Exhibit 15

Clase 8:08-67-3006:260-AUS-990264-800-6 unferre 400 anti-Africa 601/2670903/26/201 67 2009 22 20 10 #:1989



TABLE OF CONTENTS 1 2 Page 3 TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ii 4 I. 5 II. 6 III. 7 8 1. 9 2. 10 "program" / "software program" / "application software program" . 14 В. 11 "selecting a program residing in the volatile memory" 16 C. 12 D. 13 E. 14 F. 15 G. 16 IV. 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26



27

28

in a manner different from the plain import of its terms.") These are all terms that defendants' conjured to avoid infringement, contrary to controlling law. ⁵

C. <u>"selecting a program residing in the volatile memory"</u>

Ancora's Construction	Defendants' Construction
running a program in the volatile	choosing from a group of programs
memory	that have been loaded into the
	computer's volatile memory

Briefly, this step is performed when the claimed "program" discussed above is run on the computer. The specification states: "the specified program is run on the specified computer." (Ex. 1, col. 1, lines 60-61.) The defendants, however, propose:

choosing from *a group of programs* that have been loaded into the computer's volatile memory.

(Italics added.)

The only dispute is the Defendants' proposed limitation that there must be a "group" of different loaded programs from which one must be "chosen." The terms "group" and "choosing" are not used anywhere in the '941 patent.

This construction, like the others, is engineered to avoid infringement by excluding the possibility that only <u>one</u> program (such as Microsoft's accused operating system at computer startup) is loaded into memory for execution. This is another improper exclusionary construction having no basis whatsoever in the intrinsic record.

⁵ It is unclear at this time how the Defendants' proposed constructions for "program," "software," and "application" differ, if at all. Accordingly, Ancora reserves the right to substantively respond to the Defendants' positions on these terms in Ancora's Response to Defendants' Opening Claim Construction Brief.



On the contrary, the written description repeatedly refers to a "program" in the singular. For example, the very first sentence of the written description states that the "Field of the Invention" includes "restricting an unauthorized software program's operation." (Ex. 1, col. 1, lines 5-8.) The "Summary of the Invention" also refers to the term program in the singular: "each application program that is to be licensed to run on the specified computer." (Ex. 1, col. 1, lines 53-54.) The '941 patent is riddled with usages of the selected program in the singular, and <u>nothing</u> in the intrinsic record requires that a "group" plurality of programs exist in the volatile memory which must be chosen."

To the extent the Court seeks to construe this term, Ancora proposes that it be construed to mean "running a program in the volatile memory." This construction is most consistent with the context of the '941 patent disclosure: "the specified program is run on the specified computer." (Ex. 1, col. 1, lines 61-62.)

D. "agent"

Ancora's Construction	Defendants' Construction
a program to perform a task	software that performs a background
	task for a user and reports to the user
	when the task is done or some
	expected event has occured

The claimed "agent" is the technology used to establish a "verification structure" in the memory of the BIOS. The "verification structure" includes the "license record" that is used to verify that the claimed "program" is authorized to run on the computer as described above. Once again, the defendants divine additional limitations for this term: