EXHIBIT 3

Case 1.20-CV-00054-ADA DIRECTOR #5-5 Filed 0.020120 Page 2 01 12



UNITED S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET N 09/164,777 10/01/98 MULLOR M REINC4237.01 **EXAMINER** TM02/1220 SPENCER AND FRANK HEMITT. C SUITE 300 EAST PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20005-3955 2161 **DATE MAILED:**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

12/20/00



| Case 1.20-cv-00054-ADA Docur | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/164,777 | MULLOR ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Calvin L Hewitt II | 2161 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. | | | |
| If the period for reply septemed above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply with the statedory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered threely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 L</u> | December 2000 . | | |
| .— | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved. | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | |
| 2. $igtiz$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. $\underline{2}$. | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e). | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 400 🗀 11111 | Summan (DTO 442) Danas No(-) | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | · <u></u> | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| | 20\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | | |



Case 1.20-cv 00054-ADA Document 45-5 Filed 05/20/20 Page 4 01 12

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/164,777

Art Unit: 2161

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-15 have been examined.

Response to Applicants' Request

2. Applicant's desire for clarity regarding the Examiner's Office Action dated

October 18, 2000 has been noted. In response, the Examiner has written another Office

Action that the Examiner believes speaks directly to the issues raised by the Applicants.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-4, 6 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Ginter et al U.S. Patent No. 5,892,900.

As per claim 1, Ginter et al teach of a system and method for secure transactions management and electronic rights protection that:



Application/Control Number: 09/164,777

Art Unit: 2161

Page 3

- restricts software operation within a license limitation (column 5, lines 29-41; column 6, lines 29-65; column 7, lines 45-57)
- utilizes a computer that has a first non-volatile memory area (column 70, lines 40-65), a second non-volatile memory area (column 70, lines 40-65) and a volatile memory area (column 71, lines 12-25)
- provides a means of selecting a program residing in the volatile memory (column 71, lines 25-27 and column 82, lines 12-52)
- sets up a verification structure in the non-volatile memories (column 70, lines 23-53 and column/line 63/67-64/15)
- verifies the program using the structure (column 70, lines 23-53 and column/line 63/67-64/15)
- and acts on the program according to the verification (column 70, lines 23-53 and column/line 63/67-64/15).

As per claim 2, the method and system of Ginter et al provide for a license authorization bureau in the form of a VDE (virtual distribution environment) distributor and/or administrator (column/line 278/40 to 281/44).

As per claim 3, the method and system of Ginter et al discloses a verification method with a license authorization bureau that comprises of:



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

