

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

BANDSPEED, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

C.A. No. 1:20-cv-00765-LY

**DEFENDANT REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.'S
RENEWED RULE 12 MOTION TO DISMISS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

- I. INTRODUCTION 1
- II. BACKGROUND 1
 - A. Procedural History 1
 - B. Bandspeed’s First Amended Complaint Includes Insufficient Jurisdictional Allegations. 2
 - C. Realtek Lacks Contacts with, and Does Not Purposefully Direct Any Conduct Towards, Texas. 3
- III. THIS COURT LACKS PERSONAL JURISDICTION OVER REALTEK 4
 - A. Legal Standard 4
 - B. Realtek is Not Subject to General Jurisdiction in This District. 5
 - C. Realtek is Not Subject to Specific Jurisdiction in This District..... 6
 - 1. Bandspeed’s claims do not arise out of any activities of Realtek that are purposefully directed at Texas. 6
 - 2. Specific jurisdiction over Realtek does not exist under the stream-of-commerce theory. 10
 - 3. Asserting personal jurisdiction over Realtek would be unreasonable and unfair. 12
 - D. Realtek is Not Subject to Federal Long-Arm Jurisdiction under Rule 4(k)(2). 14
- IV. THE COURT SHOULD DISMISS BANDSPEED’S FAC BECAUSE OF ITS FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND ITS INEXPLICABLE DELAY IN EFFECTING SERVICE 16
 - A. Bandspeed’s FAC Should be Dismissed because Bandspeed Failed to Exercise Due Diligence in Attempting Service 16
 - B. Bandspeed’s FAC Should be Dismissed Under Rule 41(b) Based on Bandspeed’s Failure to Prosecute 17
- V. CONCLUSION..... 18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>AFTG-TG, LLC v. Nuvoton Tech. Corp.</i> , 689 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	6, 10
<i>Asahi Metal Indus. Co. v. Super. Ct.</i> , 480 U.S. 102 (1987)	10, 13, 14
<i>Auto Wax Co. v. Kasei Kogyo Co.</i> , No. A 00-531 SS, 2001 WL 1891719 (W.D. Tex. Sept. 26, 2001)	13
<i>Autogenomics, Inc. v. Oxford Gene Tech. Ltd.</i> , 566 F.3d 1012 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....	5
<i>Beverly Hills Fan Co. v. Royal Sovereign Corp.</i> , 21 F.3d 1558 (Fed. Cir. 1994).....	10, 12
<i>Blue Spike, LLC v. Texas Instruments, Inc.</i> , No. 12-cv-00499, 2014 WL 11829323 (E.D. Tex. Mar. 31, 2014)	6
<i>Breakall v. Munn</i> , No. A-08-CA-485-LY, 2008 WL 11417063 (W.D. Tex. Oct. 9, 2008).....	7
<i>Bullard v. Burlington N. Santa Fe Ry. Co.</i> , 368 Fed. Appx. 574 (5th Cir. 2010).....	17
<i>City of El Paso v. Soule</i> , 991 F. Supp. 812 (W.D. Tex. 1998).....	13
<i>Coleman v. Sweetin</i> , 745 F.3d 756 (5th Cir. 2014)	17
<i>Daimler AG v. Bauman</i> , 571 U.S. 117 (2014).....	5
<i>Dillard v. Federal Corp.</i> 321 F. Supp. 3d 752, 761 (W.D. Tex. 2018).....	13
<i>Elecs. for Imaging Inc. v. Coyle</i> , 340 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	6
<i>Freescale Semi., Inc. v. Amtran Tech. Co.</i> , No. A-12-CV-644-LY, 2013 WL 12121034 (W.D. Tex. June 12, 2013)	6, 7, 10, 12

