

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
WACO DIVISION

OCEAN SEMICONDUCTOR LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

vs.

STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.,

*Defendant.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

NO. 6:20-cv-01215-ADA

DEFENDANT STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.'S  
PARTIAL MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(b)(6)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. LEGAL STANDARD.....	2
III. ARGUMENT .....	3
A. For Several Asserted Patents, Ocean Semiconductor Fails to State a Viable Infringement Claim Under 35 U.S.C. § 271(g) .....	4
1. The '538 and '402 Patents Claim Processes for Detecting Faults, Not for Manufacturing Physical Products.....	5
2. The '305 and '248 Patents Claim Processes for Scheduling Activities, Not for Making Tangible Goods .....	9
B. Ocean Semiconductor's Allegations of Induced Infringement Do Not Meet the Applicable Pleading Standard.....	13
C. Ocean Semiconductor Has Not Sufficiently Pled Willful Infringement .....	15
D. Ocean Semiconductor Does Not Adequately Plead Induced or Willful Infringement for the '097 Patent.....	18
IV. CONCLUSION.....	19

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Affinity Labs of Tex., LLC v. Blackberry Ltd.</i> , No. W:13-CV-362, 2014 WL 12551207 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 30, 2014).....	3, 13, 14, 15
<i>AIDS Healthcare Found., Inc. v. Gilead Scis., Inc.</i> , 890 F.3d 986 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	15
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	2, 3, 15
<i>Babbage Holdings, LLC v. Activision Blizzard, Inc.</i> , No. 2:13-CV-750, 2014 WL 2115616 (E.D. Tex. May 15, 2014) .....	18
<i>Bayer AG v. Housey Pharms., Inc.</i> , 340 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	2, 6, 7, 9, 12
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	3
<i>Bonutti Skeletal Innovations, LLC v. Globus Med. Inc.</i> , No. 14-6650, 2015 WL 3755223 (E.D. Pa. June 15, 2015).....	14
<i>Deere &amp; Co. v. AGCO Corp.</i> , No. 18-827-CFC, 2019 WL 668492 (D. Del. Feb. 19, 2019).....	16
<i>Document Sec. Sys., Inc. v. Seoul Semiconductor Co.</i> , No. 17-00981-JVS(JCGx), 2018 WL 6074582 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2018).....	17
<i>DSU Med. Corp. v. JMS Co.</i> , 471 F.3d 1293 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	3, 13
<i>Inhale, Inc v. Gravitron, LLC</i> , No. 1-18-CV-762-LY, 2018 WL 7324886 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 10, 2018) .....	14, 16, 17
<i>Lifetime Indus., Inc. v. Trim-Lok, Inc.</i> , 869 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	3
<i>M &amp; C Innovations, LLC v. Igloo Prods. Corp.</i> , No. 4:17-CV-2372, 2018 WL 4620713 (S.D. Tex. July 31, 2018) .....	17
<i>Meetrix IP, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 1-18-CV-309-LY, 2018 WL 8261315 (W.D. Tex. Nov. 30, 2018).....	16

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
(continued)

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<i>Momenta Pharms., Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA Inc.</i> , 809 F.3d 610 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	2, 6, 9, 12
<i>Parity Networks, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , Nos. 6:19-CV-00207-ADA, 6:19-CV-00209-ADA, 2019 WL 3940952 (W.D. Tex. July 26, 2019) .....	3, 15
<i>Parus Holdings Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:19-cv-00432-ADA (W.D. Tex. Jan. 31, 2020) .....	18
<i>Vita-Mix Corp. v. Basic Holding, Inc.</i> , 581 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	3
<i>VLSI Tech. LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , No. 18-0966-CFC (D. Del. June 26, 2020).....	18
<i>XpertUniverse, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-03848-RS, 2017 WL 4551519 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2017).....	17
 <b>STATUTES</b>	
35 U.S.C. § 271(g) .....	2

## I. INTRODUCTION

Ocean Semiconductor LLC (“Ocean Semiconductor”) filed a lengthy Complaint against STMicroelectronics, Inc. (“ST Inc.”) alleging infringement of eight patents relating to semiconductor technology. Despite its hefty page-count, that Complaint suffers from multiple infirmities that merit dismissal of several claims.

First, Ocean Semiconductor alleges that ST Inc. infringes patents under 35 U.S.C. § 271(g) even though the claims of those patents cannot, as a matter of law, support that assertion. Section 271(g) allows a patent holder to assert infringement claims based on a product sold, offered for sale, used in the U.S., or imported into the U.S., if that product was “made by” a process patented in the U.S. As the courts have recognized, this statute has limits. The Federal Circuit has repeatedly held that § 271(g) only applies when the claimed process creates a physical product. If the claimed process does not do so, § 271(g) cannot provide the basis for an infringement claim. In this case, Ocean Semiconductor alleges that semiconductor chips infringe under § 271(g) based on methods claimed in the eight asserted patents. It is clear, however, that the asserted method claims for at least four of the patents do not produce any physical product. Those claims recite processes for detecting manufacturing faults, reacting to detected faults by halting manufacturing or sharing fault-related data, and scheduling manufacturing activities. None of these processes produces a tangible good and each is removed from the steps performed to make an actual product. The mismatch between the claimed methods and the scope of § 271(g) precludes Ocean Semiconductor’s infringement claims for the four asserted patents. For that reason, ST Inc. requests that the Court dismiss those § 271(g) claims with prejudice.

Second, for all asserted patents, Ocean Semiconductor provides threadbare allegations of induced infringement and willfulness. In each instance, Ocean Semiconductor fails to plead plausible facts to support that ST Inc. possessed the knowledge and intent, or performed the

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.