indefinite and should be given its plain and ordinary meaning ('673 patent, claim 2)
V.	Defendants' arguments as to "other indefinite terms" are without merit
VI.	Defendants' arguments with respect to nonce words and means-plus-function claims should be rejected
VII.	The Court should stand behind its previous constructions and reject Defendants' supposed "plain and ordinary" constructions
VIII.	Contrary to their assertion, Defendants' construction of "harmonic" does <i>not</i> follow the patent's disclosures and lexicography.
IX.	"[Wherein said storage elements comprise] a capacitor that reduces a DC offset voltage in said first-down converted signal and second down converted signal."
X.	"Sampling aperture."
XI.	"A down-converted signal being generated from said sampled energy."



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Page(	(s)
Cases	
CardioFocus, Inc. v. Cardiogenesis Corp., 827 F. Supp. 2d 36 (D. Mass. 2011)	1
Ecolab, Inc. v. Envirochem, Inc., 264 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	10
Eibel Process Co. v. Minn. & Ontario Paper Co., 261 U.S. 45 (1923)	1
Freeny v. Apple Inc., 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 120446 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 28, 2014)	1
Input/Output, Inc. v. Sercel, Inc., No. 5:06CV236, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98316, 2007 WL 6196070 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 19, 2007)	1
Medrad, Inc. v. MRI Devices Corp., 401 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	11
Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc., 572 U.S. 898 (2014)	, 3
Sonix Tech. Co. v. Publ'ns Int'l, Ltd., 844 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	4
Verve, LLC v. Crane Cams, Inc., 311 F.3d 1116 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	11
Wi-LAN USA, Inc. v. Apple Inc., 830 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 1, 2016)	9
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. §112, ¶ 6	13



# I. "Low impedance load" is *not* indefinite and should be given its plain and ordinary meaning ('736 patent, claims 26, 27; '673 patent, claim 5).

The term "low impedance load" is not indefinite. Defendants are wrong when they argue that the absence of a specific numerical boundary in the specification between low and high impedance loads constitutes a lack of construability, so as to render the term "low impedance load" indefinite. Defendants' Reply Claim Construction Brief ("Defs. Reply Br.") at 1-2. That is simply not the law. The law requires only that the specification provides *guidance* (and *objective bounds*) to a skilled person (who can impart his/her own knowledge of circuits) as to what constitutes a low impedance load. *See Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.*, 572 U.S. 898, 910 (2014). The degree may be determined by looking to the functionality obtained by the invention. *See Medrad, Inc. v. MRI Devices Corp.*, 401 F.3d 1313, 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2005).

Indeed, on several occasions, district courts have held the claim term "low" – the same term that is at issue here – not to be indefinite. *See Freeny v. Apple Inc.*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 120446, at \*15-\*19 (E.D. Tex. Aug. 28, 2014) (finding "low power communication signals" not indefinite); *CardioFocus, Inc. v. Cardiogenesis Corp.*, 827 F. Supp. 2d 36, 43-44 (D. Mass. 2011) (finding "low hydroxyl ion content" not indefinite); *Input/Output, Inc. v. Sercel, Inc.*, No. 5:06CV236, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 98316, 2007 WL 6196070, at \*30 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 19, 2007) (finding "low mechanical spring constant" not indefinite).

And here, the patents are not silent on what constitutes a low impedance load.

Importantly, the specification provides an express standard against which to measure "low": the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Supreme Court cites with approval *Eibel Process Co. v. Minn. & Ontario Paper Co.*, 261 U.S. 45, 58, 65-66 (1923), where the Court upheld claim language requiring a wire to be placed at a "high" or "substantial" elevation because "readers . . . skilled in the art of paper making and versed in the use of the . . . machine" would have "no difficulty . . . in determining . . . the substantial [elevation] needed" for the machine to operate as specified. *Nautilus*, 572 U.S. at 910 n.5.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

