

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION**

<p>FUTURE LINK SYSTEMS, LLC Plaintiff, v. APPLE INC., Defendant.</p>	<p>Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-00263-ADA  <b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b></p>
<p>FUTURE LINK SYSTEMS, LLC Plaintiff, v. BROADCOM INC., BROADCOM CORP., Defendants.</p>	<p>Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-00264-ADA  <b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b></p>
<p>FUTURE LINK SYSTEMS, LLC Plaintiff, v. QUALCOMM INCORPORATED, QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Defendants.</p>	<p>Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-00265-ADA  <b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b></p>
<p>FUTURE LINK SYSTEMS, LLC Plaintiff, v. REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION, Defendant.</p>	<p>Civil Action No. 6:21-cv-00363-ADA  <b>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</b></p>

**APPLE INC., BROADCOM INC., BROADCOM CORP., QUALCOMM  
INCORPORATED, QUALCOMM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND REALTEK  
SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**  
**U.S. PATENT NOS. 7,917,680, 6,317,804, and 6,807,505**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

INTRODUCTION ..... 1

I. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY ..... 1

    A. The '804 Patent ..... 1

    B. The '680 Patent ..... 2

II. APPLICABLE LEGAL PRINCIPLES ..... 2

III. AGREED CONSTRUCTIONS ..... 3

    A. “packet processor” ’680 Patent, Cls. 1, 8, 20 ..... 3

IV. DISPUTED CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS ..... 3

    A. “A circuit arrangement for interfacing a plurality of functional blocks to one another in an integrated circuit device, the circuit arrangement comprising” ’804 Patent, Cl. 1 ..... 3

    B. “functional block[s]” ’804 Patent, Cls. 1, 2, 9, 10, 17, 40 ..... 5

    C. “Serial Port[s]” ’804 Patent, Cls. 1-5, 8-10, 14, 17, 40 ..... 7

    D. “serial command, data and clock interconnects” ’804 Patent, Cls. 1, 40 ..... 12

    E. “selectively couple” ’804 Patent, Cls. 1, 9, 17 ..... 14

    F. “packet” ’680 Patent, Cls. 1, 7, 8, 20 ..... 17

    G. “packet-based communications” (asserted claim 1) / “communicating packet data” (asserted claim 8) / “communicate the packets” (asserted claim 20) ’680 Patent, Cls. 1, 8, 20 ..... 20

    H. “performance-based communications order” (asserted claim 1) / “order . . . as a function of the communications priority and performance rules” (asserted claim 8) / “order to the packets based upon the generated protocol-based ordering data and performance-based rules” (asserted claim 20) ’680 Patent, Cls. 1, 8, 20 ..... 23

    I. “CPU-based link” ’680 Patent, Cl. 15 ..... 27

CONCLUSION ..... 29

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>Cases</b>	
<i>Anglefix, LLC v. Wright Med. Tech., Inc.</i> , No. 2:13-CV-02407-JPM-TMP, 2015 WL 9581865 (W.D. Tenn. Dec. 30, 2015).....	24
<i>Arctic Cat Inc. v. GEP Power Prods.</i> , 919 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	4, 5
<i>Athur A. Collins, Inc. v. Northern Telecom Ltd.</i> , 216 F.3d 1042 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	18
<i>BookIT Oy v. Bank of Am. Corp.</i> , 817 F. App'x 990 (Fed. Cir. 2020) .....	6
<i>Catalina Mktg. Int'l, Inc. v. Coolsavings.com, Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 801 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	3, 4
<i>Cordis Corp. v. Bos. Sci. Corp.</i> , 658 F.3d 1347 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	17
<i>Data Engine Techs. LLC v. Google LLC</i> , 10 F.4th 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	6
<i>Dyfan, LLC v. Target Corp.</i> , No. W-19-CV-00179-ADA, 2020 WL 8617821 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 24, 2020).....	2
<i>Eaton Corp. v. Rockwell Int'l Corp.</i> , 323 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	4
<i>eCeipt, LLC v. Victoria's Secret Stores, LLC</i> , No. 6:20-CV-747-ADA, 2021 WL 4037599 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 3, 2021) .....	2
<i>Honeywell Int'l, Inc. v. Universal Avionics Sys. Corp.</i> , 493 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	6
<i>Immunex Corp. v. Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC</i> , 977 F.3d 1212 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	18, 19, 21
<i>Intel Corp. v. Future Link Systems, LLC</i> , No. 14-377-LPS, 2016 WL 4162648 (D. Del. Aug. 2, 2016).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>LG Elecs., Inc. v. Bizcom Elecs., Inc.</i> , 453 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2006), <i>rev'd on other grounds sub nom. Quanta Computer, Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , 553 U.S. 617 (2008).....	18

