UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

DSS Technology	§	
Management, Inc.	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Civil Action No. 6:15-cv-692
v.	§	
	§	Jury Trial Demanded
Qualcomm Incorporated	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff DSS Technology Management, Inc., files this Original Complaint for patent infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271 against Defendants Qualcomm Incorporated (Defendant or "Qualcomm") and alleges as follows:

I. THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff DSS Technology Management, Inc. (Plaintiff or "DSS") is a corporation that maintains offices in Plano, Texas where it engages in product development and marketing efforts.
- 2. Defendant **Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm")** is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 5775 Morehouse Dr., San Diego, California 92121. Qualcomm Incorporated may be served via its registered agent Prentice Hall Corp. System, 211 E. 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, TX 78701-3218.
- 3. Defendant is doing business, directly and/or through subsidiaries or intermediaries, in the United States and, more particularly, in the State of Texas and the Eastern District of Texas, by designing, marketing, testing, making, using, selling, importing, and/or



offering for sale products and systems that infringe the patent claims involved in this action or by transacting other business in this District.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, including 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 281-285. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 5. Venue is proper in the Tyler Division of the Eastern District of Texas pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b). Furthermore, venue is proper because Defendant conducts business within this District and/or solicit and establish relationships with entities within this District, which include the making, importation, sell, and/or offering for sale of Infringing Products as discussed below. Each act of Defendant's directly or indirectly infringing conduct in this District gives rise to proper venue.
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant. Defendant has conducted and does conduct business within the State of Texas. Defendant, directly or through intermediaries (including distributors, retailers, and others), ship, distribute, offer for sale, sell, and advertise products containing semiconductors that infringe the patent claims involved in this action. Such conduct occurs in the United States, the State of Texas, and the Eastern District of Texas. Defendant has purposefully and voluntarily placed one or more of their semiconductor products into the stream of commerce with the expectation that it will be purchased by consumers in the United States, the State of Texas, and the Eastern District of Texas. The Infringing Products have been and continue to be imported into and purchased by consumers in the Eastern District of Texas. Defendant has committed the tort of patent infringement within the United States, the State of Texas and, more particularly, within the Eastern District of Texas.



- 7. Defendant conducts business and has committed acts of patent infringement and/or has induced acts of patent infringement by others in this district, the State of Texas, and elsewhere in the United States. Defendant maintain offices in Texas
- 8. DSS maintains offices in the Eastern District of Texas, where employees are engaged in marketing and engineering efforts directed toward developing products based on the intellectual property, including but not limited, that at issue in this case.

III. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. On October 12, 1999, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 5,965,924 ("the '924 Patent"), titled "Metal Plug Local Interconnect," to Ting P. Yen. A true and correct copy of the '924 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 10. On August 31, 2004, the United States Patent and Trademark Office duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 6,784,552 ("the '552 Patent"), titled "Structure Having Reduced Lateral Plug Erosion," to James E. Nulty and Christopher J. Petti. A true and correct copy of the '552 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 11. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of the '924 Patent and the '552 Patent and owns all rights, title, and interest in and to the '924 Patent and the '552 Patent, including all rights to sue and recover for past and future infringement.

IV. QUALCOMM'S INFRINGEMENT OF THE '924 PATENT

12. Defendant has infringed and continue to infringe, directly, and/or through the inducement of others, the claimed methods of the '924 Patent by making, using, importing, exporting, selling, and/or offering for sale the Infringing Products.



- 13. Plaintiff has been damaged as a result of Defendant's infringing conduct. Defendant is, therefore, liable to Plaintiff in an amount that adequately compensates Plaintiff for Defendant's infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.
- 14. Defendant, directly or through intermediaries, is liable for infringing one or more claims of the '924 Patent.
- 15. Defendant has failed to obtain permission from DSS to make, use, offer to sell, sell, or import products embodying the claims in the '924 Patent.
- 16. Defendant has been and is now directly infringing the '924 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, importing into the United States, and/or exporting the Infringing Products, or consumer products that contain Infringing Products, that either structurally embody one or more claims of the '924 Patent or are manufactured using the processes embodied in one or more claims of the '924 Patent. Qualcomm also has been and now is inducing others, such as manufacturers and/or retailers of products incorporating Qualcomm's infringing semiconductors, to directly infringe one or more claims of the '924 Patent by using, selling, offering for sale, or importing products made by processes embodied in, or that otherwise embody, one or more claims of the '924 Patent.
- 17. Qualcomm directly or through intermediaries has infringed and continues to infringe (literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents) one or more claims of the '924 Patent by making, using, making available for another's use, offering to license or licensing in the United States, selling or offering to sell, and/or importing the Infringing Products. By way of example only, Qualcomm has directly infringed and continues to infringe, in addition to other claims, at least claim 1 of the '924 Patent by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell in the



United States, without DSS's authority, products such as the Qualcomm Snapdragon 800 series, the MDM92335, and all devices made via a 28 or 20 nanometer process (the "Infringing Products"). Qualcomm's infringing activities include importing, offering for sale, and/or selling the Infringing Products in the United States. Qualcomm also infringes the '924 Patent by selling and offering to sell the Infringing Products directly and via sales representatives, distributors, and resellers to consumers, businesses, distributors, and resellers. Qualcomm's infringement of the '924 Patent has caused substantial damage to DSS. Qualcomm's infringing activities violate at least 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

18. Qualcomm directly or through intermediaries has and continues to induce infringement (literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents) of one or more claims of the '924 Patent. Qualcomm's deliberate actions include, but are not limited to, actively marketing to, encouraging use by, and instructing consumers, businesses, distributors, resellers, sales representatives, to use, promote, market, distribute, and/or sell the Infringing Products. Plaintiff contends that Qualcomm aided, instructed, or otherwise acted with the intent to cause acts by consumers, businesses, distributors, resellers, and sales representatives that would result in direct infringement of the '924 Patent. At least as to the time of this filing, Qualcomm knew of the '924 Patent, and Qualcomm knows, or at least should know, that Qualcomm's actions would result in infringement of the '924 Patent. By way of example only, Qualcomm has induced infringement and continues to induce infringement of, in addition to other claims of, at least claim 1 of the '924 Patent by making, using, selling, and/or offering to sell in the United States, without DSS's authority, products such as the Qualcomm Snapdragon 800 series, the MDM92335, and all devices made via a 28 or 20 nanometer process. These actions, individually and collectively, have induced and continue to induce the direct infringement of the '924 Patent



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

