

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

BANDSPEED, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR
CORPORATION

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

Case No. 1:20-cv-00765-LY

DEFENDANT'S OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. BACKGROUND AND INTRODUCTION.....1**
- II. DISPUTED TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION2**
 - A. “selection kernel”2
 - B. “the hopping sequence”6
 - C. “performance”7
 - D. “a [first]/[second] time”8
 - E. “channel index”8
 - F. “apply an index to a channel index of the identified communications channel”9
 - G. “Distinct” Terms11
 - H. “rescanning [the default channels]”12
 - I. “good” / “bad”13
 - J. “Instruction” Terms14
 - 1. *Beauregard* Claims17
 - 2. MPF Terms17
 - 3. Claims 1, 3, and 5 of the ’608 Patent and Claim 11 of the ’643 Patent Improperly Combine Apparatus and Method Elements in a Single Claim Under Bandspeed’s Proposed Construction.....18
 - 4. Claim 1 of the ’643 Patent Is Not a *Beauregard* Claim.....19
 - 5. All of the Instruction Claims Are Means-Plus-Function Claims.....19
- III. CONCLUSION20**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases**

<i>3M Innovative Props. Co. v. Tredegar Corp.</i> , 725 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	2
<i>Allen Eng'g Corp. v. Bartell Indus., Inc.</i> , 299 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2002).....	7
<i>Aristocrat Techs. Australia Pty Ltd. v. Int'l Game Tech.</i> , 521 F.3d 1328 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	18, 20
<i>Arthur A. Collins, Inc. v. N. Telecom Ltd.</i> , 216 F.3d 1042 (Fed. Cir. 2000).....	4
<i>Blackboard, Inc. v. Desire2Learn, Inc.</i> , 574 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	20
<i>Bushnell Hawthorne, LLC v. Cisco Sys., Inc.</i> , 813 F. App'x 522 (Fed. Cir. 2020)	6
<i>C.R. Bard, Inc. v. U.S. Surgical Corp.</i> , 388 F.3d 858 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	2
<i>Comark Commc'ns, Inc. v. Harris Corp.</i> , 156 F.3d 1182 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	1
<i>Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.</i> , 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988).....	1
<i>CyberSource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	17, 19
<i>Digital-Vending Servs. Int'l, LLC v. Univ. of Phoenix, Inc.</i> , 672 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	17, 18
<i>Finisar Corp. v. DirecTV Grp., Inc.</i> , 523 F.3d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	18
<i>Horizon Pharma, Inc. v. Dr. Reddy's Labs. Inc.</i> , 839 F. App'x 500 (Fed. Cir. 2021)	7
<i>Immunex Corp. v. Sanofi-Aventis U.S. LLC</i> , 977 F.3d 1212 (Fed. Cir. 2020).....	4
<i>Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.</i> , 766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	13, 14

<i>IPXL Holdings, L.L.C. v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , 430 F.3d 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	18
<i>LG Elecs., Inc. v. Bizcom Elecs., Inc.</i> , 453 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2006), <i>rev'd on other grounds sub nom.</i> <i>Quanta Computer, Inc. v. LG Elecs., Inc.</i> , 553 U.S. 617 (2008).....	4
<i>Liebel-Flarsheim Co. v. Medrad, Inc.</i> , 358 F.3d 898 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	1
<i>Merck & Co., Inc. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.</i> , 395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	11
<i>Morton Int'l, Inc. v. Cardinal Chem. Co.</i> , 5 F.3d 1464 (Fed. Cir. 1993).....	9, 13
<i>Nautilus, Inc., v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 898 (2014).....	2, 3, 10, 12
<i>Noah Sys., Inc. v. Intuit Inc.</i> , 675 F.3d 1302 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	18, 20
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	1, 2
<i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm't Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	1
<i>Triton Tech of Tex., LLC v. Nintendo of Am., Inc.</i> , 753 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	18
<i>Trs. of Columbia Univ. v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 811 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	7
<i>V-Formation, Inc. v. Benetton Grp. SpA</i> , 401 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	4
<i>Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC</i> , 792 F.3d 1339 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	17, 19
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 112.....	20
35 U.S.C. § 112(f).....	14, 15, 16

Other Authorities

MICROSOFT COMPUTER DICTIONARY (5th ed. 2002)..... 19

WEBSTER’S II NEW COLLEGE DICTIONARY (2001 ed.)..... 7, 11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.