

Exhibit 29

No. 2018-1404

**United States Court of Appeals
for the Federal Circuit**

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

HTC AMERICA, INC., HTC CORPORATION,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western
District of Washington, Case No. 2:16-cv-01919-RAJ

CORRECTED BRIEF OF APPELLANT

Mark A. Cantor
Marc Lorelli
John S. LeRoy
John P. Rondini
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 Town Center
Twenty-Second Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
(248) 358-4400

March 13, 2018

Counsel for Appellant

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for Appellant, Ancora Technologies, Inc. certifies the following:

1. The full name of party represented by me: _____

Ancora Technologies, Inc.

2. The name of the real party in interest (please only include any real party in interest NOT identified in Question 3) represented by me: _____

None

3. Parent corporations and publicly held companies that own 10 % or more of the stock of the party: _____

None

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court (**and who have not or will not enter an appearance in this case**) are: Savitt, Bruce and Willey; Duncan Manville; Sarah Bigelow

5. The title and number of any case known to counsel to be pending in this or any other court or agency that will directly affect or be directly affected by this court's decision in the pending appeal. *See* Fed. Cir. R. 47.4(a)(5) and 47.5(b). (The parties should attach continuation pages as necessary.) None

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
STATEMENT OF RELATED CASES	1
STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION.....	1
STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES.....	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE FACTS	3
A. The Patent-In-Suit.....	3
B. The intrinsic record confirms the innovation of the ‘941 Patent.....	8
C. District Court proceedings.....	12
SUMMARY OF THE ARGUMENT	13
APPLICABLE LEGAL PRINCIPLES.....	15
A. Standard of Review.....	15
B. Legal framework for motions to dismiss on the pleadings	16
C. Legal framework for the § 101 analysis	16
ARGUMENT	18
A. Alice step one: The ‘941 Patent claims are directed to an improvement in computer functionality and are not abstract	19
1. The District Court erred in dismissing the ‘941 Patent’s technological improvement over the prior art.....	24
2. The District Court erred in ignoring and contradicting the specification.....	25

3. The District Court failed to provide any claim based analysis showing that the claims of the ‘941 Patent are directed to an abstract idea..... 28

B. Alice step two: The ‘941 Patent claims involve an inventive concept.....31

1. The District Court erred when it failed to consider the Patent Office record regarding the inventive concept embodied in Claim 1 of the ‘941 Patent 34

2. The District Court erred when it failed to consider the scope of the claims and held that the ‘941 Patent claims a generic computer performing generic computer functions..... 35

3. The District Court erred in making factual findings against Ancora at the pleading stage 37

C. The District Court erred when it failed to address or consider that the ‘941 Patent claims do not raise pre-emption concerns.....38

CONCLUSION AND RELIEF SOUGHT40

ADDENDUM

Order Granting Motion to Dismiss, dated 12/14/17. Appx1 – Appx12

Judgment, dated 12/20/17. Appx13

USPN 6,411,941, dated: 6/25/02.Appx20 – Appx28

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH TYPE-VOLUME, TYPEFACE AND TYPE STYLE REQUIREMENTS

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.