

# Exhibit 27

2013-1378, -1414

---

**United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit**

---

**ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,**

*Plaintiff- Appellant,*

v.

**APPLE, INC.,**

*Defendant-Cross Appellant.*

---

Appeals From The United States District Court  
For The Northern District Of California In  
11-CV-6357, Judge Yvonne Gonzales Rogers

---

**JOINT APPENDIX**

Mark A. Cantor  
John S. LeRoy  
Marc Lorelli  
John P. Rondini  
**BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.**  
1000 Town Center  
Twenty-Second Floor  
Southfield, Michigan 48075-1238  
(248) 358-4400

*Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellant*

Dated: October 21, 2013

United States Court of Appeals  
for the Federal Circuit  
Ancora Technologies, Inc. v. Apple, Inc.

[2013-1378, -1414]

**Joint Appendix**

Final Judgment, **Dtd. 4/29/13**..... **A1 – A2**

Claim Construction Order, **Dtd. 12/31/12**..... **A3 – A23**

Docket Sheet.....**A24 – A41**

USPN 6,411,941..... **A42 – A48**

USPN 6,411,941 Re-Examination..... **A49 – A50**

Complaint for Patent Infringement, **Dtd. 12/29/10**..... **A51 – A54**

Joint Claim Construction Statement, **Dtd. 3/26/12**..... **A87 – A96**

Plaintiff’s Opening Markman Brief, **Dtd. 5/9/12**.....**A123 – A125**  
**A127 – A128**

Declaration of John Rondini in Support  
of Plaintiff’s Markman Brief, **Dtd. 5/9/12**..... **A249 – A250**  
**A261 – A270**  
**A283 – A285**  
**A291 – A294**  
**A297**  
**A301 – A302**  
**A310**  
**A589**  
**A602**  
**A619**  
**A655**  
**A1420**  
**A1439**

Defendant’s Responsive Claim Construction  
Brief, **Dtd. 6/5/12**. . . . . **A1474**  
**A1499**  
**A1501 – A1503**  
**A1662**  
**A1669**  
**A1672**  
**A1689**  
**A1695**  
**A1700**  
**A1705**

Transcript of Proceedings, **Dtd. 6/29/12**. . . . . **A1819 – A1864**

Markman Hearing Transcript, **Dtd. 7/11/12**. . . . . **A1866 – A1951**

Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment  
of Noninfringement, **Dtd. 3/28/13**. . . . . **A1974**  
**A1994 – A2002**

Plaintiff’s Statement of Non-Opposition to  
Defendant’s Motion for Summary Judgment  
of Non-Infringement and Presentment of New  
Proposed Order, **Dtd. 4/17/13**. . . . . **A2080 – A2083**

Joint Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment  
and Dismissal, **Dtd. 4/23/13**. . . . . **A2084 – A2086**

Order Granting Summary Judgment of  
Non-Infringement, **Dtd. 4/29/13**. . . . . **A2089**

Plaintiff’s Notice of Appeal, **Dtd. 4/30/13**. . . . . **A2090 – 2092**

1 A LOT OF CUSTOMERS. IT'S A PIECE OF HARDWARE.

2 SO THEN I WAS -- I TURNED MY ATTENTION TO A  
3 DIFFERENT SET OF PRODUCTS THAT WERE AVAILABLE BACK THEN; WHAT'S  
4 CALLED A SOFTWARE-BASED ANTIPIRACY PRODUCT. AND THOSE ARE  
5 PRODUCTS THAT I WOULD INCORPORATE INTO MY PROGRAM. ANY TIME  
6 YOU WOULD RUN MY SOFTWARE OR WHEN YOU INSTALL IT THE FIRST  
7 TIME, YOU WOULD HAVE TO PROVE YOU ARE THE AUTHENTIC USER BY  
8 PUNCHING IN A BUNCH OF NUMBERS I WOULD GIVE YOU ON A POSTCARD  
9 OR ON THE BACK OF A CD.

10 AND THAT WAS A LESS SECURE SOLUTION AND IT WAS  
11 PUTTING A HASSLE ON MY USERS WHO NOW HAVE TO KEY IN THESE  
12 NUMBERS.

13 SO, THAT LED ME TO START THINKING ABOUT THIS FIELD  
14 OF SOFTWARE ANTIPIRACY AND LICENSING, AND I WAS TRYING TO  
15 FIGURE OUT IF THERE IS A THIRD APPROACH THAT I COULD PERHAPS  
16 TAKE ON AND PROVIDE A SOLUTION THAT WILL HAVE THE ADVANTAGES OF  
17 BOTH THE HARDWARE APPROACH AND THE SOFTWARE APPROACH WITHOUT  
18 ALL OF THE DISADVANTAGES.

19 SO WHAT I HAVE DONE IS I HAVE LOOKED AT THE COMPUTER  
20 AND TRYING TO FIGURE OUT IF THERE'S ANYTHING IN THOSE COMPUTERS  
21 THAT'S ALREADY THERE THAT I COULD POTENTIALLY REUSE FOR A  
22 DIFFERENT PURPOSE AND HAVE THIS EFFECT OF A, LIKE A DONGLE THAT  
23 WE HAD BEFORE, THAT HARDWARE ATTACHMENT, WITHOUT HAVING TO SEND  
24 THE HARDWARE DEVICES TO MY USERS.

25 IN -- I HAD A CONVERSATION, DISCUSSIONS WITH A

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.