<i>Freudensprung v. Offshore Tech. Servs., Inc.</i> , 379 F.3d 327 (5th Cir. 2004)	7
<i>Garnet Digital, LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 893 F. Supp. 2d 814 (E.D. Tex. 2012).....	6
<i>Gomez v. Galman</i> , 18 F.4th 769 (5th Cir. 2021)	5
<i>Goodyear Dunlop Tires Operations, S.A. v. Brown</i> , 564 U.S. 915 (2011).....	10
<i>Hanson v. Denckla</i> , 357 U.S. 235 (1958).....	4
<i>Int'l Shoe Co. v. Washington</i> , 326 U.S. 310 (1945).....	4, 5, 9
<i>J. McIntyre Mach., Ltd. v. Nicastro</i> , 564 U.S. 873 (2011).....	10
<i>Johnston v. Multidata Sys. Int'l Corp.</i> , 523 F.3d 602 (5th Cir. 2008)	5, 6
<i>Lawson v. Aleutian Spray Fisheries Inc.</i> , No. C11-0061JLR, 2012 WL 208111 (W.D. Wash. Jan. 24, 2012).....	17
<i>Lozano v. Bosdet</i> , 693 F.3d 485 (5th Cir. 2012)	16
<i>M-I Drilling Fluids UK Ltd. v. Dynamic Air Ltda.</i> , 890 F.3d 995 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	12
<i>Med. Sols., Inc. v. C Change Surgical LLC</i> , 541 F.3d 1136 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	5
<i>Merial Ltd. v. Cipla Ltd.</i> , 681 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	14
<i>Moncrief Oil Int'l Inc. v. OAO Gazprom</i> , 481 F.3d 309 (5th Cir. 2007)	9
<i>Motion Games, LLC v. Nintendo Co.</i> , No. 6:12-cv-878-JDL, 2014 WL 5306961 (E.D. Tex. Oct. 16, 2014).....	14
<i>MWK Recruiting, Inc. v. Jowers</i> , No. 1:18-cv-444, 2019 WL 7761445 (W.D. Tex. July 29, 2019).....	5

<i>Nuance Commc'ns, Inc. v. Abby Software House</i> , 626 F.3d 1222 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	5, 6
<i>Pancho Villa's Army, LLC v. Tendot Corp. Travel, Inc.</i> , No. 1:13-cv-972-LY, 2014 WL 12663098 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 15, 2014).....	5
<i>Panda Brandywine Corp. v. Potomac Elec. Power Co.</i> , 253 F.3d 865 (5th Cir. 2001) (per curiam).....	5
<i>Polar Electro Oy v. Suunto Oy</i> , 829 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	5, 10
<i>Red Wing Shoe Co. v. Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc.</i> , 148 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	5
<i>Sealed Appellant v. Sealed Appellee</i> , 453 F.3d 415 (5th Cir. 2006)	17, 18
<i>Silicon Laboratories, Inc. v. Cresta Technology Corp</i> No. A-14-CA-318-SS, 2014 WL 3530817 (W.D. Tex. July 14, 2014).	11
<i>Sorkin v. Dayton Superior Corp.</i> , 2006 WL 2141255 (S.D. Tex. July 28, 2006).....	11
<i>Synergy Drone LLC v. Parrot S.A.</i> No. 1:17-cv-243-LY, 2018 WL 11361758 (W.D. Tex. Apr. 17, 2018)	8, 9, 11, 15
<i>Synthes (U.S.A.) v. G.M. Dos Reis Jr. Ind. Com de Equip. Medico</i> , 563 F.3d 1285 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	13, 15
<i>Touchcom, Inc. v. Bereskin & Parr</i> , 574 F.3d 1403 (Fed. Cir. 2009).....	14
<i>Veazey v. Young's Yacht Sale & Serv., Inc.</i> 644 F.2d 475, 478 (5th Cir. 1981)	18
<i>Walden v. Fiore</i> , 571 U.S. 277 (2014).....	6, 7, 9, 15
<i>World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson</i> , 444 U.S. 286 (1980).....	4

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.