## **Exhibit B**



Case 1:15-cv-00319-AT Document 88 Filed 05/02/16 Page 1 of 3

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

| EMERSON ELECTRIC CO.,<br>FISHER-ROSEMOUNT SYSTEMS,<br>INC., and ROSEMOUNT INC. | )<br>)<br>)                              |
|--|--|
| Plaintiffs,  | )<br>) Civil Action No. 1:15-cv-00319-AT |
| v.   | )  |
| SIPCO, LLC, AND IP CO, LLC (d/b/a INTUS IQ),                                   | )<br>)<br>>                              |
| Defendants.  | )<br>)<br>)                              |

## **ORDER**

Before this Court is Declaratory Judgment Plaintiffs' Emerson Electric Co., Fisher-Rosemount Systems, Inc., and Rosemount, Inc. (together, "Emerson") and Declaratory Judgment Defendants' SIPCO, LLC and IP CO, LLC (together, "SIPCO") (collectively "the Parties") *First Joint Motion to Extend all Dates in the Scheduling Order*. Having considered the briefing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the Motion is GRANTED, and all remaining dates in the Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 25) are extended as follows:



| Event/Description   | Original Date     | Revised Date   |
|---|-------------------|--|
| Plaintiffs must serve<br>advice of counsel and<br>related information<br>rebutting a willfulness<br>charge (LPR 5.2)                                | May 27, 2016      | August 26, 2016 or 2 weeks after claim construction ruling, whichever is later                               |
| Fact Discovery Closes   | June 27, 2016     | September 27, 2016 or 6 weeks after claim construction ruling, whichever is later                            |
| Initial Rule 26 Expert Witness disclosures due on issues that a party bears the burden of proof (for non-claim construction issues) (LPR 7.1)       | July 27, 2016     | October 27, 2016 or 1 month after fact discovery closes, whichever is later                                  |
| Second Rule 26 Expert Witness disclosures due on issues that opposing party bears the burden of proof (for non-claim construction issues) (LPR 7.1) | August 26, 2016   | November 28, 2016, or 2<br>months after fact<br>discovery closes,<br>whichever is later                      |
| Rebuttal expert<br>disclosures (when<br>permitted by Rule 26)<br>(LPR 7.1)  | September 6, 2016 | December 12, 2016 or 15 days after the second Rule 26 Expert Witness Disclosures are due, whichever is later |

Case 1:15-cv-00319-AT Document 88 Filed 05/02/16 Page 3 of 3

| Expert depositions (LPR 7.2)                         | September 13-October 13, 2016 | Beginning 1 week after rebuttal expert disclosures; ending 5 weeks after rebuttal expert disclosures |
|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Summary Judgment<br>Motions due (LR 56.1D)           | November 14, 2016             | 1 month after the end of<br>the period for expert<br>depositions                                     |
| Briefs Opposing<br>Summary Judgment due<br>(LR 7.1B) | December 7, 2016              | l month after summary judgment motions are due   |
| Reply Briefs for<br>Summary Judgment (LR<br>7.1C)    | December 21, 2016             | 2 weeks after summary judgment oppositions are due   |

IT SO SO ORDERED this 2nd day of May, 2016.

The Honorable Amy Totenberg United States District Judge Northern District of Georgia