

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

STC.UNM,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 6:19-cv-428

APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO TRANSFER VENUE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	2
A. STC.UNM.....	3
B. Apple.....	4
C. Third-Party Broadcom	5
III. LEGAL STANDARD.....	6
IV. THE NDCAL IS CLEARLY THE MORE CONVENIENT VENUE	8
A. The Private Interest Factors Favor Transfer	8
1. Relative Ease of Access to Sources of Proof	8
2. Availability of Compulsory Process	10
3. Attendance of Willing Witnesses.....	11
4. All Other Practical Problems	13
B. The Public Interest Factors Favor Transfer	13
1. Court Congestion Is, At Worst, Neutral.....	14
2. Local Interests Strongly Favor Transfer	14
3. Familiarity With The Governing Law And Conflicts Of Law Are Neutral.....	15
V. CONCLUSION.....	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>In re Acer Am. Corp.</i> , 626 F.3d 1252 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	11
<i>ADS Sec. L.P. v. Advanced Detection Sec. Servs., Inc.</i> , No. A-09-CA-LY, 2010 WL 1170976 (W.D. Tex. Mar. 23, 2010)	11
<i>Aguilar-Ayala v. Ruiz</i> , 973 F.2d 411 (5th Cir. 1992)	10
<i>In re Apple, Inc.</i> , 581 F. App'x 886 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	7, 12
<i>Auto-Dril, Inc. v. Nat'l Oilwell Varco, L.P.</i> , No. 6:15-cv-00091, 2016 WL 6909479 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 28, 2016).....	7, 11
<i>Bd. of Regents of the Univ. of Texas Sys., v. Bos. Sci. Corp.</i> , 936 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	9
<i>Collaborative Agreements, LLC. v. Adobe Sys. Inc.</i> , No. 1-14-CV-356, 2015 WL10818739 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 21, 2015)	8, 9
<i>DataQuill, Ltd. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 13-ca-706, 2014 WL 2722201 (W.D. Tex. June 13, 2014)	8, 14
<i>Datascape, Ltd. v. Dell Techs., Inc.</i> , No. 6:19-cv-00129-ADA, 2019 WL 4254069 (W.D. Tex. June 7, 2019).....	13, 14
<i>Fintiv, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 6:18-cv-00372, 2019 WL 4743678 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 13, 2019)	11
<i>Gemalto S.A. v. CPI Card Grp. Inc.</i> , No. 15-CA-0910, 2015 WL 10818740 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 16, 2015)	10
<i>In re Genentech, Inc.</i> 566 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>In re Hoffman-La Roche, Inc.</i> , 587 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	10, 14
<i>In re HP Inc.</i> , No. 2018-149, 2018 WL 4692486 (Fed. Cir. Sept. 25, 2018)	7, 13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>In re Nintendo Co., Ltd.</i> , 589 F.3d 1194 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	7, 11
<i>Peak Completion Techs., Inc. v. I-TEC Well Solutions, LLC</i> , No. A-13-cv-086-LY, 2013 WL 12121002 (W.D. Tex. June 26, 2013)	13
<i>Polaris Innovations Ltd. v. Dell, Inc.</i> , No. 16-CV-451, 2016 WL 7077069 (W.D. Tex. Dec. 5, 2016)	8, 9, 13
<i>STC.UNM v. TP-Link Techs. Co.</i> , No. 6:19cv262 (W.D. Tex. filed Apr. 12, 2019).....	2
<i>TiVo Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , No. 2:09-cv-257, 2010 WL 11468564 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 17, 2010).....	11
<i>In re Toyota Motor Corp.</i> , 747 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	7
<i>In re TS Tech USA Corp.</i> , 551 F.3d. 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	7, 12, 15
<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. A-18-cv-990-LY, 2019 WL 2066121 (W.D. Tex. April 8, 2019)	1, 9, 11, 13
<i>Uniloc USA, Inc. v. Apple</i> , No. 2:17-cv-00258-JRG, Dkt. 104 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 22, 2017)	1
<i>Uniloc USA Inc. v. Box, Inc.</i> , No. 1:17-CV-754-LY, 2018 WL 2729202 (W.D. Tex. June 6, 2018).....	8, 14
<i>Via Vadis, LLC v. Netgear, Inc.</i> , No. 14-cv-809, 2015 WL 10818675 (W.D. Tex. July 30, 2015).....	13
<i>VLSI Tech., LLC v. Intel Corp.</i> , Case No. 6:19-cv-00254-ADA, 2019 WL 4254065 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 6, 2019).....	10, 11
<i>In re Volkswagen AG</i> , 371 F.3d 201 (5th Cir. 2004)	7, 12
<i>In re Volkswagen of Am., Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 304 (5th Cir. 2008)	<i>passim</i>
<i>Wet Sounds, Inc. v. Audio Formz, LLC</i> , No. 17-cv-141, 2017 WL 4547916 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 11, 2017), report and recommendation adopted, No. 1:17-cv-141, 2018 WL 1219248 (W.D. Tex. Jan. 22, 2018).....	8, 10, 13, 14

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

	Page(s)
<i>XY, LLC v. Trans Ova Genetics, LC</i> , No. 16-ca-00447, 2017 WL 5505340 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 5, 2017)	8
Other Authorities	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c)(1)(A), (B)	10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.