IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

SIPCO, LLC, and IP CO, LLC (d/b/a INTUS IQ),

Plaintiffs,

v.

Civil Action No. 6:15-cv-907

EMERSON ELECTRIC CO., FISHER-ROSEMOUNT SYSTEMS, INC., and ROSEMOUNT INC., JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

SIPCO, LLC and IP CO, LLC (d/b/a INTUS IQ) ("Plaintiffs" or "SIPCO"), by and through their counsel Nutter, McClennen & Fish LLP, hereby file this Complaint for Patent Infringement against Emerson Electric Co., Fisher-Rosemont Systems, Inc., and Rosemount Inc. as follows:

THE PARTIES

- SIPCO, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia, having its principal office at 8215 Roswell Road, Building 900, Suite 950, Atlanta, Georgia 30350.
- 2. IP CO, LLC (d/b/a INTUS IQ) is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Georgia, having its principal office at 8215 Roswell Road, Building 900, Suite 950, Atlanta, Georgia 30350.
- 3. Emerson Electric Co. ("EEC") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Missouri, having a place of business at 1300 East Whaley Street, Suite B, Longview, Texas 75601.

4. Fisher-Rosemount Systems, Inc. ("Fisher Rosemount") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Emerson Electric Co., and is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 1100 W. Louis Henna Blvd., Bldg. 1, Round Rock, Texas 78681.

Rosemount, Inc. ("Rosemount") is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Emerson
Electric Co., and is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of
Minnesota, having its principal place of business at 8200 Market Blvd., Chanhassen, Minnesota
55317.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Plaintiffs' Complaint is for patent infringement arising under the patent statutes,
35 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, in particular 35 U.S.C. § 271.

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims under 28 U.S.C.§§ 1331 and 1338(a).

8. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants at least because they have purposefully availed themselves of jurisdiction in this state and judicial district by voluntarily and purposefully committing and continuing to commit acts of infringement in this state and judicial district. In particular, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Rosemount because it has its principal place of business in this state, over EEC because it has an office in this Judicial District and thus has purposefully availed itself of the benefits of doing business here, and over Fisher-Rosemount because it has purposefully availed itself of the benefits of doing business here by selling infringing products in this state and district.

9. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 1400(b) at least because a substantial part of the infringing acts of each defendant have occurred and are occurring in this judicial district.

THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT

10. U.S. Patent No. 7,697,492 ("the '492 patent") was duly and legally issued on April 13, 2010.

11. The '492 patent is entitled "Systems and Methods for Monitoring and Controlling Remote Devices."

12. SIPCO owns the '492 patent.

13. The Defendants had knowledge of the '492 patent and knowledge of their infringement of the '492 patent before the filing of the Complaint.

14. U.S. Patent No. 6,437,692 ("the '692 patent") was duly and legally issued on August 20, 2002.

15. The '692 patent is entitled "System and Method for Monitoring and Controlling Remote Devices."

16. SIPCO owns the '692 patent.

17. The Defendants had knowledge of the '692 patent and knowledge of their infringement of the '692 patent before the filing of the Complaint.

18. U.S. Patent No. 6,914,893 ("the '893 patent") was duly and legally issued on July5, 2005.

 The '893 patent is entitled "System and Method for Monitoring and Controlling Remote Devices."

20. SIPCO owns the '893 patent.

21. The Defendants had knowledge of the '893 patent and knowledge of their

infringement of the '893 patent before the filing of the Complaint.

U.S. Patent No. 6,249,516 ("the '516 patent") was duly and legally issued on June19, 2001.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

23. The '516 patent is entitled "Wireless Network Gateway and Method for Providing Same."

24. IP CO owns the '516 patent.

25. The Defendants had knowledge of the '516 patent and knowledge of their infringement of the '516 patent before the filing of the Complaint.

26. U.S. Patent No. 7,468,661 ("the '661 patent") was duly and legally issued on December 23, 2008.

27. The '661 patent is entitled "System and Method for Monitoring and Controlling Remote Devices."

28. SIPCO owns the '661 patent.

29. The Defendants had knowledge of the '661 patent and knowledge of their infringement of the '661 patent before the filing of the Complaint.

30. U.S. Patent No. 8,000,314 ("the '314 patent") was duly and legally issued on August 16, 2011.

31. The '314 patent is entitled "Wireless Network System and Method for Providing Same."

32. IP CO owns the '314 patent.

33. The Defendants had knowledge of the '314 patent and knowledge of their infringement of the '314 patent before the filing of the Complaint.

34. U.S. Patent No. 8,233,471 ("the '471 patent") was duly and legally issued on July31, 2012.

35. The '471 patent is entitled "Wireless Network System and Method for Providing Same."

36. IP CO owns the '471 patent.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

37. The Defendants had knowledge of the '471 patent and knowledge of their infringement of the '471 patent before the filing of the Complaint.

38. U.S. Patent No. 8,625,496 ("the '496 patent") was duly and legally issued on January 7, 2014.

39. The '496 patent is entitled "Wireless Network System and Method for Providing Same."

40. IP CO owns the '496 patent.

41. The Defendants had knowledge of the '496 patent and knowledge of their infringement of the '496 patent before the filing of the Complaint.

42. U.S. Patent No. 8,754,780 ("the '780 patent") was duly and legally issued on June 17, 2014.

43. The '780 patent is entitled "Systems and Methods for Monitoring and Controlling Remote Devices."

44. SIPCO owns the '780 patent.

45. The Defendants had knowledge of the '780 patent and knowledge of their infringement of the '780 patent before the filing of the Complaint.

46. U.S. Patent No. 8,908,842 ("the '842 patent") was duly and legally issued on December 9, 2014.

47. The '842 patent is entitled "Multi-Functional General Purpose Transceivers and Devices."

48. SIPCO owns the '842 patent.

49. The Defendants had knowledge of the '842 patent and knowledge of their infringement of the '842 patent before the filing of the Complaint.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.