

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION

ANCORA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. *
*
VS. * January 26, 2021
*
LG ELECTRONICS, INC., ET AL *
* CIVIL ACTION NO. AU-20-CV-34
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD, *
ET AL *

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ALAN D ALBRIGHT, JUDGE PRESIDING
DISCOVERY HEARING (via Zoom)

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Charles L. Ainsworth, Esq.
Parker, Bunt & Ainsworth, P.C.
100 East Ferguson, Suite 418
Tyler, TX 75702

Andres Healy, Esq.
Nicholas S. Crown, Esq.
Susman Godfrey L.L.P.
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
Seattle, WA 98101

For Defendant LG: Elizabeth M. Chiaviello, Esq.
Winstol D. Carter, Jr., Esq.
Thomas R Davis, Esq.
Morgan Lewis and Bockius LLP
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 4000
Houston, TX 77002

Collin W. Park, Esq.
Morgan Lewis & Bockius, LLP
1111 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20004-2541

For Defendant Samsung: Robert T. Haslam, Esq.
Covington & Burling LLP
3000 El Camino Real
5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor
Palo Alto, CA 94306

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Eric T. O'Brien, Esq.
Covington & Burling LLP
850 Tenth Street, NW, One City Center
Washington, DC 20001-4956

Melissa Richards Smith, Esq.
Gillam & Smith, LLP
303 South Washington Avenue
Marshall, TX 75670

Andrew Thompson Gorham, Esq.
Gillam & Smith LLP
102 N. College, Suite 800
Tyler, TX 75702

Jared Frisch, Esq.
Covington & Burling LLP
One CityCenter, 850 Tenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20001-4956

Court Reporter:

Kristie M. Davis
United States District Court
PO Box 20994
Waco, Texas 76702-0994

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript
produced by computer-aided transcription.

02:35 1 (January 26, 2021, 2:35 p.m.)

02:36 2 DEPUTY CLERK: Discovery hearing in Civil Action

02:36 3 1:20-CV-34, styled Ancora Technologies, Incorporated versus

02:36 4 LG Electronics, Incorporated, and others.

02:36 5 THE COURT: If I could have announcements from counsel
02:36 6 starting with plaintiff.

02:36 7 MR. HEALY: Thank you. This is Mr. Healy on behalf of
02:36 8 Ancora.

02:36 9 MS. CHIAVIELLO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. You also
02:36 10 have Elizabeth Chiaviello from Morgan Lewis on behalf of LG.
02:36 11 With me I have Winn Carter, Collin Park, Tom Davis, and
02:36 12 observing from Morgan Lewis is Melissa Navin.

02:36 13 THE COURT: And who will be speaking today?

02:36 14 MS. CHIAVIELLO: Today you actually have me, Your Honor.

02:36 15 THE COURT: Well, what an honor. That's great. I -- it
02:36 16 certainly was worth coming into the office to get to do that.

02:37 17 So we have a couple of issues to take up. The first one
02:37 18 we need to take up is defendant is seeking relief with respect
02:37 19 to the OTA update. I'm happy to hear from LG on that.

02:37 20 MR. HEALY: And, Your Honor, it's actually plaintiff
02:37 21 that's seeking relief, but I'm happy to go in whatever order
02:37 22 you like.

02:37 23 THE COURT: Plaintiff's seeking relief and defendant is
02:37 24 opposing. I'm sorry. I got that backwards.

02:37 25 Happy to hear -- let me hear then from the plaintiff as to

02:37 1 what it is that you want, and I'll hear from the defendant as
02:37 2 to why you should not get it.

02:37 3 MR. HEALY: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

02:37 4 And I know we have limited time so I'll try and be very
02:37 5 brief.

02:37 6 Your Honor knows that we've raised the issue of the
02:37 7 download statistics several times with the Court. We've been
02:37 8 appreciative of the help that the Court has given us, including
02:37 9 most recently ordering LG to produce some ESI and also make
02:38 10 some certain witnesses available. And I say that only because
02:38 11 at the most recent hearing Your Honor told us, look, go depose
02:38 12 the witnesses. Find out if there is statistics to be had, if
02:38 13 there are and LG won't give those to you, you know, come back
02:38 14 to me and we'll talk about it.

02:38 15 And, frankly, that's the situation we're now in, Your
02:38 16 Honor. We deposed a number of LG witnesses. Two in particular
02:38 17 testified that LG retains OTA statistics of the kind that we're
02:38 18 looking for for at least two years. These are sort of detailed
02:38 19 OTA statistics.

02:38 20 And so they had those for at least two years.

02:38 21 And we also in the course of the ESI have located various
02:38 22 documents that show, you know, they're called take-rate reports
02:38 23 that were being sent by LG to various U.S. carriers that
02:38 24 include similar information.

02:38 25 So we said to LG, "Look, your own witnesses, your own

02:38 1 documents show that you have this data for at least two years.
02:38 2 Please produce that data at least to us."

02:38 3 LG said, "Well, why is it relevant, you know. If we go
02:38 4 back two years from today, you know, we're outside of the
02:39 5 infringement period," and we said, "Fair enough."

02:39 6 You know, again, our understanding is that LG is going to
02:39 7 argue in this case, you know, that we have an obligation to
02:39 8 show some -- provide information, you know, evidence with
02:39 9 respect to the frequency of which the updates were actually
02:39 10 installed or not installed. And so we said, "Look, you know,
02:39 11 your position is you don't have any of this information, you
02:39 12 know, back beyond December of 2018. If you're, nevertheless,
02:39 13 going to make this argument, you know, we'd like whatever
02:39 14 information you have so that our expert can look at it. He can
02:39 15 compare it to the -- you know, the few examples of within
02:39 16 infringing time period evidence we have and see if he can do
02:39 17 some sort of analysis to come up with a historical take rate or
02:39 18 historical OTA update rate."

02:39 19 You know, there's also publicly-available information that
02:39 20 their expert's been relying on. So he just wanted to compare,
02:39 21 you know, that general information with whatever specific
02:39 22 information we could get and see if we can, you know, come up
02:39 23 with the best evidence we can under the circumstances, you
02:39 24 know, again accepting LG's representations at face value.

02:39 25 We also told LG: If you're not intending to make this

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.