

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION**

NEODRON LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DELL TECHNOLOGIES INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:19-cv-00819-ADA

---

NEODRON LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

HP, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:19-cv-00873-ADA

---

NEODRON LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:19-cv-00874-ADA

---

NEODRON LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON.COM, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:19-cv-00898-ADA

NEODRON LTD.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. and  
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 1:19-cv-00903-ADA

**DEFENDANTS' OPENING CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF ON  
THE DISPUTED TERMS OF THE TOUCH SENSOR PATENTS**

**(U.S. PATENT NOS. 8,946,574; 9,086,770; 9,823,784; 10,088,960; and 7,821,502)**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. THE DISPUTED TERM OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,946,574 .....	2
A. “mesh” (’574 patent, claims 1, 8, 15) .....	2
III. THE DISPUTED TERMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,086,770.....	5
A. “generally straight line” (’770 patent, claim 7) .....	6
IV. THE DISPUTED TERMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,823,784.....	10
A. “wherein the plurality of drive electrodes are substantially area filling within the sensing region relative to the plurality of sense electrodes” (claims 1-3) .....	11
B. “together, the plurality of sense electrodes and the plurality of isolated conductive elements are substantially area filling within the sensing region relative to the plurality of sense electrodes” .....	15
V. THE DISPUTED TERMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,088,960.....	17
A. “interconnecting mesh segments” (’960 patent, claims 1, 9, 17).....	18
VI. THE DISPUTED TERMS OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,821,502.....	23
A. “a substrate having a surface with an arrangement of electrodes mounted thereon” (’502 patent, claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14, 16).....	24
B. “sensing area” (’502 patent, claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14, 16).....	28
C. “wherein row sensing electrodes of sensing cells at opposing ends of at least one of the rows are electrically coupled to one another by respective row wrap-around connections made outside of the sensing area” (’502 patent, claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-14, 16).....	32
VII. CONCLUSION.....	34

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

## CASES

<i>Abbott Labs. v. Sandoz, Inc.</i> , 544 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	32
<i>Adjustacam, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc.</i> , No. 6:10-cv-329 (E.D. Tex., April 10, 2012) .....	33
<i>Brazabra Corp. v. CE Soir Lingerie Co., Inc.</i> , No. 1-18-cv-00683, Dkt. No. 35 (W.D. Tex. Aug. 15, 2019).....	7, 14, 17
<i>Digital Retail Apps Inc. v. H-E-B, LP</i> , No. 6:19-cv-0067 (W.D. Tex., Jan. 23, 2020) .....	24, 25
<i>Enzo Biochem, Inc. v. Applera Corp.</i> , 599 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....	7
<i>Intel Corp. v. Tela Innovations, Inc.</i> , No. 3:18-CV-02848-WHO, 2019 WL 5697922 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2019) .....	7, 8
<i>Interval Licensing LLC v. AOL, Inc.</i> , 766 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	7, 17
<i>Irdeto Access, Inc. v. Echostar Satellite Corp.</i> , 383 F.3d 1295 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	4, 20
<i>Liberty Ammunition, Inc. v. U.S.</i> , 835 F.3d 1388 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	7
<i>MV3 Partners LLC v. Roku, Inc.</i> , No. 6-18-cv-00308 (W.D. Tex., Oct. 2, 2019).....	32
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc.</i> , 572 U.S. 898 (2014).....	6
<i>O2 Micro Int'l Ltd. v. Beyond Innovation Tech. Co., Ltd.</i> , 521 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....	24
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	passim
<i>PPC Broadband, Inc. v. Corning Optical Commc'ns RF, LLC</i> , 815 F.3d 747 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	27, 28
<i>Salazar v. Procter &amp; Gamble Co.</i> , 414 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2005).....	21
<i>TorPharm. Inc. v. Ranbaxy Pharms., Inc.</i> , 336 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	21

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

(Cont'd)

**Page(s)**

<i>Versata Software Inc. v. Zoho Corp.</i> , 213 F. Supp. 3d 829 (W.D. Tex. 2016).....	9, 14
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	33

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.