

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

STC.UNM,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:19-cv-428

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

**PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR
PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff STC.UNM files this Original Complaint for Patent Infringement and Jury Demand against Defendant Apple Inc. (“Defendant” or “Apple”) Plaintiff alleges infringement of United States Patent Numbers 8,249,204 (“204 Patent”), 8,565,326 (“326 Patent”), and 8,265,096 (“096 Patent”) as follows:

I. PARTIES

1. Plaintiff STC.UNM is a New Mexico nonprofit research park corporation formed, owned, and controlled entirely by the Board of Regents of the University of New Mexico (“UNM”).

2. UNM is a public educational institution based in Albuquerque, New Mexico, and serves as the State of New Mexico’s flagship research institution. UNM serves over 25,000 students and comprises over 1,700 faculty members across its main campus in Albuquerque and branch campuses in Gallup, Los Alamos, Rio Rancho, Taos, and Los Lunas, New Mexico.

3. Over the past 20 years, UNM researchers have disclosed over 1,700 new inventions, resulting in the issue of over 500 United States patents.

4. STC.UNM’s mission includes nurturing inventions researched and developed at UNM, promoting technological collaboration between UNM and other universities and research institutes, and thereby catalyzing economic development in the State of New Mexico.

5. STC.UNM furthers its mission by licensing and, if necessary, enforcing its inventions and reinvesting proceeds into continued research and development at and technological collaboration with UNM, for the benefit of and for the State of New Mexico and the United States.

6. STC.UNM is located at the UNM Lobo Rainforest Building, 101 Broadway Boulevard, Suite 1100, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102.

7. Plaintiff STC.UNM, UNM, and the Board of Regents of UNM are arms of the State of New Mexico and enjoy sovereign immunity under common law, statutes, and constitutions of the United States and the State of New Mexico including, but not limited to, the Eleventh Amendment of the United States Constitution and Article 12 of the New Mexico Constitution. *See Regents of University of New Mexico v. Knight*, 321 F.3d 1111 (Fed. Cir. 2003); *STC.UNM v. Quest Diagnostics Inc.*, CIV 17-1123 MV/KBM, 2019 WL 1091390, at *1 (D.N.M. Mar. 8, 2019).

8. By filing this lawsuit or prosecuting this action, Plaintiff STC.UNM does not waive, either expressly or implicitly, its sovereign immunity, the sovereign immunity of UNM or its Board of Regents, or the sovereign immunity enjoyed by any arm of the State of New Mexico under the laws of the United States or the State of New Mexico, to any *inter partes* review, *ex parte* reexamination, or other post-grant proceeding at the United States Patent and Trademark Office or its Patent Trial and Appeal Board, to any other administrative actions or proceedings whatsoever, to any noncompulsory counterclaims, or to any other federal or state proceedings whatsoever, whether initiated by Defendant or an entity other than Defendant.

9. Defendant is a California corporation, and has a physical place of business at 5505 W. Parmer Lane, Austin, Texas 78727. Defendant is actively transacting business in Texas. Defendant's Registered Agent for service of process is CT Corporation System, located at 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201.

II. JURISDICTION

10. This action arises under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1, *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, 283, 284, and 285. This is a patent infringement lawsuit over which this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

11. This United States District Court for the Western District of Texas has general and specific personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant is present in and transacts and conducts business in and with residents of this District and the State of Texas.

12. Defendant maintains regular and established places of business in the State of Texas and in the Western District of Texas.

13. STC.UNM's causes of action arise, at least in part, from Defendant's contacts with and activities in this District and the State of Texas.

14. Defendant has committed acts of infringing the '204 Patent, the '096 Patent, and the '326 Patent within this District and the State of Texas by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing in or into this District and elsewhere in the State of Texas products made by practicing the claimed methods of the '204 Patent, the '096 Patent, and the '326 Patent. Defendant, directly and through intermediaries, makes, uses, sells, offers for sale, ships, distributes, advertises, promotes, and/or otherwise commercializes such infringing products in this District and the State of Texas. Defendant regularly conducts and solicits business in, engages in other persistent courses of conduct in, and/or derives substantial revenue from goods and services provided to residents of this District and the State of Texas.

III. VENUE

15. Venue is proper in this District because: (1) Defendant has a physical place located in the District, (2) it is a regular and established place of business, and (3) it belongs to Defendant. *See In re Cray Inc.*, 871 F.3d 1355, 1360 (Fed. Cir. 2017).

16. Defendant has been registered to do business in the State of Texas since May 16, 1980 under tax identification no. 19424041101.

17. Defendant's registered agent and office is CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Suite 900, Dallas, Texas 75201.

18. Defendant maintains its second largest corporate office in this District. Specifically, Defendant's Texas corporate office is located at 5505 W. Parmer Lane, Austin, Texas 78727. This is a regular and established place of business belonging to Defendant.

19. In addition to the foregoing, Defendant has numerous other regular and established physical places of business in this District. Defendant has at least the following physical places of business located within the Western District of Texas:

Apple Store
2901 S. Capital of Texas Highway
Barton Creek Mall
Austin, TX 78746

Apple Store
11410 Century Oaks Terrace
Austin, TX 78758

Apple Store
450 W 2nd Street
Austin, TX 78701

Apple Store
12545 Riata Vista Circle
Austin, TX 78727

Apple Store
8401 Gateway Blvd West
El Paso, TX 79925

Apple Store
7400 San Pedro Avenue
San Antonio, TX 78216

Apple Store
15900 La Cantera Parkway
San Antonio, TX 78256

20. Defendant regularly conducts daily retail business to the public at the above-named locations. Such business includes selling, offering for sale, and using the Accused Instrumentalities identified in this Original Complaint.

21. The above-named places of business belong to Defendant.

22. STC.UNM does not waive its sovereign immunity as to any venue, including district courts and administrative tribunals, other than this Court, namely the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Waco Division.

IV. UNITED STATES PATENT NUMBER 8,249,204

23. United States Patent Number 8,249,204 is titled “Apparatus and method for channel state information feedback.” The ’204 Patent issued from United States Patent Application Number 12/339,000, which was filed on December 18, 2008 and claims priority to United States Provisional Patent Application Number 61/079,980, which was filed on July 11, 2008. The ’204 Patent was issued on August 21, 2012. A true and correct copy of the ’204 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

24. The ’204 Patent claims patent-eligible subject matter and is valid and enforceable.

25. The ’204 Patent’s named inventors are Wen-Rong Wu, Tzu-Han Hsu, Jen-Yuan Hsu, and Pang-An Ting.

26. The ’204 Patent’s original patentee was the Industrial Technology Research Institute (“ITRI”), which acquired exclusive ownership of the patented invention by written assignments from Wen-Rong Wu, Tzu-Han Hsu, Jen-Yuan Hsu, and Pang-An Ting, recorded in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office on or about February 26, 2009.

27. Thereafter, ITRI conveyed to Sino Matrix Technology, Inc. (“SMT”) all rights, title, and interest in and to the ’204 Patent, including the right to sue and recover for past infringements, by written assignment recorded in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office (“USPTO”) on or about March 14, 2018.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.