



**PLAINTIFF'S SUR-REPLY CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|   | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>I. DISPUTED CLAIM TERMS .....</b>  | <b>1</b>    |
| A. Associated/Associating/Association (All Claims).....   | 1           |
| B. “Associating each media content identifying data element with at least one of<br>a plurality of media content” (’307 Patent, Claim 11; ’028 Patent, Claim 11)..... | 3           |
| 1. The Challenged Limitation is Not Indefinite .....  | 3           |
| 2. The Printed Matter Doctrine Does Not Apply.....  | 4           |
| 3. Defendants Did Not Conduct a Section 101 Analysis .....  | 6           |
| C. Claim 14 of the ’028 Patent .....  | 6           |
| 1. The “storing,” “correlating” and “aggregating” limitations are not<br>indefinite .....   | 6           |
| 2. “Accessible by” is not indefinite.....   | 7           |
| D. “Interactive Media Receiver” (’833 Patent, all claims).....  | 8           |
| E. “Responder Identifier” (’833 Patent, all claims).....  | 9           |
| F. “Third Party Encoded Data” (’806 Patent claim 5) / “Encoded Third Party<br>Data” (’843 Patent claim 10) .....  | 10          |
| G. “stream scanner module” (’806 Patent, Claims 5 & 6).....   | 12          |
| 1. The claim itself connotes sufficient structure .....   | 12          |
| 2. The specification also discloses sufficient structure.....   | 13          |
| H. “stream analysis module” (’806 Patent, Claim 5).....   | 14          |
| I. Correlating (’806 Patent, Claim 5).....  | 15          |
| J. “Broadcast Scanning Module” (’843 Patent, Claim 10).....   | 16          |

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

## FEDERAL CASES

|  |        |
|--|--------|
| <i>3M Innovative Proprs. Co. v. Tredegar Corp.</i> ,<br>725 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....                                      | 9      |
| <i>3rd Eye Surveillance, LLC v. City of Forth Worth</i> ,<br>No. 6:14-cv-00725-JDL, Docket No. 120 (E.D. Tex. June 8, 2016)..... | 2      |
| <i>Alfred E. Mann Found. for Sci. Research v. Cochlear Corp.</i> ,<br>841 F.3d 1334 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....                        | 14     |
| <i>Apex Inc. v. Raritan Computer, Inc.</i> ,<br>325 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....  | 12     |
| <i>Bancorp Servs., L.L.C. v. Hartford Life Ins. Co.</i> ,<br>359 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....                                 | 4      |
| <i>BASF Corp. v. Johnson Matthey Inc.</i> ,<br>875 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....   | 1, 10  |
| <i>Berkheimer v. HP Inc.</i> ,<br>881 F.3d 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....  | 6      |
| <i>Biosig Instruments, Inc. v. Nautilus, Inc.</i> ,<br>783 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....                                       | 7      |
| <i>Ericsson, Inc. v. D-Link Sys.</i> ,<br>773 F.3d 1201 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....  | 15     |
| <i>Estech Sys. v. Burnco Tex. LLC</i> ,<br>2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 114668 (E.D. Tex. June 18, 2021).....                           | 13     |
| <i>Intelligent Automation Design, LLC v. Zimmer Biomet CMF</i> ,<br>799 Fed. Appx. 847 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....                     | 13     |
| <i>Microprocessor Enhancement Corp. v. Tex. Instr's Inc.</i> ,<br>520 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....                            | 8      |
| <i>Noah Sys. Inc. v. Intuit Inc.</i> ,<br>675 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....  | 14     |
| <i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int'l, Inc.</i> ,<br>711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....                  | 12, 14 |

*Skky, Inc. v. MindGeek s.a.r.l.*,  
859 F.3d 1014 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....13

*USB Bridge Sols., LLC v. Buffalo Inc.*,  
2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67678 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 17, 2020).....8

*Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC*,  
792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (en banc).....12, 13

**FEDERAL STATUTES**

35 U.S.C. § 101.....6

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.