

EXHIBIT 11

1 William E. Thomson, Jr. (SBN 47195)
wthomson@brookskushman.com
2 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
601 S. Figueroa St., Suite 2080
3 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5726
Tel: (213) 622-3003
4 Fax: (213) 622-3053

5 Mark A. Cantor (*Pro Hac Vice*)
mcantor@brookskushman.com
6 John S. Le Roy (*Pro Hac Vice*)
jleroy@brookskushman.com
7 Marc Lorelli (*Pro Hac Vice*)
mlorelli@brookskushman.com
8 John P. Rondini (*Pro Hac Vice*)
jrondini@brookskushman.com
9 BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
10 1000 Town Center, Twenty-Second Floor
Southfield, MI 48075
11 Tel.: (248) 358-4400
Fax: (248) 358-3351

12 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Ancora Technologies, Inc.*

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
15 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

16 ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

17 Plaintiff,

18 v.

19 APPLE, INC.,

20 Defendant.

21 _____
22 APPLE, INC.

23 Counterclaimant,

24 v.

25 ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

26 Counterdefendant.

Case No. 4:11-cv-06357-YGR

**ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S
OPENING *MARKMAN* BRIEF**

Hearing Date:

June 15, 2012 10:00 AM

Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ii

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION LAW 3

III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION DISPUTES 5

 A. “Non-Volatile Memory” 6

 B. “BIOS” 8

 C. “Program” 11

 D. “Volatile Memory” 13

 E. “License Record” 16

 F. “verifying the program using at least the verification structure
 from the erasable non-volatile memory of the BIOS” 18

 G. Apple’s “Ordering” Argument 19

IV. CONCLUSION 22

1 Defendant's construction of these terms is entirely motivated by its search for an
2 infringement defense. Every person of skill in the computer field knows that a "program" is: "a
3 set of instructions that can be executed by a computer." The '941 Patent uses the term
4 "program" broadly to include "software" (Rondini Decl. ¶2, Ex. 1, '941 patent, col. 1, line 8,
5 col. 1, line 13, col. 4, line 42) or an "application" (*Id.*, Ex. 1, '941 patent, col. 1, lines 53-54,
6 col. 2, lines 29-30, col. 2, line 37, col. 2, lines 48-56, col. 3, line 40, col. 4, lines 44). The
7 Microsoft Computer Dictionary defines the term "program" as "a sequence of instructions that
8 can be executed by a computer," and that the term "program" is "also called software." (Rondini
9 Decl. ¶8, Ex. 7, ANCA 2872.) Even Apple documents define "program" similarly to Ancora's
10 proposal. (Rondini Decl. ¶14, Ex. 13, ANCA 1386, Apple II Reference Manual: "Program: A
11 sequence of instructions which describes a process.")

12 In this litigation, however, Apple seeks to significantly narrow the scope of the claims.
13 By requiring that the claimed "program" "interact" with the "operating system," Apple seeks to
14 *exclude* the "operating system" itself from the scope of the term "program." Nothing in the '941
15 Patent supports this exclusion.

16 An operating system, is undeniably a "program," *i.e.*, a "set of instructions that can be
17 executed by a computer." The primary prior art reference over which the Patent Office allowed
18 '941 Patent expressly described an "operating system" as a type of "program." (Rondini Decl.
19 ¶15, Ex. 14, U.S. Patent No. 6,189,146, 5:63 – 6:5: "programs include a server operating
20 system.") *Acumed LLC v. Stryker Corp.*, 483 F.3d 800, 809 (Fed. Cir. 2007) (cited references
21 are part of the intrinsic record).

22 Apple attempts to dramatically narrow the claimed terms to a particular *type* of program –
23 another negative limitation that the claims, the intrinsic record and the law simply do not permit.
24 The specification does not clearly set forth Apple's far narrower definition. *Thorner*, F.3d at
25 1365. Similarly, there was no clear disavowal of claim scope in the prosecution history. *Id.* at
26 1366. Again, outside of this litigation, Apple agrees with Ancora. In its own patents, Apple

1 explains: “An operating system 180 is a program that controls processing by CPU 110.”
 2 (Rondini Decl. ¶16, Ex. 15, U.S. Patent 6,178,464 at 3:34-35).

3
 4 **D. “Volatile Memory”**

Claim Term/Phrase	Ancora Construction	Apple Construction
“volatile memory”	memory that is not maintained when the power is removed	This phrase is indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2.

8 This term first appears in the following phrase of claim 1 of the ‘941 patent: “selecting a
 9 program residing in the volatile memory.” Apple contends that this term is indefinite. Apple,
 10 therefore, must prove by clear and convincing evidence that the term cannot be construed.
 11 *Datamize*, 417 F.3d at 1348; *Source Search Techs., LLC v. LendingTree, LLC*, 588 F.3d at 1076
 12 (“If the meaning of the claim is discernible, even though the task may be formidable and the
 13 conclusion may be one over which reasonable persons will disagree, we have held the claim
 14 sufficiently clear to avoid invalidity on indefiniteness grounds. Only claims not amenable to
 15 construction or insolubly ambiguous are indefinite.”). Because the term “volatile memory” is
 16 very well-known and readily amendable to construction, it is not indefinite.

17 The term is universally understood by those of skill in the art as memory that is not
 18 available for use by a program after the computer’s power turned off. Both Ancora’s and
 19 Apple’s experts agree.

Ancora Expert (Ex. 5, Justice Decl., ¶ 5)	Apple Expert (Ex. 6, Kelly Decl. ¶ 23.)
“[I]nformation stored in ‘volatile’ memory is not preserved for use after the power is removed.”	“The ordinary meaning of volatile memory’ is memory that loses its data when power is removed”

23 Volatile memory is a fundamental building block of all computers. Virtually every
 24 computer dictionary and textbook defines the term “volatile memory.” For example, the
 25 Microsoft Computer Dictionary defines the term as “[m]emory, such as RAM, that loses its data
 26 when the power is shut off. *Compare* nonvolatile memory.” (Rondini Decl. ¶8, Ex. 7, The
 27
 28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.