

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

Ocean Semiconductor LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

STMicroelectronics, Inc.,

Defendants.

C.A. No. 6:20-cv-1215-ADA

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

PATENT CASE

**PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.'S PARTIAL
MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(b)(6)**

DATED: March 26, 2021

/s/ Alex Chan

Timothy Devlin

tdevlin@devlinlawfirm.com

Henrik D. Parker

hparker@devlinlawfirm.com

Alex Chan (State Bar No. 24108051)

achan@devlinlawfirm.com

DEVLIN LAW FIRM LLC

1526 Gilpin Avenue

Wilmington, Delaware 19806

Telephone: (302) 449-9010

Facsimile: (302) 353-4251

Attorneys for Plaintiff,

Ocean Semiconductor LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
II.	LEGAL STANDARD	2
A.	The High Bar for a Motion to Dismiss.....	2
B.	The Broad Reach of Infringement Under 35 U.S.C. § 271(g).....	3
III.	ARGUMENT.....	4
A.	The '538, '402, '305, and '248 Patents Are Directed to the Manufacture of a Product and Subject to Section 271(g).....	4
1.	The '538 Patent Involves the Making of Physical Products Such as Silicon Wafers, and Ocean's Pleadings Reflect that Fact	4
2.	The '402 Patent Involves the Making of Physical Products Such as Silicon Wafers, and Ocean's Pleadings Reflect that Fact	7
B.	Ocean's Complaint Sufficiently Asserts Claims for Induced Infringement.....	12
1.	Ocean's Complaint Sufficiently Asserts Claims for Induced Infringement as STMicro Gained Knowledge of the Processes and Tools Used to Manufacture Its Products Through Its Contractual Relationships with the Foundries	12
2.	The Complaint Alleges Sufficient Facts to Plead Induced Infringement	14
C.	The Complaint Sufficiently Asserts Claims for Willful Infringement.....	16
1.	Ocean's Complaint Sufficiently Pleads Willful Infringement Because STMicro Had Notice of the Asserted Patents	16
2.	This Court Has Previously Ruled that Egregious Conduct Is Not Required to be Pled at Pleading Stage	17
D.	Ocean Will Amend Its Pleadings With Respect to the '097 Patent.....	18
E.	In All Events, Fact Issues Preclude Dismissal.....	18
F.	At Worst, Rather Than Dismissing the Complaint, Leave to Amend Should Be Granted	19
IV.	CONCLUSION	19

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. Blackberry Ltd.</i> , C.A. No. W:13-cv-362.....	15
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	2
<i>Bayer AG v. Housey Pharm., Inc.</i> 340 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	3, 4, 8, 10
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	2, 16
<i>Bio-Rad Labs Inc. v. Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.</i> , 267 F. Supp. 3d 499 (D. Del. 2017).....	18
<i>Biotec Biologische Naturverpackungen GmbH & Co. v. Biocorp, Inc.</i> , 249 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	19
<i>Bio-Technology General Corp. v. Genentech, Inc.</i> , 80 F.3d 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	3, 9, 18
<i>Bonutti Skeletal Innovations, LLC v. Globus Med. Inc.</i> , No. 14-6650, 2015 US. Dist. LEXIS 77492 (E.D. Pa. June 15, 2015).....	15
<i>Causey v. Sewell Cadillac-Chevrolet, Inc.</i> 394 F.3d 285 (5th Cir. 2004)	3
<i>DermaFocus LLC v. Ulthera, Inc.</i> , 201 F. Supp. 3d 465 (D. Del. 2016).....	18
<i>Disc Disease Sols. Inc. v. VGH Sols., Inc.</i> 888 F.3 1256 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	3
<i>Eli Lilly & Co. v. Am. Cyanamid Co.</i> 82 F.3d 1568 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	3, 4, 18
<i>Encoditech, LLC v. Citizen Watch Co. of Am.</i> C.A. No. 18-1335-XR, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 105833 (W.D. Tex. June 25, 2019)	3
<i>Frye v. Anadarko Petro. Corp.</i> , 953 F.3d 285 (5 th Cir. 2019)	2

<i>Griggs v. Hinds Junior Coll.</i> , 563 F.2d 179 (5th Cir. 1977)	19
<i>Inhale, Inc. v. Gravitron, LLC</i> , CAUSE NO. 1-18-CV-762-LY, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 223241 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 10, 2018)	15
<i>James v. J2 Cloud Servs., LLC</i> , 887 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	14
<i>Janssen Pharmaceutica, N.V. v. Mylan Pharms. Inc.</i> , No. 15-760-SLR-SRF, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 192881 (D. Del. Oct. 18, 2016)	16
<i>Kyowa Hakka Bio, Co. Ltd. v. Ajinomoto Co.</i> , No. 17-313, 2018-MSG, U.S. Dist. LEXIS 22392 (D. Del. Feb. 12, 2018)	16
<i>Lone Star Motor Imp., Inc. v. Citroen Cars Corp.</i> , 288 F.2d 69 (5th Cir. 1961)	19
<i>Lormand v. US Unwired, Inc.</i> , 565 F.3d 228 (5 th Cir. 2009)	2
<i>Millennium Cryogenic Techs., Ltd. v. Weatherford Artificial Lift Sys.</i> , Civil Action No. H-12-0890-KPE, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 196638 (S.D. Tex. 2012)	19
<i>Momenta Pharms., Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA Inc.</i> , 809 F.3d 610 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	6
<i>Motiva Patents, LLC v. Sony Corp.</i> , 408 F. Supp. 3d 819 (E.D. Tex. 2019)	14
<i>Ormco Corp. v. Align Tech., Inc.</i> , 653 F. Supp. 2d 1016 (C.D. Cal. 2009)	18
<i>Parity Networks, LLC v. Cisco Sys.</i> , No. 6:19-cv-00207-ADA, 2019 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 144094 (W.D. Tex. Jul. 26, 2019)	17
<i>Plano Encryption Techs. v. Alkami Tech.</i> , No. 2:16-cv-1032-JRG, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 221765 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 22, 2017)	17
<i>Skinner v. Switzer</i> 562 U.S. 521 (2011)	2

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 271..... 1

Other Authorities

5 Chisum on Patents § 16.02..... 4

Rules

Fed. R. Civ. P. 15..... 19

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.