```
1
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2
                          WACO DIVISION
3
                             ) Docket No. WA 20-CA-634 ADA
   DEMARAY, LLC
4
   VS.
                             ) Waco, Texas
   INTEL CORPORATION
5
                             ) January 7, 2022
6
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
                    WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
                          WACO DIVISION
8
                              ) Docket No. WA 20-CA-636 ADA
   DEMARAY, LLC
9
                             ) Waco, Texas
   VS.
10
   SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,
11
   LTD. (A KOREAN COMPANY),
   SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
12
   AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG
   SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
13
   SAMSUNG AUSTIN
   SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC ) January 7, 2022
14
15
          TRANSCRIPT OF VIDEOCONFERENCE DISCOVERY HEARING
                BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALAN D. ALBRIGHT
16
   APPEARANCES:
17
   For the Plaintiff:
18
                             Mr. Crawford Maclain Wells
                             Irell & Manella, LLP
19
                             1800 Avenue Of The Starts,
                             Suite 900
20
                             Los Angeles, California 90067
21
                             Mr. Ian M. Davis
                             Mr. Richard D. Milvenan
22
                             McGinnis, Lochridge
                             & Kilgore, LLP
23
                              600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2100
                             Austin, Texas 78701
24
25
```



```
1
   (Appearances Continued:)
2
   For Intel Corporation:
                              Mr. J. Stephen Ravel
                              Kelly, Hart & Hallman, LLP
3
                              303 Colorado Street, Suite 2000
                              Austin, Texas 78701
4
                              Mr. Philip Ou
5
                              Paul Hastings, LLP
                              1117 South California Avenue
                              Palo Alto, California 94304
6
7
                              Ms. Claire M. Specht
                              Wilmer, Cutler, Pickering,
8
                              Hale & Dorr, LLP
                              60 State Street
9
                              Boston, Massachusetts 02109
10
   For Samsung Electronics: Mr. Brian C. Nash
                              Mr. Austin M. Schnell
11
                              Pillsbury, Winthrop,
                              Shaw, Pittman, LLP
12
                              401 Congress Avenue, Suite 1700
                              Austin, Texas 78701
13
                              Mr. Cosmin Maier
14
                              Desmarais, LLP
                              230 Park Avenue
15
                              New York, New York 10169
16
   Court Reporter:
                              Ms. Lily Iva Reznik, CRR, RMR
                              501 West 5th Street, Suite 4153
17
                              Austin, Texas 78701
                              (512)391-8792
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
   Proceedings reported by computerized stenography,
   transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
```



```
1
                     THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
09:21:31
        2
                     If Suzanne could call the case, please.
09:21:32
        3
                     THE CLERK: Civil Action W-21-CV-634, styled,
09:21:34
09:21:42
        4
           Demaray, LLC vs. Intel Corporation, and Case No.
           W-20-CV-636, styled, Demaray, LLC vs. Samsung Electronics
09:21:46
        5
           Company, Limited, A Korean Company, and others.
09:21:50
        6
        7
                     THE COURT: I can have announcements from
09:21:54
           counsel, please.
09:21:55
        8
09:21:58
        9
                     MR. MILVENAN: Your Honor, for the Plaintiff
09:22:00
       10
           Demaray, Rick Milvenan and Ian Davis from McGinnis
           Lochridge. We're joined by Maclain Wells from Irell &
09:22:05
       11
       12
           Manella.
09:22:10
09:22:10
       13
                    MR. RAVEL: Your Honor, Steve Ravel for Defendant
09:22:12
       14
           Intel. Our client representative here today is Jared
09:22:16
       15
           Edgar, first and foremost. Claire Specht from Wilmer Hale
09:22:21
       16
           will be our primary speaker today. And from Paul
09:22:24
       17
           Hastings, Phil Ou is with us.
09:22:27
       18
                     And as a matter of housekeeping, we have a client
09:22:31
       19
           rep on and perhaps we should -- there's going to be CBI
           flying back and forth, I think, in both the Intel and the
09:22:36
       20
09:22:38
       21
           Samsung hearing. Perhaps we should take up the Intel one
09:22:42
       22
           first since there's an Intel client rep on the line.
       23
                     THE COURT: I'm happy to do that.
09:22:47
       24
                     MR. NASH: Your Honor, Brian Nash of Pillsbury
09:22:52
           Winthrop on behalf of the Samsung defendants. I'm also
09:22:55
       25
```



```
joined by Austin Schnell of Pillsbury and Cosmin Maier of
09:22:58
           the Desmarais firm. Phil Ou also represents Samsung and,
09:23:02
           I believe, might be speaking on Samsung's behalf with
09:23:06
        3
09:23:09
           respect to these issues later today.
                     THE COURT: Okay. And thank you all for being
09:23:11
        5
                   Thank you for your clients taking the time to
09:23:13
        6
           attend.
09:23:16
        7
09:23:16
        8
                     And I'm happy to take up the Intel issue first.
09:23:20
        9
                     MR. WELLS: Thank you, your Honor. Maclain Wells
09:23:23
        10
           of Irell & Manella on behalf of Demaray. I'll be
           addressing this issue.
09:23:25
        11
        12
                     Just a quick reorientation regarding the Intel
09:23:25
09:23:28
        13
           reactors that we're here about today. So Intel has
09:23:31
        14
           identified two reactor suppliers that it has that have an
09:23:34
        15
           RF bias and a DC power source to the target. The first is
           Applied, which we addressed before the holidays and that's
09:23:39
        16
09:23:42
        17
           ongoing. The second is
09:23:47
        18
09:23:50
        19
09:23:52
        20
09:23:54
        21
09:23:58
        22
        23
                                                              Whether or
09:24:01
        24
           not those are available or not, we haven't heard back from
09:24:03
           them. And then, has a number of
09:24:05
        25
                                                              reactors,
```



that have been rolled out already and 09:24:08 1 2 it's rolling out more. 09:24:10 3 So we had this deposition back in November at the 09:24:11 09:24:15 Court's instruction, and we determined that 09:24:22 5 09:24:24 6 they do, indeed, have a DC power source and an RF power 09:24:27 7 09:24:30 8 source bias in the substrate. They claim 09:24:34 9 but there are apparatus claims at 09:24:37 10 issue here; and so, these reactors are at issue, 09:24:40 11 regardless. 12 So we've asked them for discovery on the 09:24:40 09:24:44 13 reactors. We've gotten discovery from Intel on the 09:24:47 14 reactors that they have in their possession. They've also 09:24:50 15 recently provided some additional information on their 09:24:52 16 reactors, but are still working on 09:24:55 17 supplementing their interrogatories with regard to the 09:24:57 18 reactors, I understand. 09:24:59 19 But the information that we've received to date 09:25:01 20 doesn't provide us the level of detail, much like the 09:25:04 21 Applied reactors, regarding the filters at issue. 09:25:07 22 schematics, and whatnot, don't have that level of detail where they're detailing the actual individual electrical 09:25:10 23 24 components and where they might be. 09:25:13 25 And so, we've said we need to inspect the 09:25:14



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

