

EXHIBIT B: 10 MOST IMPORTANT DISPUTED TERMS IDENTIFIED BY THE PARTIES

No.	Term	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Support	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Support
1	<p>scalable message '492 patent, claims 1, 8, 14, 19, 25 '893 patent, claims 1, 17, 37</p> <p>Defendants submit that the term “scalable” should be construed, including “scalable message” as well as “scalable byte segments” ('893 patent, claim 3 and '492 patent, claims 4, 21) and “scalable field” ('492 patent, claim 2).</p>	<p>“a message in which the size of the message can be varied”</p> <p><u>Intrinsic support:</u> '492 patent, 9:59-10:4; 11:17-29; 12:48-13:60 '893 patent, 3:27-34; 10:32-45; 11:66-12:13; 13:34-14:48</p> <p><u>Extrinsic support:</u> Court’s construction from SIPCO, LLC. v. ABB, Inc., CA 6:11-cv-0048 LED-JDL (E.D. Tex.), Memorandum Opinion and Order (Document 255) Court’s construction from SIPCO, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc., CA No. 2:08-cv-359-JRG, Memorandum Opinion and Order (Document 562)</p> <p>Expert Testimony re understanding of this term by a POSA. See Exhibit D.</p>	<p>Scalable: “varying in size based on the size and complexity of the system”</p> <p>Scalable message: “a message that has a variable size based on the size and complexity of the system”</p> <p>Scalable byte segments: “byte segments that have variable sizes based on the size and complexity of the system”</p> <p>Scalable field: “a field that has a variable size based on the size and complexity of the system.”</p> <p><u>Intrinsic support:</u> '893 patent – col. 6:39-41, 6:53-56; 10:31-44; 12:3-13; Figs. 7-9; '492 patent – col. 6:10-12, 6:24-26, 9:59-10:4, 11:19-29; Figs. 7-9; Decision Denying Institution of Inter Partes Review in IPR2015-01579, e.g., at 8-10; Decision Denying Request for Rehearing in IPR2015-01579, e.g., at 2-7.</p> <p><u>Extrinsic support:</u> Bondi, A., “Characteristics of scalability and their impact on performance,” Proceedings of the second international workshop on Software and performance - WOSP '00 195 (2000) (“the ability of a system to accommodate an increasing number of elements or objects, to process growing volumes of work gracefully, and/or to be susceptible to</p>

EXHIBIT B: 10 MOST IMPORTANT DISPUTED TERMS IDENTIFIED BY THE PARTIES

No.	Term	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Support	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Support
			<p>enlargement.”)</p> <p>Definition of “scalable,” Microsoft Computer Dictionary at 419 (Third Edition 1997) (“Of or relating to the characteristic of a piece of hardware or software that makes it possible for it to expand to meet future needs.”).</p> <p>Definition of “variable-length field,” Microsoft Computer Dictionary at 491 (Third Edition 1997) (“In a record, a field that can vary in length according to how much data it contains.”).</p> <p>Tanenbaum, Computer Networks, 3rd edition (1996), at Section 5.2.3 (“Flooding”).</p> <p>SIPCO, LLC v. ABB, Inc., et al., 6:11-cv-0048 LED-JDL (E.D. TX) (July 30, 2012) (“an address that has a variable size based on the size and complexity of the system”); (“message in which the size of the message can be varied”)</p> <p>SIPCO, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc., et al., 2:08-cv-359-JRG (E.D. TX) (October 19, 2012) (“an address that has a variable size based on the size and complexity of the system”).</p> <p>October 6, 2011 Deposition of David Petite, including but not limited to pg. 85-89; 373-85.</p>

EXHIBIT B: 10 MOST IMPORTANT DISPUTED TERMS IDENTIFIED BY THE PARTIES

No.	Term	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Support	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Support
			<p>Drs. Heppe and/or Akl may be asked to provide evidence regarding the scalable recitations. In opining about the meaning, their declarations and testimony may explain how Defendants' proposed constructions are supported by intrinsic evidence, extrinsic evidence, and/or the education and experience of a person of ordinary skill in the art relevant to the patents in suit. Their declarations and testimony may also respond to Plaintiffs' proposed constructions and supporting evidence.</p>
2	<p>low-power '842 patent, claims 1, 2, 11, 16, 17 '692 patent, claims 1, 3, 18, 24, 32, 34, 42, 49, 55, 60</p>	<p>“power having limited transmission range”</p> <p><u>Intrinsic support:</u> '842 patent, 2:15-45; 5:65-6:11; 13:55-14:41 '692 patent, 2:34-53; 17:23-18:42</p> <p><u>Extrinsic support:</u> Court's construction from SIPCO, LLC. v. ABB, Inc., CA 6:11-cv-0048 LED-JDL (E.D. Tex.), Memorandum Opinion and Order (Document 255) Court's construction from SIPCO, LLC v. Datamatic, Ltd., CA No. 6:09cv532-LED-JDL (E.D. Tex.), Memorandum Opinion and Order</p>	<p>“Low frequency.” Alternatively, indefinite.</p> <p><u>Intrinsic support:</u> '692 patent – Fig. 14, col. 16:45-17:11; Request for Reconsideration of Final Office Action at 4-6 (11/9/2001); Appeal Br. at 8-12, 18-19, 21-22 (2/22/2001). '842 patent – col. 5:67-6:3; 9:43-46; 14:15-18.</p> <p><u>Extrinsic support:</u> SIPCO, LLC v. Datamatic, Ltd., 6:09-cv-532-LED-JDL (E.D. TX) (May 6, 2011) SIPCO, LLC v. ABB, Inc., et al., 6:11-cv-0048 LED-JDL (E.D. TX) (July 30, 2012)</p>

