

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No. 2:10-cv-113
	)	
v.	)	
	)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
RESEARCH IN MOTION LIMITED and	)	
RESEARCH IN MOTION CORPORATION	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT**

Plaintiff MobileMedia Ideas LLC (“MMI”), by and through its undersigned attorneys, demands a trial by jury on all issues and hereby alleges as follows for its Complaint against Defendants Research in Motion Limited (“RIM Ltd.”) and Research in Motion Corporation (“RIM Corp.”) (collectively, “Defendants”):

**PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff MMI is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware and has its principal place of business in Chevy Chase, Maryland. MMI owns the patents at issue in this litigation.

2. Defendant RIM Ltd. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the province of Ontario, Canada, with its principal place of business in Ontario, Canada. RIM Ltd. is engaged in the design, manufacture, marketing and sale of, among other things, smartphones including the Tour 9630 model, the 8800 series including the 8800 model, the Curve 8300 series including the Curve 8320 model, the Curve 8500 series including the Curve

8530 model, the Curve 8900 model, the Pearl series including the Pearl 8130 model, the Pearl Flip series including the Pearl Flip 8230 model, the Bold 9000 model, the Storm 9530 model and the Storm II 9550 model. RIM Ltd. sells its smartphone products in this district and throughout the United States.

3. Defendant RIM Corp. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Irving, Texas. On information and belief RIM Corp. is a wholly owned subsidiary of RIM Ltd. and serves as a representative of RIM Ltd. for purposes of conducting business in the United States, including but not limited to offering to sell, selling and marketing in the United States smartphones including the Tour 9630 model, the 8800 series including the 8800 model, the Curve 8300 series including the Curve 8320 model, the Curve 8500 series including the Curve 8530 model, the Curve 8900 model, the Pearl series including the Pearl 8130 model, the Pearl Flip series including the Pearl Flip 8230 model, the Bold 9000 model, the Storm 9530 model and the Storm II 9550 model. RIM Corp. sells smartphone products in this district and throughout the United States.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

4. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States. MMI asserts claims for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281.

5. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338.

6. RIM Ltd. and RIM Corp. transact business in this district and are subject to personal jurisdiction and venue in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and (d).

## SUMMARY OF CLAIMS

7. This is a patent infringement action brought by MMI against Defendants for Defendants' infringement of MMI's patents.

8. MMI owns all of the patents-in-suit and offers non-exclusive licenses under the MMI patents.

9. Defendants are infringing the patents-in-suit by, among other things, making, importing, using, offering to sell and/or selling in the United States and in this judicial district Defendants' smartphone products, which employ MMI patented technology.

10. In making, importing, using, offering to sell and/or selling the infringing products, providing customers with instructions about the use of such products and continuing such acts, Defendants knew or should have known the products would be used in an infringing manner. Defendants intended to encourage this infringement and continue to do so.

## FACTS

### Patents-In-Suit

11. United States Patent No. 5,479,476 (the "'476 Patent") was duly and legally issued on December 26, 1995 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled "Mobile telephone having groups of user adjustable operating characteristics for facilitating adjustment of several operating characteristics." Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the '476 Patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

12. United States Patent No. 5,845,219 (the "'219 Patent") was duly and legally issued on December 1, 1998 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled "Mobile station having priority call altering function during silent service mode." Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the '219 Patent, a copy of which is attached

hereto as Exhibit B.

13. United States Patent No. 6,055,439 (the “’439 Patent”) was duly and legally issued on April 25, 2000 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled “Mobile telephone user interface.” Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the ’439 Patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

14. United States Patent No. 6,253,075 (the “’075 Patent”) was duly and legally issued on June 26, 2001 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled “Method and apparatus for incoming call rejection.” Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the ’075 Patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

15. United States Patent No. 6,427,078 (the “’078 Patent”) was duly and legally issued on July 30, 2002 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled “Device for personal communications, data collection and data processing, and a circuit card.” Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the ’078 Patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit E.

16. United States Patent No. Re. 39231 (the “’231 Patent”) was duly and legally issued on August 8, 2006 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled “Communication terminal equipment and call incoming control method.” Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the ’231 Patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit F.

17. United States Patent No. 5,732,390 (the “’390 Patent”) was duly and legally issued on March 24, 1998 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled “Speech signal transmitting and receiving apparatus with noise sensitive volume control.” Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the ’390 Patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G.

18. United States Patent No. 5,737,394 (the “’394 Patent”) was duly and legally issued on April 7, 1998 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled “Portable telephone apparatus having a plurality of selectable functions activated by the use of dedicated and/or soft keys.” Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the ’394 Patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit H.

19. United States Patent No. 6,070,068 (the “’068 Patent”) was duly and legally issued on May 30, 2000 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled “Communication terminal device and method for controlling a connecting state of a call into a desired connection state upon a predetermined operation by a user.” Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the ’068 Patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit I.

20. United States Patent No. 6,389,301 (the “’301 Patent”) was duly and legally issued on May 14, 2002 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled “Portable radio information terminal apparatus, display screen operating method, recording medium, and microcomputer apparatus.” Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the ’301 Patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit J.

21. United States Patent No. 6,446,080 (the “’080 Patent”) was duly and legally issued on September 3, 2002 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled “Method for creating, modifying, and playing a custom playlist, saved as a virtual CD, to be played by a digital audio/visual actuator device.” Plaintiff MMI owns and holds the rights to the ’080 Patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit K.

22. United States Patent No. 7,349,012 (the “’012 Patent”) was duly and legally issued on March 25, 2008 and was assigned to MMI on January 11, 2010 for an invention entitled “Imaging apparatus with higher and lower resolution converters and a compression unit

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.