

EXHIBIT 1

1 YAR R. CHAIKOVSKY (SB# 175421)
yarchaikovsky@paulhastings.com
2 PHILIP OU (SB# 259896)
philipou@paulhastings.com
3 JOSEPH J. RUMPLER, II (SB# 296941)
josephrumpler@paulhastings.com
4 BERKELEY FIFE (SB# 325293)
berkeleyfife@paulhastings.com
5 BORIS LUBARSKY (SB# 324896)
borislubarsky@paulhastings.com
6 PAUL HASTINGS LLP
1117 S. California Avenue
7 Palo Alto, California 94304-1106
Telephone: 1(650) 320-1800
8 Facsimile: 1(650) 320-1900

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

14 APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.,
15 Plaintiff,
16 vs.
17 DEMARAY LLC,
18 Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:20-cv-05676

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT**

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1
2 1. This is an action for declaratory relief arising under the patent laws of the United States.
3 Applied Materials, Inc. (“Applied”) brings the instant action because Defendant Demaray LLC
4 (“Demaray”) has filed lawsuits alleging that certain of Applied’s customers infringe United States
5 Patent Nos. 7,544,276 and 7,381,657 (the “Asserted Patents”) by using “semiconductor
6 manufacturing equipment including reactive magnetron sputtering reactors” manufactured by
7 Applied. True and correct copies of these complaints against Applied’s customers are attached as
8 Exhibits A and B. Demaray’s lawsuits against Applied’s customers have placed a cloud over
9 Applied’s products; threatened Applied’s business and relationships with its customers and
10 partners, as well as the sales of its reactors; and created a justiciable controversy between Applied
11 and Demaray.
12

13 2. Therefore, Applied requests declaratory relief as follows: (1) a declaratory judgment that
14 Applied’s products do not infringe the Asserted Patents because they do not meet each and every
15 limitation of any asserted claim; (2) declaratory judgment that Applied’s products cannot infringe
16 the Asserted Patents because the rights of named inventor, Mukundan Narasimhan (“Narasimhan”),
17 in the Asserted Patents were assigned to Applied, making Applied at least a co-owner of the
18 Asserted Patents that has not joined and will not join Demaray in alleging infringement of the
19 Asserted Patents; and (3) declaratory judgment that Applied’s products cannot infringe because
20 Applied has a license to use the Asserted Patents by reason of a license granted to at least Applied
21 Komatsu Technology (“AKT”) and Applied Komatsu Technology America, Inc. (“AKTA”)
22 (collectively “Applied Komatsu”), affiliates of Applied, by Demaray’s predecessor company,
23 Symmorphix, Inc. (“Symmorphix”) covering the Asserted Patents; or alternatively, declaratory
24 judgment that Applied’s products cannot infringe the Asserted Patents because the rights of one or
25 more of the other named inventors of the Asserted Patents were assigned to AKTA, making AKTA
26
27
28

1 at least a co-owner of the Asserted Patents that has not been joined and will not join Demaray in
2 alleging infringement of the Asserted Patents.

3 **THE PARTIES**

4 3. Plaintiff Applied Materials, Inc. (“Applied”) is a corporation organized and existing under
5 the laws of the state of Delaware, with its principal place of business at 3050 Bowers Avenue, Santa
6 Clara, CA 95054-3299. Applied is a leader in materials engineering solutions that creates
7 technology and products used for semiconductor fabrication, including but not limited to reactors
8 in the Endura product line.

9
10 4. Defendant Demaray LLC (“Demaray”) is a limited liability company organized and existing
11 under the laws of the state of Delaware. Dr. Richard Ernest Demaray is the founder of Demaray
12 LLC. Dr. Demaray is also one of the named inventors on the Asserted Patents. Dr. Demaray
13 describes Demaray LLC as a “Silicon Valley, CA” company which “[is] about the portfolio of my
14 patents.”¹

15
16 **JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT**

17 5. This action arises under the Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, and under the
18 patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1–390.

19 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a),
20 and 2201(a).

21
22 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Demaray. Among other things, Demaray has
23 continuous and systematic business contacts with Northern California. Demaray’s “founder and
24 president,” Dr. Demaray, describes Demaray as a “Silicon Valley, CA” company:²

25
26
27 _____
28 ¹ <https://www.edemaray.com/bios.html>

² <https://www.edemaray.com/bios.html>; <https://www.linkedin.com/in/ernestdemarayphd/>

1 Experience:

2 **Founder and President: Demaray LLC** March 2013 – Present Silicon Valley CA

3 Intellectual Property invention and development, including licensing for thin film energy conversion and storage technologies and devices, thin
4 film optics, solar energy, photo-chemistry, solid state Li+ ion films and devices, advanced thin film coatings and devices.



6 **Founder and President**

7 Demaray LLC

8 Jan 2006 – Present · 14 yrs 7 mos

9 Silicon Valley CA

10 Intellectual Property invention and development, including licensing for thin film energy conversion
11 and storage technologies and devices, thin film optics, solar energy, photo-chemistry, solid state
12 Li+ ion films and devices, advanced thin film coatings and devices.

13 On information and belief, according to Demaray’s website, several of the Board members and
14 employees of Demaray are from and/or based in Northern California.³ Under its “Partners” sub-
15 page, Demaray’s website lists the University of California at Santa Cruz as one of its primary
16 partners, and claims that “Professor Kobayashi of UCSC is working with Demaray LLC to further
17 develop the Sun2Fiber technology with a grant from ARPA-E.”⁴ Further, on information and belief,
18 the technology underlying the Asserted Patents was allegedly developed in Northern California.

19 8. In addition, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Demaray because Demaray has
20 purposefully directed into California its enforcement activities regarding the Asserted Patents. As
21 referenced above, Demaray has filed complaints against Intel (headquartered in Northern
22 California)⁵ and Samsung (conducts substantial business operations related to the allegedly
23 infringing technology in Northern California and holds a large US office in Northern California).⁶
24 Further, Demaray’s complaints against both Samsung and Intel accuse Applied technology, and
25 Applied is also headquartered in Northern California.⁷ And, at least against Samsung, Demaray

26 ³ <https://www.edemaray.com/bios.html>

27 ⁴ <https://www.edemaray.com/partners.html>

28 ⁵ <https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/support/articles/000015107/programs.html>

⁶ **Error! Hyperlink reference not valid.** <https://www.samsung.com/us/ssic/location/san-jose-ca/>

⁷ <http://www.appliedmaterials.com/company/contact/locations>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.