<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 898 (2014).....	27
<i>Rembrandt Wireless Techs., LP v. Samsung Elecs. Co.</i> , 853 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	15, 17
<i>Rexnord Corp. v. Laitram Corp.</i> , 274 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	13
<i>SciMed Life Sys., Inc. v. Advanced Cardiovascular Sys., Inc.</i> , 242 F.3d 1337 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	6
<i>Seabed Geosolutions (US) Inc. v. Magseis FF LLC</i> , 8 F.4th 1285 (Fed. Cir. 2021) .....	21
<i>SmileDirectClub, LLC v. Candid Care Co.</i> , 6:20-cv-01115-ADA, 2021 WL 3598287 (W.D. Tex. Jul. 1, 2021).....	21
<i>Telemac Cellular Corp. v. Topp Telecom, Inc.</i> , 247 F.3d 1316 (Fed. Cir. 2001).....	24
<i>Teva Pharms. USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 789 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	28
<i>Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Linear Techs. Corp.</i> , 182 F. Supp. 2d 580 (E.D. Tex. 2002).....	11
<i>V-Formation, Inc. v. Benetton Grp. SpA</i> , 401 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	18
<i>WiLan, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 811 F.3d 455 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	14

## INTRODUCTION

Apple Inc. (“Apple”), Broadcom Inc., Broadcom Corp. (collectively “Broadcom”), Qualcomm Incorporated, Qualcomm Technologies, Inc. (collectively “Qualcomm”), and Realtek Semiconductor Corporation (“Realtek”) (collectively, the “CRSR Defendants”) hereby jointly submit this opening claim construction brief pursuant to the Court’s Joint Agreed Scheduling Order, to construe terms of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,917,680 (the “’680 patent”); 6,317,804 (the “’804 patent”); and 6,807,505 (the “’505 patent”).<sup>1</sup> *See* Dkt. No. 29 (Joint Agreed Scheduling Order) at 3.

### I. OVERVIEW OF THE TECHNOLOGY

#### A. The ’804 Patent

The ’804 patent, entitled “Concurrent Serial Interconnect for Integrating Functional Blocks in an Integrated Circuit Device,” relates to an arrangement and method for arranging functional blocks to interface via serial interconnects. ’804 Patent, Abstract. The patent describes that functional blocks are associated with serial ports, which are, in turn, selectively coupled to each other by way of an interface controller. *See id.* at Abstract, 2:66-3:12, cl. 1. These functional blocks, according to the patent, can be “difficult” to implement as a result of their communication requirements. *Id.* at 1:48-65. The patent teaches that relying on parallel, or bus-type, interconnections to solve this problem “suffer[s] from a number of drawbacks.” *Id.* at 2:21-23; *see also id.* at 2:32-57 (describing that parallel architectures are undesirable because, *inter alia*, they use additional space, can have slower transmission, and may allow transmission

---

<sup>1</sup> Future Link is asserting the ’505 patent against Apple alone. *See Future Link Sys. v. Apple, Inc.*, Case No. 6:21-cv-00263-ADA (W.D. Tex. 2020), Dkt. No. 1. Neither Future Link nor Apple identified any term from the ’505 patent that required construction. As a result, there are no disputed claim constructions for the ’505 patent.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.