1		TED STATES DISTRICT COURT ESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION
3	ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC	. *
4	VS.	* * September 9, 2020
5	LG ELECTRONICS, INC., ET	
6 7	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., ET AL	* CIVIL ACTION NO. AU-20-CV-34 LTD, * *
0	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALAN D ALBRIGHT, JUDGE PRESIDING	
8	TELEPHONIC DISCOVERY HEARING	
9	APPEARANCES:	
10	For the Plaintiff:	Charles L. Ainsworth, Esq. Robert Christopher Bunt, Esq.
11		Parker, Bunt & Ainsworth, P.C. 100 East Ferguson, Suite 418
12		Tyler, TX 75702
13 14		Steven M. Seigel, Esq. Susman Godfrey L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
15		Seattle, WA 98101
16	For Defendant LG:	Elizabeth M. Chiaviello, Esq. Winstol D. Carter, Jr., Esq.
17		Thomas R Davis, Esq. Morgan Lewis and Bockius LLP
18		1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 4000 Houston, TX 77002
19		Collin W. Park, Esq.
20		Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP 1111 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
21	For Defendant Samsung:	Washington, DC 20004-2541
22	ror berendant samsung:	Anupam Sharma, Esq. Matthew Phelps, Esq. Covington & Burling LLP
23		3000 El Camino Real 5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor
24		Palo Alto, CA 94306
٥		





```
1
         (September 9, 2020, 10:30 a.m.)
         MS. MILES: Telephonic discovery hearing in Civil Action
 2
 3
    1:20-CV-34, styled Ancora Technologies, Incorporated versus LG
    Electronics Incorporated, and others.
 4
 5
         THE COURT: If I could hear announcements from counsel,
 6
    please.
 7
         MR. SEIGEL: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Steve
 8
    Seigel from Susman Godfrey on behalf of plaintiff Ancora, and I
 9
    believe that Charley Ainsworth and Chris Bunt are also on the
10
    line.
11
         THE COURT: Okay. Good to hear that.
         And for defendants?
12
         MR. CARTER: Good morning, Your Honor. Winn Carter for
13
    LGE, along with Collin Park, Elizabeth Chiaviello and Tom
14
15
    Davis.
16
         THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.
         Okay. I'm sorry. Was there someone else that wanted to
17
18
    announce?
19
         MR. SHARMA: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor.
20
    Anupam Sharma from Covington Burling on behalf of Samsung.
21
    Also with me is Matthew Phelps from Covington.
2.2
         THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
23
         MS. SMITH: Your Honor, it's Melissa Smith on behalf of
24
    Samsung as well.
25
         THE COURT: Thanks for being here.
```



1 MS. SMITH: Good morning.

2 THE COURT: Good morning.

Is that everyone?

2.2

2.5

Okay. And I'm happy to jump in and take up the matters.

I've got the e-mail. So I think we're all ready to go.

MR. CARTER: Yes, Your Honor. So Winn Carter for LGE.

As we reported in our e-mail this morning, we have responded -- or had an opportunity, and we thank you for that opportunity to speak with our clients about the three asks that were first raised in the call on Thursday.

And regarding those three asks from Ancora, we have agreed to produce a witness to discuss the e-mail practices that they asked for with that witness being produced on or before September the 24th I believe was the date. Obviously it will be by a remote hearing, given the current circumstances both in the United States and in Korea. And we've asked for Ancora's proposal on how the logistics of the deposition will take place and so forth. So we're awaiting those directions from Ancora.

Regarding the second ask from Ancora that was requesting that LGE supplement its response to Ancora's contention

Interrogatory No. 13 by September the 11th, since this is a contention interrogatory, Your Honor, and obviously fact discovery and expert discovery is not complete -- been completed yet, and that is several weeks away, we're still willing to supplement based upon our current information, the



```
1
    information that was provided in Interrogatory No. 13, and
    would be willing to do so by I believe the 25th of September.
 2
 3
         We also have -- and we raised this in our call with Ancora
    on Friday. We also have outstanding contention
 4
 5
    interrogatories, specifically one dealing with invalidity
 6
    contentions, and we raised this because they did not answer
 7
    that contention interrogatory. They provided a bunch of
 8
    objections and said that we would discuss with you a mutual
 9
    time to respond to these contention interrogatories, which we
10
    understood to be for both parties. And so if they wish for us
11
    to respond to the contention interrogatory by September 25th to
12
    provide that, it seems only right, citing the goose-gander
13
    rule, that by September 25th Ancora also provide its response
    to that Interrogatory No. 13 dealing with invalidity
14
15
    contentions. That's the proposal we made, and --
16
         THE COURT: Mr. Carter. Mr. Carter.
17
         MR. CARTER: Yes.
         THE COURT: This is Alan Albright.
18
19
         What -- I know generally speaking what the
20
    interrogatory you're -- what specifically are you seeking at
21
    this time for the plaintiff to add with respect to the
2.2
    invalidity? If you could help me out with a little more
23
    specificity.
24
         MR. CARTER: Yes, sir. The Interrogatory No. 13 asks for
2.5
    information concerning their position on the invalidity
```



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

