EXHIBIT AF

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
2	WACO DIVISION	
3		January 26, 2021
4	VS.	•
5	INTEL CORPORATION * CIVIL ACTION NO. W-20-CV-634 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, ET AL * CIVIL ACTION NO. W-20-CV-636	
6		
7	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALAN D ALBRIGHT DISCOVERY HEARING (via Zoom)	
8	APPEARANCES:	
9		ford Maclain Wells, Esq.
10	1800	Avenue of the Stars, Suite 900 Angeles, CA 90067
11		
12	McGir	ard D. Milvenan, Esq. nnis Lochridge and Kilgore
13		Congress Avenue, Suite 2100 n, TX 78701
14		tephen Ravel, Esq. 7 Hart & Hallman LLP
15	303 0	Colorado Street, Suite 2000 Ln, TX 78701
16		
17	Phili	R. Chaikovsky, Esq. p Ou, Esq. Hastings LLP
18	1117	South California Avenue Alto, CA 94304
19		
20	Pills	Christopher Nash, Esq. Sbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP Congress Avenue, Suite 1700
21		n, TX 78701-3797
22	±	cie M. Davis, CRR, RMR ox 20994
23	Waco,	Texas 76702-0994 340-6114
24		
25	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.	



```
(January 26, 2021, 3:08 p.m.)
03:08
       1
                DEPUTY CLERK: Discovery hearing in Civil Action
03:08
       2
           W-20-CV-634, styled Demaray LLC versus Intel Corporation, and
03:08
       3
           W-20-CV-636, styled Demaray LLC versus Samsung Electronics
03:08
       4
03:09
           Company and others.
03:09
                             If I could hear announcements from counsel,
03:09
           starting with the plaintiff, please.
03:09
       8
                MR. MILVENAN: Your Honor, Rick Milvenan from McGinnis
           Lochridge for the plaintiff Demaray LLC. I'm joined by Maclain
03:09
       9
           Wells from the Irell & Manella firm.
      10
03:09
03:09
      11
                MR. WELLS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
      12
                THE COURT: Good afternoon.
03:09
                MR. NASH: Good afternoon, Your Honor. This is Brian Nash
03:09
      13
           of Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman on behalf of Samsung
03:09
      14
03:09
      15
           defendants.
03:09
      16
                (Clarification by the reporter.)
                MR. NASH: This is Brian Nash, Your Honor, on behalf of
      17
03:09
           the Samsung defendants.
03:09
      18
      19
                MR. RAVEL: Your Honor, Steve Ravel on behalf of Intel.
03:09
03:09
      20
           With me today is our client representative John Edwards and a
03:09
      21
           couple of lawyers from Paul Hastings who can introduce
03:10
      2.2
           themselves and let you know how they fit in.
03:10
      23
                THE COURT: Okay.
      2.4
                MR. CHAIKOVSKY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
03:10
      25
           Chaikovksy from Paul Hastings. We also represent Intel and
03:10
```



```
Samsung in this matter. We also represent third-party Applied
03:10
       1
           Materials.
03:10
        2
                With me today is my partner Phil Ou who'll be speaking
03:10
        3
           also. And also a client representative from Applied Materials,
03:10
           Nathan Zhang, is on the line also, Your Honor.
03:10
03:10
                THE COURT: Very good. Anyone else?
        6
03:10
        7
                Okay. And let me take the opportunity to thank those
03:10
        8
           folks who are in-house or client representatives for taking the
           time to attend today. It's one of the very few things about
03:10
        9
           COVID that has worked out for us, which is that clients are
03:10
      10
           able to attend these hearings. I think that's vitally
03:10
      11
           important. So I appreciate you all taking the time to do it.
03:11
      12
                Give me one second to get my cheat sheet on the motions
03:11
      13
           that are in front of me.
03:11
      14
03:11
      15
                Okay. My understanding is Issue No. 1 is discovery that's
03:11
      16
           been sought from Intel with regard to accused reactor
           configurations; is that correct?
      17
03:11
                MR. MILVENAN: That's correct.
03:11
      18
03:11
      19
                THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Milvenan, who's going to be
           speaking on behalf of the plaintiff?
03:11
      20
03:11
      21
                MR. MILVENAN: I will be, as well as Mr. Wells.
03:11
      2.2
                THE COURT: Mr. Milvenan, I'm having, which is rare, a
03:11
      23
           little bit of a hard time hearing you. So you might do
      24
           whatever you need to do. I'm much nicer about it than Kristie
03:11
           is, so if you make me happy, you'll avoid a scolding.
      2.5
03:11
```



03:11 1 (Collective laughter.)

03:11

03:11

03:11

03:12

03:12

03:12

03:12

03:12

03:12

03:12

03:12

03:12

03:12

03:12

03:13

03:13

03:13

03:13

03:13

03:13

03:13

03:13

03:13

03:13

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. MILVENAN: Well, I am surprised to hear that anyone would have trouble hearing me in any circumstance, as you know, Judge.

(Clarification by the reporter.)

MR. MILVENAN: Your Honor, just a very quick bit of background. Our client, Demaray LLC, was founded by Richard Demaray. He's a long-time figure in the semiconductor industry. He set up this company to focus on research, development and commercialization of new products.

He's suing Intel and Samsung in this case on two patents on which he is a named inventor. The '276 patent relates generally to a configuration of a reactor for deposition of thin films. The '657 patent relates to a method of depositing thin films by pulse EC reactive sputtering.

Now, where we are in this case is, first off, as was mentioned earlier by the attorneys for defendants, the Paul Hastings firm represents Applied Materials in California. They represent Samsung and Intel here. The first order of business for them was to file a lawsuit in California and try to enjoin this Court from proceedings. That failed, but they also filed this motion to transfer.

At a very high level, we're here trying to get discovery on the configurations of the reactors that Intel and Samsung utilize, where their locations are, et cetera.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

