IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

CELLULAR COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT LLC,	
Plaintiff, v.	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:14-cv-982-KNM LEAD CASE
LG ELECTRONICS, INC., ET AL.,	
Defendants.	

SONY MOBILE'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO DISMISS BREACH OF CONTRACT COUNTERCLAIMS BY CERTAIN MANUFACTURER DEFENDANTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LEGA	AL S	STANDARD	1
STAT	ſEM	ENT OF THE FACTS	2
ARG	UMI	ENT	3
		NY MOBILE'S BREACH OF CONTRACT COUNTERCLAIM IS EQUATELY PLEADED ON ITS FACE	3
	A.	Licensing Declarations Made by CCE's Predecessors-in-Interest Constitute Express or Implied Contracts Between CCE and Sony Mobile	4
	B.	CCE is Bound by the Licensing Declarations of Its Predecessors	6
	C.	Performance or Tendered Performance Has Been Adequately Pleaded	7
	AD	E DOCUMENTS REFERENCED IN THE COMPLAINT PROVIDE DITIONAL FACTUAL SUPPORT FOR THE BREACH OF CONTRACT UNTERCLAIM	9
		USION	9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CASES

Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., Case No. 11-CV-01846, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67102 (N.D. Cal. May 14, 2012)
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Motorola Mobility, Inc.,</i> Case No. 11-CV-178, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 72745 (W.D. Wis. June 7, 2011) 6
<i>Apple, Inc. v. Motorola, Inc.,</i> 869 F. Supp. 2d 901 (N.D. Ill. 2012)
Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (2009)
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 554 (2007)1
<i>BMC Software, Inc. v. ServiceNow, Inc.,</i> Case No. 2:14-CV-903, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 64366 (E.D. Tex. May 18, 2015) 4, 6, 8, 9
<i>Bowlby v. City of Aberdeen,</i> 681 F.3d 215 (5th Cir. 2012)
Castillo v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, L.L.C., 539 Fed. App'x 621 (5th Cir. Tex. 2013)
<i>Datatreasury Corp. v. Wells Fargo & Co.</i> , 522 F.3d 1368 (Fed. Cir. 2008)
<i>Lone Star Fund V (U.S.), L.P. v. Barclays Bank PLC,</i> 594 F.3d 383 (5th Cir. 2010)
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Motorola, Inc.,</i> 864 F. Supp. 2d 1023 (W.D. Wash. 2012), <i>aff'd,</i> 795 F.3d 1024 (9 th Cir. 2015)
<i>O'Shea v. Parkey</i> , No. 4:12CV265, 2014 WL 494905 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 4, 2014)
<i>Realtek Semiconductor Corp. v. LSI Corp.</i> , 946 F. Supp. 2d 998 (N.D. Cal. 2013)
<i>TQP Dev., LLC v. Callidus Software Inc.,</i> No. 2:12-CV-799-JRG-RSP, 2013 WL 4826011 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 9, 2013)
United States v. Bollinger Shipyards, Inc., 775 F.3d 255 (5th Cir. 2014)

OTHER AUTHORITIES

United States Department of Justice and United States Patent & Trademark Office, "Policy Statement on Remedies for Standards-Essential Patents Subject to Voluntary F/RAND	
Commitments" (January 8, 2013)	б
RULES	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6)	4
Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2)	1

Plaintiff Cellular Communications Equipment LLC ("CCE"), after serving a threadbare amended complaint with formulaic recitations of claim language, now argues in a three-page motion to dismiss that the detailed breach of contract counterclaim of Defendant Sony Mobile Communications (USA), Inc. ("Sony Mobile") is somehow deficient. Sony Mobile's counterclaim is grounded in the express commitment of CCE and/or its predecessors-in-interest to license the patents-in-suit on FRAND terms pursuant to the very same standards around which CCE has framed its infringement contentions. Sony Mobile's counterclaim details the factual and legal basis for the contractual commitment as well as the bases for Sony Mobile's allegations of breach. It passes muster under all relevant case law, including the *Twombly* pleading standard. CCE's Motion to Dismiss Breach of Contract Counterclaims by Certain Manufacturer Defendants (Dkt. No. 169 (hereafter, "Mot.")) should therefore be denied.

LEGAL STANDARD

A complaint must contain "a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a)(2). To survive a motion to dismiss under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), a pleading "must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (quoting *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 554, 570 (2007)). A court "must assume that all well-pleaded facts are true, and view those facts in the light most favorable to the plaintiff." *TQP Dev., LLC v. Callidus Software Inc.*, No. 2:12-CV-799-JRG-RSP, 2013 WL 4826011, at *1 (E.D. Tex. Sept. 9, 2013) (citing *Bowlby v. City of Aberdeen*, 681 F.3d 215, 218 (5th Cir. 2012)). A party does not need to present "detailed factual allegations," though mere "labels and conclusions" or "a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action" are insufficient to meet the pleading standard. *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678. A party "meets this standard when it 'pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged." *O'Shea v. Parkey*, No. 4:12-CV-265, 2014 WL 494905, at *2 (E.D. Tex. Feb. 4, 2014) (quoting *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 678).

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.