```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                  FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 3
                             WACO DIVISION
 4
 5
    DEMARAY LLC,
                                   ) (
         PLAINTIFF,
                                         CIVIL ACTION NO.
                                   ) (
 6
                                         6:20-CV-634-ADA
                                   ) (
                                         WACO, TEXAS
   VS.
                                   ) (
 7
                                   ) (
                                         NOVEMBER 4, 2021
    INTEL CORPORATION,
                                   ) (
         DEFENDANT.
                                         10:30 A.M.
 8
                                   ) (
 9
    DEMARAY LLC,
                                   ) (
10
         PLAINTIFF,
                                   ) (
                                         CIVIL ACTION NO.
                                         6:20-CV-636-ADA
                                   ) (
11
   VS.
                                         WACO, TEXAS
                                   ) (
                                   ) (
12
    INTEL CORPORATION,
                                         NOVEMBER 4, 2021
                                   ) (
                                         10:30 A.M.
         DEFENDANT.
                                   ) (
13
14
                           DISCOVERY HEARING
15
             BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE ALAN D ALBRIGHT
16
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Mr. C. Maclain Wells
                        Irell & Manella LLP
18
                        1800 Avenue of the Stars
19
                        Suite 900
                        Los Angeles, CA 90067
20
    COURT REPORTER:
                       Ms. Shelly Holmes, CSR, TCRR
                        Certified Shorthand Reporter
21
                        2593 Myrtle Road
                        Diana, TX 75640
22
                        (903) 720-6009
23
                        shellyholmes@hotmail.com
24
    (Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
25
   produced on a CAT system.)
```



```
1
   FOR THE PLAINTIFF: Mr. Rick Milvenan
                       McGinnis Lochridge, LLP
 2
                       1111 W. 6th Street
                       Suite 400
 3
                       Austin, TX 78703
 4
    FOR INTEL:
                       Mr. Philip Ou
 5
                       Paul Hastings, LLP
                       1117 South California Avenue
 6
                       Palo Alto, CA 94304
 7
                       Ms. Claire M. Specht
                       WilmerHale
 8
                       60 State Street
                       Boston, MA 02109
 9
                       Mr. J. Stephen Ravel
10
                       Kelly Hart & Hallman, LLP
                       303 Colorado Street
11
                       Suite 2000
                       Austin, TX 78701
12
13
    FOR THE SAMSUNG:
                       Mr. Brian C. Nash
                       Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, LLP
14
                       401 Congress Avenue
                       Suite 1700
                       Austin, TX 78701-3797
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



```
1
            THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
 2
            Suzanne, if you'd call the case, please.
            COURTROOM DEPUTY: Sure.
 3
            Discovery Hearing in Civil Action W:20-CV-634,
 4
 5
   styled Demaray, LLC, versus Intel Corporation, and
   Discovery Hearing in Civil Case No. W:20-CV-636, styled
 6
7
   Demaray, LLC, versus Samsung Electronics Company Limited, a
 8
   Korean company, and others.
            THE COURT: I'd like to have announcements from
   counsel, please.
10
11
            MR. MILVENAN: Your Honor, Plaintiff is ready.
12
   Rick Milvenan from McGinnis Lochridge and Maclain Wells
13
   from Irell & Manella on behalf of Demaray, LLC.
            THE COURT: And --
14
15
            MR. NASH: Good morning, Your Honor.
16
            THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Nash.
17
            MR. NASH: Sorry, Judge, were you -- were you
18
   talking? I never want to interrupt you.
19
            THE COURT: I just saw you, and I said, good
20
   morning.
21
            MR. NASH: Okay. Good morning, Your Honor. Brian
22
   Nash at Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman here on behalf of
23
   Sam -- the Samsung entities. Also today are the Paul
24
   Hastings team that are going to introduce themselves, and
25
   they'll be taking the laboring oar on the discussion.
```



```
MR. RAVEL: Your Honor, for Intel, Steve Ravel
1
2
   along with first and most importantly our client
   representative, Jared Edgar -- Edgar.
3
 4
            The Paul Hastings usual suspects are here. From
   Wilmer, Boston, we have an appearance from Joe Mueller.
5
   And our primary speaker today is Claire Specht, also from
6
7
   Wilmer, Boston.
8
            THE COURT: Anyone else?
9
            Okay. Very good. Okay. I'm happy to take up the
10
   issues.
11
            Jun has gotten me the list of topics and
12
   questions, so I'm happy for -- for anyone -- whoever is
13
   going to argue this to -- to take over.
14
            MR. WELLS: Your Honor, there are motions.
15
   is Maclain Wells of Irell Manella on behalf of Demaray. So
   it'd probably makes sense for me to go first. I'm happy to
16
17
   take them in whatever order that you would like.
18
            The first issue addresses both Defendants, and the
   second issue relates to Intel specifically. And I think
19
20
   they have some concerns about confidentiality. So it might
21
   make sense for us to do the general one first. And then if
22
   anybody needs to leave, we can cover the Intel one.
23
   that acceptable?
24
            THE COURT: That's great.
            MR. WELLS: Okay. So just to reorient the Court,
25
```



very quickly. Two patents-in-suit, they relate to reactor configurations used to deposit thin films. One relates to method claims, one relates to apparatus claims.

And within these patents, there's various limitations, including limitations relating to a DC power that's used, an RF bias that's used, and an RF filter that's used with specific characteristics.

Now, the parties have been going round and round about identifying reactors that have DC power sources and an RF bias, and then also the additional details on the RF filters for other protective -- alternative protective mechanisms that are used. And we've come to the Court repeatedly on this.

So back in December of last year, we should have gotten basic information on reactor configurations, but we didn't. We had three motions to compel in the spring, we had a stipulation by the parties in the summer, and this all culminated with the latest hearing on September 27th where we came to the Court and asked for specific information, which the Court ordered, but we're still not getting what we need, and so that's the background.

Now, if the Court will recall, at the last hearing, there was a dispute regarding the RF filters. And so there's a certain subset of reactors for which the Defendants have identified an RF filter. And for those



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

