

# EXHIBIT 16

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

DR. MICHAEL FARMWALD and RPX CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

PARKERVISION, INC.  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-00948  
Patent 6,370,371

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... iii

LIST OF EXHIBITS .....v

I. Introduction .....1

II. The Petition Raises Real Parties-In-Interest Issues.....1

III. The Claimed Invention Is Directed to Energy Transfer, Which Is Fundamentally Different than the Operation of Sample-and-Hold Systems. ....2

    A. The Energy Transfer Elements of the Claimed Invention Improve Signal Processing in Wireless Communication Systems. ....2

        1. Energy Transfer Systems Transfer Substantial Amounts of Energy from a Carrier Signal During Sampling Apertures. ....3

        2. Energy Transfer Systems Transfer Energy over Multiple Aperture Periods. ....6

        3. Energy Transfer Systems Generate the Down-Converted Lower Frequency Signal from the Integrated Energy by Discharging the Storage Module When the Sampling Switch Is Open.....8

    B. The Claimed Invention Is Directed to Energy Transfer. ....9

    C. The Operation of Sample-and-Hold Systems Is Fundamentally Different from Energy Transfer Systems. ....10

        1. The Operation of S/H Circuits .....10

        2. The Operation of S/H Systems Is Fundamentally Different from Energy Transfer Mechanisms.....13

IV. Claim Construction .....17

    A. “sub-sample the carrier signal . . . wherein energy is transferred from the carrier signal” .....17

    B. “energy is . . . integrated . . . during said apertures” .....19

    C. “the lower frequency signal is generated from the transferred energy” .....21

    D. “storage module” .....24

V. The Avitable and Weisskopf References Do Not Anticipate Claims 2, 22, 23, and 25 of the ’371 Patent.....27

    A. Petitioner’s Expert Offers Incomplete and Inaccurate Analysis.....27

    B. Avitable Does Not Anticipate Claim 2. ....31

IPR2014-00948  
Patent 6,370,371

1. Avitabile Discloses S/H Circuits, Which Operate in a Fundamentally Different Manner than the Claimed Energy Transfer System. ....	31
2. Avitabile Does Not Disclose the Energy Transfer Elements of Claim 2. ....	34
C. Weisskopf Does Not Anticipate Claims 2, 22, 23, and 25. ....	36
1. Weisskopf Discloses S/H Circuits, Which Operate in a Fundamentally Different Manner than the Claimed Energy Transfer System. ....	37
2. Weisskopf Does Not Disclose the Energy Transfer Elements of Claim 2. ....	40
3. Weisskopf Does Not Disclose the Energy Transfer Elements of Claim 22. ....	42
4. Petitioner Fails to Establish that Weisskopf teaches the “input impedance match circuit” of claim 23. ....	45
VI. Petitioner Failed to Establish a <i>Prima Facie</i> Case and Should Not Be Allowed to Cure the Petition’s Deficiencies Through Its Reply Brief. ....	49
VII. Conclusion. ....	54

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES****Cases**

<i>A.C. Dispensing Equipment Inc. v. Prince Castle LLC</i> , IPR2014-00511, Paper 16 (P.T.A.B. Sep. 10, 2014) .....	47
<i>Ariosa Diagnostics v. Verinata Health</i> , IPR2013-00277, Paper 42 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 23, 2014).....	51
<i>BAE Sys. Information and Elect. Sys. Integration v. Cheetah Omni</i> , IPR2013-00175, Paper 45 (P.T.A.B. Jun. 19, 2014).....	50, 51
<i>Corning Inc. v. DSM IP Assets</i> , IPR2013-00052, Paper 88 (P.T.A.B. May 1, 2014).....	51
<i>Liberty Mut. Ins. v. Progressive Cas. Ins.</i> , CBM2013-00009, Paper 68 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 11, 2014).....	52
<i>Moses Lake Indus., Inc. v. Enthone, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00243, Paper 6 (P.T.A.B. Jun. 18, 2014).....	30, 44
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	42, 45, 47
<i>Respironics Inc. v. Zoll Medical Corp.</i> , IPR2013-00322, Paper 46 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 17, 2014) .....	52

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 315(b) .....	1
--------------------------	---

**Rules**

37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b) .....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.22 .....	49
37 C.F.R. § 42.23 .....	49
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a).....	27
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(b) .....	27

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.