EXHIBIT B: 10 MOST IMPORTANT DISPUTED TERMS IDENTIFIED BY THE PARTIES

No.	Term	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Support	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Support
		<p>(Document No. 161)</p> <p>Expert Testimony re understanding of this term by a POSA. See Exhibit D.</p>	<p>November 3, 2010 Deposition of David Petite, including but not limited to pg. 195-199.</p> <p>Drs. Heppe and/or Akl may be asked to provide evidence regarding the low power recitations. In opining about the meaning or lack thereof, their declarations and testimony may explain how Defendants' proposed constructions are supported by intrinsic evidence, extrinsic evidence, and/or the education and experience of a person of ordinary skill in the art relevant to the patents in suit. Their declarations and testimony may also respond to Plaintiffs' proposed constructions and supporting evidence.</p> <p>In addition, Drs. Heppe and/or Akl may explain that the claimed "low power" recitation, viewed in light of the specification and prosecution history, fails to inform those skilled in the art about the scope of the invention with reasonable certainty, including that the term could be satisfied or not satisfied depending on the particular view of what constitutes "low power," leaving the term to the unpredictable vagaries of any one person's opinion.</p>
3	<p>wide area network/WAN</p> <p>'661 patent, claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14</p>	<p>No construction necessary.</p> <p>Alternatively:</p> <p>"A communication network that connects</p>	<p>"A communications network that interconnects communication facilities in different parts of a country or are used as a public utility, for</p>

EXHIBIT B: 10 MOST IMPORTANT DISPUTED TERMS IDENTIFIED BY THE PARTIES

No.	Term	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction and Support	Defendants' Proposed Construction and Support
	<p>'692 patent, claims 1, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 18, 19, 20, 32, 35, 36, 37, 38, 42, 45-57</p> <p>'732 patent, claims 1, 8, 32</p>	<p>geographically separated areas"</p> <p><u>Intrinsic support:</u></p> <p>'661 patent, 2:42-49; 3:36-45; 4:48-52; 6:20-35; 6:42-53; 7:21-61; 10:13-31; 11:1-31; 11:55-12:60; 13:5-14:16; 14:46-15:2; 16:18-27; 17:16-18:29</p> <p>'692 patent, 2:34-53; 4:45-46; 6:15-30; 11:1-32; 12:4-23; 17:23-18:42</p> <p>'732 patent, 1:44-50; 2:53-3:5; 3:18-56; 4:60-64; 6:32-47; 12:16-35; 16:32-41; 17:30-18:43</p> <p><u>Extrinsic support:</u></p> <p>Court's construction from SIPCO, LLC. v. ABB, Inc., CA 6:11-cv-0048 LED-JDL (E.D. Tex.), Memorandum Opinion and Order (Document 255)</p> <p>Court's construction from SIPCO, LLC v. Amazon.com, Inc., CA No. 2:08-cv-359-JRG (E.D. Tex.), Memorandum Opinion and Order (Document 562)</p> <p>Definition of "Wide Area Network," Microsoft Computer Dictionary, Third Edition, 1997</p> <p>Definition of "Wide Area Network," IBM</p>	<p>example, the internet. A wide area network is larger than a local area network and a metropolitan area network."</p> <p><u>Intrinsic support:</u></p> <p>'511 patent - Figs. 1, 3, 4, 10, 11; cols. 5:57-6:14; 6:24-43; 9:3-32; 9:53-10:17; 10:50-67; 11:57-12:7; 19:3-20:19; 20:34-62; 21:14-29; 22:1-59; '692 patent - Figs. 1, 2, 4-10, 13, 14; cols. 1:25-31, 2:50-53, 3:35-38, 5:24-40, 6:20-23, 7:51-67, 10:8-11, 11:1-12:23, 12:34-40, 13:13-19, 14:61-66, 16:27-34; '732 patent - Figs. 1, 2, 4-10, 13, 14; cols. 1:44-50, 2:63-3:5, 3:51-56, 5:42-47, 6:32-47, 7:63-8:16, 10:22-25, 11:15-12:35, 12:46-52, 13:23-26, 15:1-5, 16:34-41; '611 patent - Figs. 1, 2, 4-10, 13, 14; cols. 1:34-38, 2:52-3:3; 3:39-44, 5:30-35, 6:20-35 7:48-8:4, 10:9-12, 11:1-12:22, 12:33-39, 13:10-13, 14:56-60, 16:20-27; 4/8/2003 Amendment in '511 patent, p. 21; 1/5/2004 Appeal Brief relating to '511 patent, pp. 11, 17-18, 21, 27, 30-31, 36-37, 40, 46-47, 50; 5/17/2004 Reply Brief relating to '511 patent, pp. 2-4; 8/31/2005 Decision on Appeal relating to '511 patent, p. 9; 3/4/2002 Appeal Brief in Serial No. 09/439,059, p. 3.</p> <p><u>Extrinsic support:</u></p> <p>IEEE Std. 802-1990, p. 9 (1990)</p>

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.