

EXHIBIT B

1 Ellisen S. Turner (SBN #224842)
ellisen.turner@kirkland.com
2 Joshua Glucoft (SBN #301249)
josh.glucoft@kirkland.com
3 Kevin X. Wang (SBN #318024)
kevin.wang@kirkland.com
4 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
2049 Century Park East, 37th Floor
5 Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (310) 552-4200
6 Fax: (310) 552-5900

7 Jeanne M. Heffernan (*pro hac vice*)
jheffernan@kirkland.com
8 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
401 Congress Avenue
9 Austin, TX 78701
Tel: (512) 678-9100
10 Fax: (512) 678-9101

11 Kristina R. Cary (*pro hac vice*)
kristina.cary@kirkland.com
12 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
200 Clarendon Street
13 Boston, MA 02116
Tel: (617) 385-7500
14 Fax: (617) 385-7501

15 *[Additional counsel listed on signature page]*

16 Attorneys for Defendants
Meta Platforms Inc. and WhatsApp LLC
17

18 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
19 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
20 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

21 VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.,
22 Plaintiff,

23 vs.

24 META PLATFORMS INC., et. al.,
25 Defendant.
26

Case No. 3:22-cv-004279-JD

**DEFENDANTS META PLATFORMS
INC. AND WHATSAPP LLC’S NOTICE
AND MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF THEIR
MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE
PLEADINGS**

Hearing: January 26, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

I. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED..... 1

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS..... 1

III. LEGAL STANDARDS 2

 A. Collateral Estoppel..... 2

 B. 35 U.S.C. § 101..... 3

IV. ARGUMENT..... 4

 A. VoIP-Pal is collaterally estopped from challenging patent ineligibility here. 4

 B. The asserted claims are patent-ineligible under Section 101..... 5

 1. *Alice* step one: Claim 1 is directed to an abstract idea..... 5

 2. *Alice* step two: Claim 1 lacks an inventive concept..... 10

 C. Claim 1 is representative and no other asserted claim is patent-eligible. 12

 D. Dismissal with prejudice is appropriate..... 15

V. CONCLUSION 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases****Page(s)**

1		
2	Cases	
3		
4		
5	<i>Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> ,	
6	838 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	6
7		
8	<i>Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. DirectTV, LLC</i> ,	
9	838 F.3d 1253 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	14
10		
11	<i>Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd. v. CLS Bank. Int’l</i> ,	
12	573 U.S. 208 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
13		
14	<i>Broadcom Corp. v. Netflix Inc.</i> ,	
15	2022 WL 1105073 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 13, 2022).....	3
16		
17	<i>BroadSoft Inc. v. CallWave Commc’ns, LLC</i> ,	
18	282 F. Supp. 3d 771 (D. Del. 2017).....	9
19		
20	<i>BSG Tech LLC v. BuySeasons, Inc.</i> ,	
21	899 F.3d 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	12
22		
23	<i>Burnett v. Panasonic Corp.</i> ,	
24	741 F. App’x 777 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	15
25		
26	<i>Cleveland Clinic Found. v. True Health Diagnostics LLC</i> ,	
27	859 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....	15
28		
	<i>Content Extraction & Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, Nat. Ass’n</i> ,	
	776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	12
	<i>Control v. Dig. Playground, Inc.</i> ,	
	2016 WL 5793745 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 30, 2016).....	4
	<i>Cyberfone Sys., LLC v. CNN Interactive Grp., Inc.</i> ,	
	558 F. App’x 988 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	11
	<i>Dropbox, Inc. v. Synchronoss Techs., Inc.</i> ,	
	815 F. App’x 529 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	12
	<i>Electric Power Group, LLC v. Alstom S.A.</i> ,	
	830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	14
	<i>Foman v. Davis</i> ,	
	371 U.S. 178 (1962).....	15
	<i>Glasswall Sols. Ltd. v. Clearswift Ltd.</i> ,	
	754 F. App’x 996 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	9

1 *Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Bank (USA)*,
 2 792 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....14

3 *Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Fin. Corp.*,
 4 850 F.3d 1332 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....3

5 *Intellectual Ventures I v. Symantec Corp.*,
 6 838 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....8

7 *Juniper Networks Inc. v. Swarm Tech. LLC*,
 8 2022 WL 3031211 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 1, 2022)10

9 *Mayo Collaborative Services v. Prometheus Laboratories, Inc.*,
 10 566 U.S. 66 (2012).....11

11 *McRO, Inc. v. Bandai Namco Games Am. Inc.*,
 12 837 F.3d 1299 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....8

13 *Mortgage Application Techs., LLC v. MeridianLink, Inc.*,
 14 839 F. App’x 52015

15 *NetSoc, LLC v. Oath Inc.*,
 16 2020 WL 419469 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 24, 2020), *appeal dismissed*, 832 F. App’x 703
 17 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....4, 5

18 *Ohio Willow Wood Co. v. Alps South, LLC*,
 19 735 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....2, 3, 4

20 *Oyeniran v. Holder*,
 21 672 F.3d 800 (9th Cir. 2012)2, 4

22 *RecogniCorp, LLC v. Nintendo Co., Ltd.*,
 23 855 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....10, 14

24 *RingCentral, Inc. v. Dialpad, Inc.*,
 25 372 F. Supp. 3d 988 (N.D. Cal. 2019)7

26 *Smart Systems Innovations, LLC v. Chicago Transit Authority*,
 27 873 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....14

28 *In re TLI Commc’ns LLC Patent Litig.*,
 823 F.3d 607 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....9, 10

Twilio, Inc. v. Telesign Corp.,
 249 F. Supp. 3d 1123 (N.D. Cal. 2017)9

Two-Way Media Ltd. v. Comcast Cable Commc’ns, LLC,
 874 F.3d 1329 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....7, 8, 11, 12

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.