Exhibit C

```
1
               IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
        FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS - WACO DIVISION
 3
      STRATOSAUDIO, INC.,
 4
                Plaintiff,
 5
                                        No. 6:20-CV-01125-ADA
           vs.
 6
      HYUNDAI MOTOR AMERICA,
 7
                 Defendant.
 8
 9
      AND RELATED CROSS-ACTIONS.
10
11
12
13
          REMOTE DEPOSITION OF BARRY P. MEDOFF, PH.D.
14
         Deponent testifying from Palo Alto, California
                     Monday, August 30, 2021
15
16
                             Volume I
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
      Stenographically Reported By:
      Melissa M. Villagran, RPR
      CSR No. 12543
23
24
      Job No. 4783332
      PAGES 1 - 42
25
                                                      Page 1
```



	don't know.
2	Q If you go to paragraph 15 of your
3	declaration.
4	A Okay.
5	Q You were informed that Claim language guides
6	the Court's construction of Claim terms, true?
7	A Well, I'm informed of what it says there,
8	yes.
9	Q And you're also informed that other claims
10	asserted and unasserted can provide additional
11	instruction, because terms are normally used
12	consistently throughout the patent, right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And differences among claims, such as
15	additional limitations and dependent claims can
16	provide further guidance, right?
17	A As stated in paragraph 15. That's how I've
18	been informed, yes.
19	Q Okay.
20	Could you tell me which claims you looked at
21	when you were analyzing the responder identifier
22	limitation?
23	A Well, I looked at all the claims.
24	Q Okay.
25	And did you specifically consider Claim 3 of
	Page 30



1	the '833 Patent?
2	A Well, I think in the declaration there is a
3	discussion of Claim 3 amongst the other claims.
4	Q And where is that?
5	A I'll have to find it.
6	Q All right.
7	Could you direct me to it please. Your
8	declaration is Exhibit 1.
9	A It is.
10	Q So can you direct me to where Claim 3 is
11	discussed?
12	A Well, I'd have to find it.
13	Q Can you do that please.
14	A Yeah, I'm trying. Now which term precisely
15	are you asking about? Discussing what? What do we
16	want to find discussed?
17	Q Responder identifier.
18	A Okay.
19	So we are talking about responder
20	identifier.
21	Q Yes.
22	A So I think the answer to your question is I
23	did look at Claim 3. I see Claim 3 references
24	responder identifier in much the same way that the
25	other claims do. A user associated and so on.

Page 31



```
1
             I'm not finding a specific reference to
      Claim 3 in the deposition. But I did for sure
 2
      analyze it, am familiar with it, and the use of
       responder identifier in Claim 3 in the comments
 4
      regarding it is no different from those broadly
      speaking regarding the use of responder identifier
 6
      elsewhere in that Claim.
             Why don't we take a look at Claim 3 of the
8
         Q
9
      '833 Patent. That Claim says, (as read):
                 The responder identifier can
10
11
             enable identification of at least one
12
             of the following.
13
             Do you see that?
             MR. HUDNELL: Objection; form.
14
15
             I don't think you read that right, Jonathan.
16
      BY MR. LAMBERSON:
17
             I didn't read the whole thing. So let me --
         0
             MR. HUDNELL: I don't think the part you read
18
19
      was right.
20
      BY MR. LAMBERSON:
21
             Okay. I'll try again.
             Let me just ask this: Do you have Claim 3 in
22
2.3
      front of you?
24
         Α
             Yes.
25
             And do you see that it says, (as read):
         0
                                                   Page 32
```

